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Long-Term Effects of Changes in Cardiorespiratory Fitness and Body Mass Index on All-Cause and Cardiovascular Disease Mortality in Men

The Aerobics Center Longitudinal Study

Duck-chul Lee, PhD; Xuemei Sui, MD, MPH; Enrique G. Artero, PhD; I-Min Lee, MBBS, MPH, ScD; Timothy S. Church, MD, PhD; Paul A. McAuley, PhD; Fatima C. Stanford, MD, MPH; Harold W. Kohl III, PhD, MSPH; Steven N. Blair, PED

Background—The combined associations of changes in cardiorespiratory fitness and body mass index (BMI) with mortality remain controversial and uncertain.

Methods and Results—We examined the independent and combined associations of changes in fitness and BMI with all-cause and cardiovascular disease (CVD) mortality in 14,345 men (mean age 44 years) with at least 2 medical examinations. Fitness, in metabolic equivalents (METs), was estimated from a maximal treadmill test. BMI was calculated using measured weight and height. Changes in fitness and BMI between the baseline and last examinations over 6.3 years were classified into loss, stable, or gain groups. During 11.4 years of follow-up after the last examination, 914 all-cause and 300 CVD deaths occurred. The hazard ratios (95% confidence intervals) of all-cause and CVD mortality were 0.70 (0.59–0.83) and 0.73 (0.54–0.98) for stable fitness, and 0.61 (0.51–0.73) and 0.58 (0.42–0.80) for fitness gain, respectively, compared with fitness loss in multivariable analyses including BMI change. Every 1-MET improvement was associated with 15% and 19% lower risk of all-cause and CVD mortality, respectively. BMI change was not associated with all-cause or CVD mortality after adjusting for possible confounders and fitness change. In the combined analyses, men who lost fitness had higher all-cause and CVD mortality risks regardless of BMI change.

Conclusions—Maintaining or improving fitness is associated with a lower risk of all-cause and CVD mortality in men. Preventing age-associated fitness loss is important for longevity regardless of BMI change. (Circulation. 2011;124:2483-2490.)
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It is important to study the combined effects of fitness and BMI on mortality as both clinical indicators impact the development of health recommendations and policies. The relative contributions of fitness, physical activity, and obesity to mortality are complicated and continuously debated. Some report that fitness can eliminate the increased risk of mortality associated with obesity. Others suggest that fitness attenuates, but does not eliminate, the adverse effects of obesity on mortality. If overweight or obese persons, who comprise two thirds of the US population, can reduce the risk of premature mortality by improving physical activity or fitness, this carries a large clinical and public health implication. However, few data are available to access the combined associations of changes in fitness and BMI with mortality in adults.

We examined the independent and combined associations of changes in fitness and BMI with all-cause and cardiovascular disease (CVD) mortality in men using the well-characterized Aerobics Center Longitudinal Study (ACLS). We addressed the questions of whether change in fitness or BMI on mortality as both clinical indicators impact the development of health recommendations and policies. The relative contributions of fitness, physical activity, and obesity to mortality are complicated and continuously debated. Some report that fitness can eliminate the increased risk of mortality associated with obesity. Others suggest that fitness attenuates, but does not eliminate, the adverse effects of obesity on mortality. If overweight or obese persons, who comprise two thirds of the US population, can reduce the risk of premature mortality by improving physical activity or fitness, this carries a large clinical and public health implication. However, few data are available to access the combined associations of changes in fitness and BMI with mortality in adults.

We examined the independent and combined associations of changes in fitness and BMI with all-cause and cardiovascular disease (CVD) mortality in men using the well-characterized Aerobics Center Longitudinal Study (ACLS). We addressed the questions of whether change in fitness or BMI is associated with mortality, whether their effects are mutually independent of each other, and whether mortality risks differ among the different combinations of changes in fitness and BMI, taking other changes in lifestyle factors and health conditions into account.

### Methods

#### Study Population

The ACLS is a prospective observational study of individuals who received extensive preventive medical evaluations. Study participants were referred by their employers or physicians or were self-referred. They are primarily non-Hispanic whites (>95%) and college graduates from middle-to-upper socioeconomic strata. To assess changes in fitness and BMI, we included men who received at least 2 medical examinations between 1974 and 2002. For men attending >2 examinations, we used the first (baseline) and last examinations, and followed participants for subsequent mortality after the last examination. Among 16,299 men aged ≥20 years at baseline, we excluded 1,381 men reporting myocardial infarction, stroke, or cancer; 34 men with BMI <18.5 kg/m²; and 281 men not reaching 85% of their age-predicted maximal heart rate (220 minus age in years) on a treadmill test at the baseline or at the last examination. In addition, 187 men who answered “Yes” to the question about “unexplained weight loss or gain” at the last examination, 53 men with <1 year of mortality follow-up, and 13 men with an extreme value of BMI change (>10 kg/m² change per year) or fitness change (>10 METs change per year) were excluded. For the analyses of CVD mortality, we excluded 614 men who died from causes other than CVD. The final sample included 14,345 men for analyses of all-cause mortality and 13,731 men for analyses of CVD mortality. The extensive exclusion criteria were used to minimize potential biases due to preexisting diseases or subclinical conditions on changes in fitness and BMI, and the associations of changes in fitness and fatness with mortality. Because of the small number of women with at least 2 examinations, this study was limited to men. The study was reviewed and approved annually by the Cooper Institute Institutional Review Board, and all participants gave written informed consent for the examinations and follow-up study.

#### Clinical Examination

Participants underwent extensive and comprehensive clinical examinations by a physician. Blood chemistries were analyzed after overnight fast. Resting blood pressure was measured by standard auscultation method after at least 5 minutes of seated rest. ECG was measured at rest and with exercise, and abnormal ECG responses included rhythm and conduction disturbances and ischemic ST-T wave abnormalities. Information regarding smoking status, alcohol intake, physical activity, and parental CVD, and physician-diagnosed myocardial infarction, stroke, cancer, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and hypercholesterolemia was obtained from the medical questionnaire. Parental CVD was defined as the occurrence of heart attacks, coronary disease, angioplasty, or stroke before the age of 50 years in either father or mother.

#### Cardiorespiratory Fitness and Body Mass Index

All participants underwent a symptom-limited maximal treadmill test using the modified Balke protocol. In brief, the grade was level at the start, 2% after 1 minute, then increased 1% per minute with the speed fixed at 85 m/min (3.3 mph). After 25 minutes, the speed was increased 5.4 m/min (0.2 mph) without grade change until the participants requested to stop due to exhaustion, or the physician stopped the test for medical reasons. Participants who failed to reach 85% of their age-predicted maximal heart rate were excluded because they were assumed to have subclinical medical conditions, and less than near-maximal effort would lead to an underestimate of fitness, which may confound results. Fitness in METs was estimated based on the final treadmill speed and grade using the following formula from the American College of Sports Medicine: 

\[
\text{METs} = \frac{1.05 + \text{speed} + \text{grade}}{3.5} \times \text{time (min)}
\]

BMI (kg/m²) was calculated from measured weight and height, and men were classified as normal weight (BMI 18.5–24.9), overweight (BMI 25.0–29.9), and obese (BMI ≥30.0 kg/m²).

Percent body fat in a subgroup of 12,475 men was determined by hydrodensitometry (66%) or skinfold measurement (34%) with standardized procedures. These 2 measures were highly correlated (r>0.90) for participants who had both measurements.

Change in fitness and change in BMI as continuous variables were calculated as the difference in maximal METs or BMI between the baseline and last examinations, and divided by the number of years between the 2 examinations. We used changes in fitness and BMI per year as our main exposures because the intervals between the 2 examinations varied among individuals in our cohort. We found approximately half of the men increased their maximal METs (47%) and BMI (58%), and the other half showed a decrease or no change. On the basis of these approximately equal distributions, changes in fitness and BMI per year were categorized into thirds for simplifying the complicated joint associations of changes in fitness and BMI with all-cause and CVD mortality. The lower thirds of changes in maximal METs and BMI showing decreases of fitness and BMI were categorized as “gain” (Table 1). For the joint analysis of changes in fitness and BMI with mortality, we created 9 combinations from the 3 fitness and 3 BMI change categories.

In addition, we investigated the associations of changes in fitness and BMI status as categorical variables with mortality to further consider the baseline levels of fitness and BMI. We dichotomized fitness into either unfit (lower 20%) or fit (upper 80%) groups based on their age-specific (20–39, 40–49, 50–59, and 60 years) fifths of treadmill time distributions from the entire ACLS cohort, following our previous ACLS studies, which have shown unfit to be a strong independent morbidity and mortality predictor, given the fact that there has been

### Table 1. Changes in Fitness and BMI by Thirds of Each Change in 14,345 Men

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fitness change (maximal METs per year)</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Loss (n=4782)</td>
<td>–0.33</td>
<td>–0.22</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>–4.61</td>
<td>–0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stable (n=4781)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>–0.06</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gain (n=4782)</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>9.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI change (kg/m² per year)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss (n=4781)</td>
<td>–0.65</td>
<td>–0.34</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>–9.79</td>
<td>–0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stable (n=4782)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gain (n=4782)</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>7.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
no consensus for the clinical definition of fitness. We combined overweight and obese men due to the small number of obese men. Four different patterns of category changes in fitness and BMI were defined as followed: fit or normal weight at both the baseline and last examinations, fit or normal weight at the baseline but unfit or overweight or obese at the last examination, unfit or overweight or obese at the baseline but fit or normal weight at the last examination, and unfit or overweight or obese at both examinations.

To examine a dose-response relationship of changes in maximal METs, body weight, or percent body fat with mortality, changes in each continuous variable were further classified into fifths of each change per year of interval between the baseline and last examinations.

Mortality Surveillance
We followed participants for mortality from the last examination through the date of death for decedents or December 31, 2003 for survivors using the National Death Index. We excluded men with <1 year of follow-up to minimize potential bias due to serious underlying illness on mortality. We also excluded early deaths during the first 3 years of follow-up in an additional analysis.

Statistical Analysis
Baseline differences between survivors and decedents were assessed using $\chi^2$ for categorical variables and t test for continuous variables. We used Cox proportional hazard models to estimate the hazard ratios and 95% confidence intervals for all-cause and CVD mortality across changes in fitness and BMI. Analyses were adjusted for age, year of examination, parental CVD, BMI, and maximal METs at baseline; number of clinic visits between the baseline and last examinations; dummy variables for the combination patterns of each lifestyle factor (smoking status, alcohol intake, and physical activity) and the combination patterns of each medical condition (abnormal ECG, hypertension, diabetes, and hypercholesterolemia) at the baseline and last examinations; and changes in BMI or maximal METs for each other. We defined 4 combination patterns of each lifestyle factor (remained nonsmokers, became nonsmokers, became smokers, or remained smokers; remained nonheavy drinkers, became nonheavy drinkers, became heavy drinkers, or remained heavy drinkers; and remained active, became active, became inactive, or remained inactive) and three combination patterns of each medical condition (eg, remained normotensive, became hypertensive, or remained hypertensive) at the two examinations. The proportional hazards assumption was satisfied when comparing the log-log survival plots grouped on exposure categories. There were no significant interactions between fitness change and BMI change on all-cause and CVD mortality risks using interaction terms in the Cox regression. We used 2-sided probability values <0.05 to indicate statistical significance using SAS software (version 9.2).

Results
The mean (interquartile range) interval between the baseline and last examinations was 6.3 (7.0) years, with a range of 2 months to 28.7 years, and there were 914 deaths from all causes and 300 deaths from CVD during 11.4 (14.8) years of follow-up among 14 345 adult men. At baseline (Table 2), survivors were younger, fitter, more active, and less likely to be smokers compared with decedents. Survivors were also more likely to show favorable profiles in the medical conditions. In general, the current study population comprised middle-aged, slightly overweight (mean BMI 26.0; range 18.6–57.3 kg/m²), and relatively fit men (mean maximal MET 11.9; range 4.4–25.8) at baseline.

Compared with men who lost fitness (Table 3), men who maintained fitness had 30% and 28% lower risk of all-cause and CVD mortality, respectively; and men who improved fitness had 40% and 44% lower risk of corresponding mortality after adjusting for possible baseline confounders and changes in lifestyle factors and medical conditions (model 1). These associations remained nearly the same after further adjusting for BMI change (model 2). Each 1-MET improvement in fitness was associated with 15% and 19% lower risk of all-cause and CVD mortality, respectively, after adjusting for possible confounders and BMI change (model 2). However, BMI change was not significantly associated with all-cause mortality, but men whose BMI increased had a higher risk of CVD mortality compared with men whose BMI decreased after adjusting for possible confounders (model 1). When we further adjusted for maximal MET change (model 2), the magnitude of associations between BMI change and all-cause and CVD mortality were further attenuated, and the higher risk of CVD mortality in men whose BMI increased was no longer significant. Each 1-unit increase in BMI was associated with 6% and 8% higher risk of all-cause and CVD mortality, respectively (model 1). However, these associations were no longer significant after further adjusting for maximal MET change (model 2).
For fitness and BMI status change (Table 4), men who became fit or remained fit had approximately 40–50% lower risk of all-cause and CVD mortality compared with men who remained unfit, whereas BMI status change was not significantly associated with either all-cause or CVD mortality after adjusting for possible confounders and BMI change or fitness change for each other. Men who became unfit had a higher risk of CVD mortality compared with men who remained unfit even after adjusting for BMI change.

In additional analyses stratified by baseline fitness status or BMI status, fitness change was inversely associated with all-cause and CVD mortality among both unfit and fit men at baseline (all P for linear trend <0.05); however, no significant associations were found between BMI change and either all-cause or CVD mortality.

### Table 3. Hazard Ratios of All-Cause and Cardiovascular Disease Mortality by Changes in Fitness and BMI in 14 345 Men

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Model 1*</th>
<th>Model 2†</th>
<th>Model 1*</th>
<th>Model 2†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fitness change</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>0.70 (0.59–0.82)</td>
<td>0.70 (0.59–0.83)</td>
<td>0.72 (0.54–0.97)</td>
<td>0.73 (0.54–0.98)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gain</td>
<td>0.60 (0.50–0.71)</td>
<td>0.61 (0.51–0.73)</td>
<td>0.56 (0.41–0.76)</td>
<td>0.58 (0.42–0.80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P for linear trend</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per 1-MET increase</td>
<td>0.84 (0.80–0.88)</td>
<td>0.85 (0.80–0.89)</td>
<td>0.80 (0.74–0.88)</td>
<td>0.81 (0.74–0.89)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BMI change</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>1.14 (0.96–1.35)</td>
<td>1.06 (0.90–1.26)</td>
<td>1.04 (0.76–1.42)</td>
<td>0.98 (0.71–1.34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gain</td>
<td>1.15 (0.98–1.36)</td>
<td>1.03 (0.87–1.23)</td>
<td>1.39 (1.05–1.84)</td>
<td>1.26 (0.94–1.69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P for linear trend</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per 1-BMI increase</td>
<td>1.06 (1.01–1.10)</td>
<td>1.03 (0.98–1.08)</td>
<td>1.08 (1.01–1.17)</td>
<td>1.06 (0.97–1.14)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Adjusted for age, examination year, parental cardiovascular disease (CVD), BMI, and maximal METs at baseline; the combination patterns of each lifestyle factor (smoking status, alcohol intake, and physical activity) and each medical condition (abnormal electrocardiogram, hypertension, diabetes, and hypercholesterolemia) at the baseline and last examinations; and the number of clinic visits between the baseline and last examinations.

†Adjusted for model 1 plus BMI change (for fitness change) or maximal MET change (for BMI change) between the baseline and last examinations.

### Table 4. Hazard Ratios of All-Cause and Cardiovascular Disease Mortality by Changes in Fitness Status and BMI Status in 14 345 Men

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Model 1*</th>
<th>Model 2†</th>
<th>Model 1*</th>
<th>Model 2†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fitness status change‡</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remained unfit</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Became unfit</td>
<td>1.40 (0.97–2.02)</td>
<td>1.38 (0.95–1.99)</td>
<td>1.95 (1.07–3.56)</td>
<td>1.89 (1.03–3.45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Became fit</td>
<td>0.52 (0.39–0.69)</td>
<td>0.53 (0.40–0.71)</td>
<td>0.58 (0.36–0.92)</td>
<td>0.59 (0.37–0.95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remained fit</td>
<td>0.52 (0.40–0.66)</td>
<td>0.52 (0.40–0.66)</td>
<td>0.56 (0.36–0.85)</td>
<td>0.56 (0.37–0.85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BMI status change</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remained normal weight</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Became normal weight</td>
<td>1.00 (0.77–1.29)</td>
<td>1.06 (0.82–1.38)</td>
<td>1.15 (0.74–1.78)</td>
<td>1.24 (0.80–1.93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Became overweight or obese</td>
<td>1.11 (0.85–1.44)</td>
<td>1.05 (0.80–1.36)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.59–1.70)</td>
<td>0.95 (0.56–1.61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remained overweight or obese</td>
<td>1.04 (0.89–1.22)</td>
<td>1.03 (0.88–1.20)</td>
<td>1.20 (0.91–1.59)</td>
<td>1.19 (0.90–1.57)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Adjusted for age, examination year, parental cardiovascular disease (CVD), and BMI (for fitness change) or maximal METs (for BMI change) at baseline; the combination patterns of each lifestyle factor (smoking status, alcohol intake, and physical activity) and each medical condition (abnormal electrocardiogram, hypertension, diabetes, and hypercholesterolemia) at the baseline and last examinations; and the number of clinic visits between the baseline and last examinations.

†Adjusted for model 1 plus BMI change (for fitness change) or maximal MET change (for BMI change) between the baseline and last examinations.

‡Unfit was defined as the least fit 20%, and fit was defined as the most fit 80% of maximal treadmill time.
mortality among both normal-weight and overweight or obese men (data not shown). To minimize potential biases caused by subclinical conditions on mortality, we additionally excluded deaths that occurred in the first 3 years of follow-up. The results were virtually the same, showing inverse associations between fitness change and all-cause and CVD mortality (both \( P \) for linear trend \( <0.001 \)) and no associations between BMI change and either mortality (data not shown).

Significant inverse dose-response relationships were observed among fifths of change in maximal METs and all-cause and CVD mortality (both \( P \) for linear trend \( <0.001 \)); however, there were no significant trends in either mortality across the fifths of changes in percent body fat and body weight (Figure 1). In the combined analyses of changes in fitness and BMI (Figure 2), men who lost fitness had a higher risk of both all-cause and CVD mortality regardless of BMI change, compared with the reference group, men who improved fitness and decreased BMI. An increase in BMI was not significantly associated with all-cause or CVD mortality when combined with maintaining or improving fitness. We provided characteristics at baseline and last examinations by 9 combinations of changes in fitness and BMI in the online-only data supplement table.

**Discussion**

The primary finding of this study is that maintaining or improving fitness was associated with a lower risk of both all-cause and CVD mortality compared with losing fitness in 14,345 men during 11.4 years of follow-up. Every 1 maximal MET improvement was associated with 15% and 19% lower risk of all-cause and CVD mortality, respectively. Also, men who became fit or remained fit had a lower risk of all-cause and CVD mortality compared with men who remained unfit. These associations were observed after accounting for possible confounding effects of baseline risk factors, changes in lifestyle factors and medical conditions, and simultaneous change in BMI. Moreover, these findings were consistent regardless of the men’s baseline fitness levels, and exclusion of early deaths did not alter the results.

Only 2 previous cohort studies on fitness change and mortality reported similar results, indicating a reduced mortality risk with improvements in fitness. In our earlier ACLS report, we found that both healthy and unhealthy men who maintained or improved fitness were less likely to die during 5 years of follow-up.10 A Norwegian study found an inverse relation between fitness change and mortality in 1,428 men aged 40 to 60 years at baseline after excluding those with any recognized disease.11 Compared with these previous studies, our current study has much larger sample size over a wider age range (20–100 years at baseline) and a longer follow-up time. We also took into account changes in lifestyle factors, medical conditions, and BMI. A recent meta-analysis on the association between a single assessment of fitness and mortality reported that a 1-MET higher...
all-cause mortality during follow-up. Our current results of 15% level of fitness at baseline was associated with 13% lower risk of (29), 2050 (34), and 874 (16) in the stable BMI group; and 2259 (23), 1184 (35), and 2686 (70) in the BMI loss group; 1660 of CVD deaths) in the fitness loss, stable, and gain groups were between the baseline and last examinations. The number of men (number of all-cause deaths) in the fitness loss, stable, and last examinations, and the number of clinic visits between the baseline and last examinations. The number of men (number of all-cause deaths) in the fitness loss, stable, and gain groups were 717 (82), 1240 (91), and 2824 (208) in the BMI loss group; 1732 (101), 2129 (113), and 921 (63) in the stable BMI group; and 2333 (115), 1412 (79), and 1037 (62) in the BMI gain group, respectively. The number of men (number of CVD deaths) in the fitness loss, stable, and gain groups were 658 (23), 1164 (35), and 2686 (70) in the BMI loss group; 1660 (29), 2050 (34), and 674 (16) in the stable BMI group; and 2259 (41), 1361 (28), and 999 (24) in the BMI gain group, respectively.

Figure 2. Hazard ratios (95% confidence intervals) of all-cause (A) and cardiovascular disease (CVD) (B) mortality by combinations of changes in fitness and BMI in 14 345 men. All data were adjusted for age, examination year, parental CVD, BMI, and maximal METs at baseline, the combination patterns of each lifestyle factor (smoking status, alcohol intake, and physical activity) and each medical condition (abnormal ECG, hypertension, diabetes, and hypercholesterolemia) at the baseline and last examinations, and the number of clinic visits between the baseline and last examinations. The number of men (number of all-cause deaths) in the fitness loss, stable, and gain groups were 717 (82), 1240 (91), and 2824 (208) in the BMI loss group; 1732 (101), 2129 (113), and 921 (63) in the stable BMI group; and 2333 (115), 1412 (79), and 1037 (62) in the BMI gain group, respectively. The number of men (number of CVD deaths) in the fitness loss, stable, and gain groups were 658 (23), 1164 (35), and 2686 (70) in the BMI loss group; 1660 (29), 2050 (34), and 674 (16) in the stable BMI group; and 2259 (41), 1361 (28), and 999 (24) in the BMI gain group, respectively.

level of fitness at baseline was associated with 13% lower risk of all-cause mortality during follow-up. Our current results of 15% and 19% lower risk of all-cause and CVD mortality, respectively, with every 1-MET improvement after further adjusting for BMI change confirms the strong effects of not only baseline fitness but also fitness change on all-cause and CVD mortality, independent of simultaneous change in BMI. The most important original finding from our combined analysis is that men who lost fitness had a higher mortality risk regardless of BMI change compared with the reference group, men who improved fitness and decreased BMI. However, men who maintained or improved their fitness were more likely to attenuate the potentially negative effects of BMI increase on all-cause and CVD mortality. Because we could not find similar studies examining the combined associations of changes in fitness and BMI with mortality, we could not directly compare our results with others. However, in our earlier studies on the joint associations of fitness and BMI at a single baseline assessment with mortality, we found comparable results indicating the higher risk of all-cause and CVD mortality associated with being unfit regardless of BMI status in men, older adults, and men with diabetes mellitus or hypertension. Other studies also reported a higher risk of all-cause and CVD mortality in unfit men regardless of their BMI status. In the relations between change in fitness and changes in lifestyle factors and medical conditions, men who became sedentary, started smoking, or developed disease such as hypertension or diabetes mellitus were more likely to decrease their fitness levels, after adjusting for BMI change (data not shown), as stated earlier. The strongest association was observed between changes in physical activity and fitness, indicating that, among men who became active, 80% maintained or improved their fitness, whereas, among men who became sedentary, 47% lost their fitness levels (data not shown). Although fitness has some genetic components, it is suggested that physical activity is likely one of the important mechanisms explaining fitness change.

In this study, BMI change was not significantly associated with all-cause mortality, and the observed higher risk of CVD mortality associated with BMI gain was no longer significant once fitness change was taken into account, indicating modifiable effects by fitness change on the association between BMI change and CVD mortality. These findings were consistent after further consideration of subclinical conditions by excluding early deaths and irrespective of baseline BMI status. Although overweight and obesity defined at a single baseline assessment are well-established mortality predictors, prospective studies of long-term BMI change and mortality have remained controversial. Some studies showed results similar to ours, indicating no significant associations between BMI or weight change and all-cause or CVD mortality after taking preexisting diseases into account. Others reported that BMI or weight loss is associated with a higher mortality risk. However, it is suggested that the association between BMI or weight loss and a higher mortality risk may be due to failure to control medical conditions. In fact, many studies reporting a higher risk of mortality associated with BMI or weight loss appeared to have some limitations due to lack of adequate health and medical information or failure to control for preexisting diseases or subclinical conditions leading to both weight loss and a high risk of mortality. We excluded men with CVD and cancer, men who were underweight (BMI <18.5 kg/m²), or men not reaching 85% of the age-predicted maximal heart rate on the treadmill test not only at the baseline but also at the last examination prior to the subsequent mortality follow-up. We also excluded men reporting unexplained weight change at the last examination. Furthermore, changes in lifestyle factors and medical conditions were taken into account in the analysis. We believe these extensive exclusion criteria and comprehensive analysis can minimize the possible bias from preexisting or subclinical conditions on the associations of changes in fitness and BMI with mortality. Because none of the previous studies on weight change have considered fitness change in their analyses, it is hard to assess whether BMI or weight change is associated with mortality independent of fitness change in other studies.
There are several other important issues to consider regarding BMI or weight loss and mortality. First, some observational studies show that intentional weight loss may be beneficial to longevity,13,42,43 but recent reviews report conflicting findings in studies on intentional weight loss and mortality requiring well-designed further studies.15,15 Although overall weight loss may be deleterious, fat loss may possibly be associated with greater longevity, as suggested from the Tecumseh Community Health Study and the Framingham Heart Study.37 However, our data showed no significant trends in all-cause or CVD mortality across fifths of change in percent body fat, similar to BMI change. There is evidence that weight variability or fluctuation is associated with higher mortality risk.34,35,44,45 An additional analysis in our sample with 8,150 men with at least 3 medical examinations indicated that every 1 kg of weight variability (defined as intrapersonal standard deviation of weight change) was associated with higher mortality risk even after adjusting for BMI change (data not shown). Therefore, it is still possible that weight variability instead of weight loss or gain may be associated with a higher mortality risk. The Finnish Twin Cohort study observed no excess mortality risk following weight loss among those exercising but found a higher mortality risk in those dieting to lose weight.46 It is therefore important to determine how different methods used for weight loss can affect mortality, and well-designed randomized clinical trials are needed.

This study expands our knowledge and understanding of the roles of fitness and obesity on mortality by exploring the independent and combined associations of changes in fitness and BMI with all-cause and CVD mortality. A relatively large sample size across a wide age-range, extensive mortality follow-up, objectively measured fitness and BMI, and extensive control of potential biases caused by preexisting diseases or subclinical conditions strengthen our findings. A major limitation is that our sample consists of well-educated white men from middle-to-upper socioeconomic strata. However, physiological characteristics of men in the ACLS are similar to representative population samples.27 Also, the socioeconomic homogeneity reduces the possible confounding effects of education, income, and ethnicity. The current results may not apply to severely obese or extremely unfit individuals because the population in this study was, as suggested from the Tecumseh Community Health Study and the Framingham Heart Study.37 However, our data showed no significant trends in all-cause or CVD mortality across fifths of change in percent body fat, similar to BMI change. There is evidence that weight variability or fluctuation is associated with higher mortality risk.34,35,44,45 An additional analysis in our sample with 8,150 men with at least 3 medical examinations indicated that every 1 kg of weight variability (defined as intrapersonal standard deviation of weight change) was associated with higher mortality risk even after adjusting for BMI change (data not shown). Therefore, it is still possible that weight variability instead of weight loss or gain may be associated with a higher mortality risk. The Finnish Twin Cohort study observed no excess mortality risk following weight loss among those exercising but found a higher mortality risk in those dieting to lose weight.46 It is therefore important to determine how different methods used for weight loss can affect mortality, and well-designed randomized clinical trials are needed.

In conclusion, maintaining or improving fitness is associated with a lower risk of premature deaths from all-causes and CVD in men. Preventing fitness loss with age, regardless of whether BMI changes, is important for mortality risk reduction. Maintaining or improving fitness may also attenuate some potentially negative effects of weight gain on mortality. To date, extensive attention has been given to weight loss. However, the long-term effect of fitness change, primarily resulting from increasing physical activity, is likely to be at least as important as weight loss for reducing premature mortality. Increased attention needs to be placed on strategies to maintain or improve fitness.
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If overweight or obese persons, who comprise two thirds of the US population, can reduce the risk of premature mortality by improving physical activity or fitness, this carries a large clinical and public health implication. Studies of cardiorespiratory fitness (hereafter fitness) change and mortality are sparse, and long-term effects of body mass index (BMI) change on mortality are inconsistent. Also, the combined associations of changes in fitness and BMI with mortality remain controversial and uncertain. This study found that maintaining or improving fitness was associated with a lower risk of death from both all-causes and cardiovascular disease in 14,345 men during 11.4 years of follow-up, after accounting for possible confounding effects of baseline risk factors, changes in lifestyle factors and medical conditions, and simultaneous BMI change. Men who lost fitness also had a higher mortality risk regardless of BMI change in our combined analyses. Given the great difficulties of losing weight and maintaining a reduced weight over the long term, this study underscores the benefits of maintaining and improving fitness to reduce mortality risk independent of weight change, and is important for the development of health recommendations and policies. To date, extensive attention has been given to weight loss. However, the long-term effect of fitness change, primarily resulting from increasing physical activity, is likely to be at least as important as weight loss for reducing premature mortality. Increased attention needs to be placed on strategies to maintain or improve fitness.
Supplemental Table. Characteristics at Baseline and Last Examinations by Combinations of Changes in Cardiorespiratory Fitness and Body Mass Index in 14,345 Men.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BMI change</th>
<th>Loss</th>
<th>Stable</th>
<th>Gain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fitness Change</td>
<td>Fitness Change</td>
<td>Fitness Change</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>Gain</td>
<td>Loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body weight, kg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At baseline</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84.4</td>
<td>85.9</td>
<td>87.2</td>
<td>81.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(11.6)</td>
<td>(12.6)</td>
<td>(13.2)</td>
<td>(10.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At last</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81.5</td>
<td>82.3</td>
<td>82.6</td>
<td>82.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(11.6)</td>
<td>(11.8)</td>
<td>(11.8)</td>
<td>(10.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index, kg/m²</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At baseline</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>25.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3.2)</td>
<td>(3.5)</td>
<td>(3.6)</td>
<td>(2.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At last</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>25.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3.1)</td>
<td>(3.2)</td>
<td>(3.2)</td>
<td>(2.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Cardiorespiratory fitness, maximal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>METs</th>
<th>At baseline</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(2.4)</td>
<td>(2.2)</td>
<td>(2.2)</td>
<td>(2.4)</td>
<td>(2.0)</td>
<td>(2.3)</td>
<td>(2.4)</td>
<td>(2.1)</td>
<td>(2.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure, mm Hg</td>
<td>At baseline</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(14)</td>
<td>(14)</td>
<td>(14)</td>
<td>(13)</td>
<td>(13)</td>
<td>(12)</td>
<td>(13)</td>
<td>(13)</td>
<td>(12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>At last</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(14)</td>
<td>(14)</td>
<td>(13)</td>
<td>(15)</td>
<td>(14)</td>
<td>(13)</td>
<td>(14)</td>
<td>(13)</td>
<td>(12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg</td>
<td>At baseline</td>
<td>82 (9)</td>
<td>82 (9)</td>
<td>82 (10)</td>
<td>80 (9)</td>
<td>80 (9)</td>
<td>80 (9)</td>
<td>80 (9)</td>
<td>81 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>80 (9)</td>
<td>81 (9)</td>
<td>80 (9)</td>
<td>82 (9)</td>
<td>82 (9)</td>
<td>80 (9)</td>
<td>84 (10)</td>
<td>82 (9)</td>
<td>81 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting glucose, mg/dL</td>
<td>At baseline</td>
<td>103.3</td>
<td>101.8</td>
<td>101.8</td>
<td>99.9</td>
<td>99.0</td>
<td>98.2</td>
<td>98.8</td>
<td>98.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(23.3)</td>
<td>(17.7)</td>
<td>(18.5)</td>
<td>(12.5)</td>
<td>(12.4)</td>
<td>(11.7)</td>
<td>(13.5)</td>
<td>(11.7)</td>
<td>(13.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>At last</td>
<td>99.9</td>
<td>99.8</td>
<td>98.8</td>
<td>99.1</td>
<td>99.6</td>
<td>98.4</td>
<td>100.6</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(20.8)</td>
<td>(18.4)</td>
<td>(16.7)</td>
<td>(12.4)</td>
<td>(16.7)</td>
<td>(11.8)</td>
<td>(14.3)</td>
<td>(13.1)</td>
<td>(14.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol, mg/dL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At baseline</td>
<td>212.9</td>
<td>211.0</td>
<td>214.3</td>
<td>209.0</td>
<td>207.6</td>
<td>206.5</td>
<td>202.4</td>
<td>207.4</td>
<td>205.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(38.6)</td>
<td>(39.0)</td>
<td>(41.7)</td>
<td>(37.2)</td>
<td>(36.9)</td>
<td>(38.5)</td>
<td>(37.7)</td>
<td>(38.9)</td>
<td>(39.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At last</td>
<td>202.2</td>
<td>198.8</td>
<td>201.2</td>
<td>198.7</td>
<td>201.7</td>
<td>202.8</td>
<td>206.2</td>
<td>211.1</td>
<td>206.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(39.3)</td>
<td>(36.7)</td>
<td>(38.0)</td>
<td>(34.9)</td>
<td>(38.8)</td>
<td>(36.6)</td>
<td>(38.3)</td>
<td>(40.7)</td>
<td>(37.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal electrocardiogram, %*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At baseline</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At last</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoker, %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At baseline</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At last</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy drinker, %†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At baseline</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At last</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physically inactive, %‡</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At baseline</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At last</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parental CVD, %</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At baseline</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At last</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as mean (SD) unless otherwise indicated.

* Defined as abnormal resting or exercise electrocardiogram.

† Defined as >14 alcohol drinks per week.

‡ Defined as no leisure-time physical activity in the past 3 months before the examination.