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We report herein the characterization and binding properties of a microporous crystalline host formed by the self assembly of a bis-urea macrocycle 1. Bis-urea macrocycle 1 has been designed to crystallize into stacked hollow columns. The self-assembly process is guided primarily by hydrogen bonding and aromatic stacking interactions that yield crystals of filled host 1-acetic acid (AcOH). The AcOH guests are bound in the cylindrical cavities of the crystal. The guest AcOH can be removed by heating to form a stable crystalline apohost 1. Apohost 1 displays a type I gas adsorption isotherm with CO₂ that is consistent with an open framework microporous material. Apohost 1 binds a range of small molecule guests with specific stoichiometry. The formation of these inclusion complexes does not destroy the framework and therefore apohost 1 can be reused, much like a zeolite. We investigated the structure of apohost 1 and its inclusion complexes by powder X-ray diffraction. The ability of guests to bind and their stoichiometry could be rationalized on the basis of the size, shape, and polarity of the guest molecules. Finally, the shape selectivity of these self-assembled porous materials was demonstrated in competition studies in which apohost 1 preferentially bound p-xylene from a mixture of xylene isomers.

Introduction

There has been great interest in identifying new porous materials that can selectively bind guest molecules. An important goal is the ability to design the size and selectivity of the cavities in these new porous materials, as they could be tailored for specific applications. Both metal coordination and hydrogen bonding interactions have been used to direct the self-assembly of homogenous porous materials, with metal coordination generally yielding more robust frameworks. In contrast, porous materials assembled by hydrogen bonds usually have lower stability and collapse when the guests are removed. Although, in some cases, guests have been removed by exchange processes. Herein, we prepare and characterize a robust porous framework assembled from hydrogen-bonded units. This system is able to maintain its porous structure even upon removal of the included guest molecules. In addition, this porous material can reversibly bind other guest molecules.

The stability of this hydrogen-bonded system arises from the combination of multiple hydrogen-bonding interactions and from the stacking of rigid macrocycles. It has been shown that incorporation of multiple hydrogen-bond acceptors and donors into a single molecule greatly enhances the stability of assembled structures. In addition, many groups have used the self-assembly of macrocycles to create tubular structures. The columnar assembly of macrocycles forms stable porous channels that are defined by the aperture (internal size) of the macrocycle. The key design aspect of integrating these two strategies is to utilize the directional behavior of hydrogen bonds to ensure that the macrocycles are aligned to yield a porous channel (Figure 1). In contrast,
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the formation of offset assemblies would yield nonporous materials.

Therefore, we have utilized a combination of hydrogen bonds and π-stacking interactions to align macrocycles into columnar assemblies (Scheme 1). We have previously described the modular synthesis of bis-urea macrocycle 1 from rigid spacers and protected urea groups and its self-assembly to form a porous organic structure containing regular tubular channels. Macrocycle 1 was designed to contain urea groups, which are known to form strong directional hydrogen-bonding interactions. The rigid phenyl ether spacers of 1 preorganize the urea functionalities perpendicular to the plane of the macrocycle, an arrangement that is suited for the head-to-tail urea self-assembly and commensurate stacking of the macrocycles to form hollow cylinders. Aryl stacking interactions of the phenyl ethers provide additional stabilization of the assembly, and this rigid aryl framework maintains an open cavity even in the absence of a guest. The variation in the size and shape of the rigid spacers could lead to “tunable” channels with dimensions tailored for specific applications.

The single-crystal X-ray structure confirmed that macrocycle 1 assemblies in AcOH as designed to yield filled host 1·AcOH, which displays a tubular morphology with three-centered urea–urea hydrogen bonds extending along both sides of the structure (Figure 2). The channels formed by the assembly were filled with well-ordered acetic acid (AcOH) dimers. We observed that the AcOH could be removed from the channels, forming an empty crystalline material, apohost 1. Apohost 1 was able to reabsorb AcOH from the vapor phase to reform the original filled host 1·AcOH. Furthermore, apohost 1 could be reused, much like a zeolite, and showed reversible absorption and desorption of several guests, including AcOH, ethyl acetate, DMSO, and THF by TGA.

In this paper, we characterize the structure of apohost 1 and demonstrate that it maintains its porous open framework structure even in the absence of a guest, establishing that guest binding is not simply surface sorption. The size and binding selectivity of the channel of apohost 1 was examined. The structure of apohost 1 was probed by powder X-ray diffraction, and the porosity of apohost 1 was characterized by gas adsorption studies with carbon dioxide. The structural homogeneity and well-defined nature of the columnar cavity of apohost 1 allows small guest molecules to be bound with precise stoichiometry, and the confined channel can differentiate guest molecules based on shape. In addition, the structures of new inclusion complexes, host 1·guest, have been examined by powder X-ray diffraction, 1H NMR, and TGA.

Experimental Section

Materials. All the chemicals were purchased from Aldrich and used without further purification.

Synthesis of Bis-Urea Macrocycle 1. The previously described synthesis of macrocycle 1 was repeated and scaled to yield gram quantities. Monomer 1 was precipitated from water and isolated as an amorphous form.

Preparation of Filled Host 1·AcOH. Precipitate 1·AcOH was dissolved in acetic acid (100 mg/50 mL) by heating (120 °C); it was then hot filtered and slowly cooled to room temperature. Clear colorless microcrystalline needles formed in 3–5 days and were collected on a sintered glass funnel. The crystals were too thin for single-crystal X-ray analysis but showed powder diffraction patterns consistent with the previously reported X-ray structure.

Preparation of Host 1. The filled host 1·AcOH crystals were evacuated by heating at 120 °C for 2 h to form apohost 1. The loss of the AcOH could be followed by TGA. The clear microcrystals of apohost 1 were cooled under an inert atmosphere (He or N2).

Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) studies. Solutions containing filled host 1·AcOH crystals in AcOH were loaded dropwise on a silicone sheet using a micropipet and allowed to air-dry. The SEM image was recorded on an XL30 instrument in the ESEM mode with a 0.9 Torr vacuum (Figure 3). A second sample with apohost 1 was prepared similarly; however, the AcOH guest was removed from host 1·AcOH by heating in an oven at 120 °C for 2 h before the crystals were examined by SEM.

Absorption Studies. Crystalline apohost 1 was exposed to solvent vapor in a sealed chamber, and guest absorption followed for between 1 and 7 days until the system reached an equilibrium. The uptake of guest by apohost 1 to form host 1·guest was monitored by heating and measuring the change in weight by TGA and verified independently by 1H NMR.

Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA) Studies. Guest desorption studies were carried out on 5–10 mg of apohost 1 after equilibration with each guest in the vapor chamber. TA Instruments SDT-2960 simultaneous DTA–TGA was used at a heating rate of 4 °C/min from 25 to 140 °C under helium. Upon completion each sample was recollected for the next absorption–desorption cycle.

Powder X-ray Diffraction (PXRD) Studies. Samples of host 1·guest and apohost 1 were ground to a powder and examined by PXRD. X-ray powder diffraction data were collected on a Rigaku

DMAX-2100 powder X-ray diffractometer using CuKα radiation. Data were collected at increments of 0.05° and an exposure time of 5 s/step in the angular range 2–2θ 20° at ambient temperature.

**Quantification of Guest Stoichiometry by 1H NMR.** The crystalline apohost 1 (2 mg) was exposed to guest vapor in a sealed chamber for 1–7 days. The crystals were transferred directly into an NMR tube and dissolved in 1 mL of d6-DMSO solvent. The NMR tube was sonicated and gently heated until all the material was dissolved. The NMR spectra were recorded by a 300 MHz Mercury Varian NMR spectrometer. Macrocycle:guest ratios were calculated from proton integration.

**Solid-State NMR Studies.** 13C (125.79 MHz) solid-state NMR studies were acquired on unground apohost 1 and unground host 1·AcOH using a Varian Inova 500 MHz spectrometer equipped with a double-resonance Doty Scientific XC 4 mm magic angle spinning (MAS) probe. Tangential ramped cross-polarization was performed with the vendor’s supplied pulse sequence. A 1 s equilibration delay was used between each transient. A spinning speed of 8 kHz and TOSS sideband suppression were used for all measurements. The cross-polarization build-up experiment was performed at contact times of 0.2–1.6 ms. Values after these showed a gradual loss of intensity. True T1F for both 1H and 13C could not be measured because of safety limitations in the RF pulse duration (8 ms).

**Micropore Analysis.** CO2 gas adsorption analyses were performed by Quantachrome Instruments, 1900 Corporate drive, Boynton Beach, FL 33426. Freshly recrystallized host 1·AcOH (100 mg) was analyzed by TGA to confirm its AcOH binding capacity and porous structure. The guest was removed by heating and apohost 1 was then sent to Quantachrome for gas absorption analysis using a Quantachrome Autosorb 1 instrument. CO2 gas (P0 = 26 115 Torr for CO2 at 273 K and P0 = 1414 Torr at 195 K) was used as adsorbate and the isotherm was generated at 0 °C (273 K) and −78 °C (195 K). An isotherm at 0 °C with 40 points in adsorption and 20 in desorption was measured in a pressure range of 0.05–0.995. The actual relative pressure was 0.0003–0.03 after correcting for the P0 value at 273 K. A second isotherm was measured at −78 °C with 23 points in adsorption in a pressure range of 0.05–0.995 with a P0-corrected pressure of 0.05–0.5. The volume pressure data thus obtained were reduced using Autosorb software for surface area, total pore volume, and pore size distribution calculations.

**Results and Discussion**

The structure of filled host 1·AcOH contains ordered AcOH guests in a 1:1 ratio (macrocycle 1:AcOH) that fill the columnar cavities formed by the stacked macrocycles. The guest AcOH could be quantitatively removed from the structure by heating to form apohost 1. The AcOH could also be reintroduced into this host returning to the initial host 1·AcOH (Scheme 1). The host could also absorb other small molecule guests to form new inclusion complexes. These binding properties appear to be directly related to the assembled structure of host 1·AcOH, as amorphous 1 col-
lected from precipitation from H₂O shows no AcOH binding. The acetate acid solvent appears to play a role in assembly of macrocycle H into this columnar framework, and crystals formed from AcOH:propanoic acid showed a different assembly pattern. This paper explores the structure and binding properties of apohost H and the properties of the new inclusion complexes (host H·guest) via SEM, PXRD, and gas adsorption studies.

Host H·AcOH typically forms thin needlelike crystals from AcOH. SEM measurements of the crystals estimated their average size as ~250 μM x 3 μM (Figure 3a). The AcOH guests were removed from the microcrystals of host H·AcOH by heating. The apohost H crystals remained clear and colorless and showed no change in morphology or average size. SEM images showed no changes between the filled host H·AcOH crystals and the apohost H (Figure 3b). The apohost H crystals are robust and display no phase changes up to 300 °C by differential scanning calorimetry. We examined the structure of filled host H·AcOH and apohost H by PXRD.6 Host H·AcOH was ground to a powder and examined by PXRD (Figure 4, top). The guest was removed from the bulk powder by heating to 100 °C for 3 h. The absence of guest was confirmed by 1H NMR. The PXRD pattern of apohost H shows a different but well-defined structure (Figure 4, middle). Upon treatment with AcOH vapor for 24 h, this empty powder reabsorbed AcOH in a 1:1 ratio and exhibited a PXRD pattern with peak positions and intensities nearly identical to the original X-ray structure while retaining the same lattice parameters. Of course, there will be some atomic rearrangement upon removal of the acetic acid; however, we treated the host as a rigid unit as a first approximation. The large degree of structural complexity and number of parameters prevents a complete structural analysis of the polycrystalline sample by structure refinement techniques. The features of the simulated diffraction pattern for apohost H shows reasonably good agreement with the experimental pattern, especially the large increase in intensity of the low angle peaks. The simulated pattern correctly predicts the large increase in intensity of the 011 and 020 peaks observed near 2θ = 7.6 and 8.4° (Figure 5b). These results suggest that the long-range order of the host is retained in the absence of the guest.

Assuming the space group remains unchanged, we performed a least-squares refinement of the lattice parameters of apohost H. Comparison of the refined unit cell of apohost H to the filled host H·AcOH (Figure 6) indicates that the unit-cell parameter a, which lies along the long axis of the tubes, undergoes minimal change from 9.32 to 9.29 Å (Table 1). Changes are also observed in cell parameter c, which lengthens slightly from 13.96 to 14.39 Å. Removal of the AcOH guest most significantly affects unit-cell parameter b, which shortens slightly from 21.88 to 21.05 Å. In the host, the decrease in the b lattice parameter is evident in the shift of the experimentally observed 030 peak at 2θ = 14.1° compared to a position of 13.9° when the lattice parameters are fixed at the values of the filled host H·AcOH. We did not simulate the diffraction pattern with the refined unit cell of apohost H, because the atomic positions are not rigorously known in the refined unit cell of apohost H; therefore, retaining the atomic positions with the refined cell would generate some nonphysical bond distances. Clearly, additional studies are needed to accurately determine the complete crystal structure of apohost H. The agreement between the simulated and experimental diffraction patterns suggest that although there are certainly some slight structural differences between apohost H and host H·AcOH, the long-range structural order appears to be retained. We are currently attempting to grow single crystals to better elucidate the structural changes between host H·AcOH and apohost H.

**Gas Adsorption Studies.** From the PXRD studies, we conclude that the host has a long-range order similar to that of filled host H·AcOH; however, we have not established that apohost H retains a stable open channel as predicted. Noncovalent assemblies often collapse into more dense structures upon guest removal and do not maintain permanent voids.14 However, many such systems are flexible enough that they are able to re-adsorb guests, returning to the original pattern closely matches the observed pattern, supporting the hypothesis that the bulk powder retains a structure similar to the single crystal (Figure 5a). For apohost H, a simulated diffraction pattern was calculated using POUDEIX after removing the atomic coordinates associated with the acetic acid from the host H·AcOH X-ray structure while retaining the same lattice parameters. Of course, there will be some atomic rearrangement upon removal of the acetic acid; however, we treated the host as a rigid unit as a first approximation. The large degree of structural complexity and number of parameters prevent a complete structural analysis of the polycrystalline sample by structure refinement techniques. The features of the simulated diffraction pattern for apohost H shows reasonably good agreement with the experimental pattern, especially the large increase in intensity of the low angle peaks. The simulated pattern correctly predicts the large increase in intensity of the 011 and 020 peaks observed near 2θ = 7.6 and 8.4° (Figure 5b). These results suggest that the long-range order of the host is retained in the absence of the guest.

Assuming the space group remains unchanged, we performed a least-squares refinement of the lattice parameters of apohost H. Comparison of the refined unit cell of apohost H to the filled host H·AcOH (Figure 6) indicates that the unit-cell parameter a, which lies along the long axis of the tubes, undergoes minimal change from 9.32 to 9.29 Å (Table 1). Changes are also observed in cell parameter c, which lengthens slightly from 13.96 to 14.39 Å. Removal of the AcOH guest most significantly affects unit-cell parameter b, which shortens slightly from 21.88 to 21.05 Å. In the host, the decrease in the b lattice parameter is evident in the shift of the experimentally observed 030 peak at 2θ = 14.1° compared to a position of 13.9° when the lattice parameters are fixed at the values of the filled host H·AcOH. We did not simulate the diffraction pattern with the refined unit cell of apohost H, because the atomic positions are not rigorously known in the refined unit cell of apohost H; therefore, retaining the atomic positions with the refined cell would generate some nonphysical bond distances. Clearly, additional studies are needed to accurately determine the complete crystal structure of apohost H. The agreement between the simulated and experimental diffraction patterns suggest that although there are certainly some slight structural differences between apohost H and host H·AcOH, the long-range structural order appears to be retained. We are currently attempting to grow single crystals to better elucidate the structural changes between host H·AcOH and apohost H.
isotherm being indicative of microporous systems.\(^{18}\) The adsorption isotherms are grouped by type (I–VI),\(^{17}\) with a type I isotherm being indicative of microporous systems.\(^{15}\) The host–guest structure.\(^{15}\) Gas sorption studies can provide evidence that a material maintains permanent voids, such as the “empty” channel structure proposed for apohost.\(^{1}\) These studies are typically carried out by gas adsorption, and porous materials are classified on the basis of their pore sizes as micro- (diameter (d) < 2 nm), meso- (2 nm < d < 50 nm), or macroporous materials (d > 50 nm).\(^{16}\) Typically, adsorption isotherms are grouped by type (I–VI),\(^{17}\) with a type I isotherm being indicative of microporous systems.\(^{15}\) The standardized adsorption experiment incrementally increases the partial pressure (P) of the gas, usually N\(_2\), above a sample and records the amount of N\(_2\) adsorbed after an equilibrium is reached.\(^{19}\) Relative pressures (P/P\(_0\)) are calculated, where P\(_0\) is the saturation pressure of the gas at the experimental temperature. For high-surface-area materials at low temperature (77 K), N\(_2\) adsorption can take days and, in practice, it is more reliable to measure CO\(_2\) sorption at 273–298 K, conditions that favor adsorption at low partial pressures.\(^{20}\) For example, the porosity of metal–organic frameworks with tubular channels have been measured by CO\(_2\) adsorption and show type I adsorption isotherms,\(^ {1a,21}\) displaying sharp uptake at P/P\(_0\) < 0.1.\(^ {22}\)

The porosity of apohost was confirmed by CO\(_2\) gas adsorption measurements. These measurements were performed by Quantachrome Instruments using a Quantachrom Autosorb 1. Apohost was outgassed overnight at 120 °C. The adsorption isotherms were measured at two different temperatures (0 and −78 °C). The adsorption isotherm at 0 °C shows CO\(_2\) uptake at P/P\(_0\) < 0.03, consistent with a microporous material (Figure 7a). Desorption at 0 °C showed no hysteresis, suggesting that the adsorption behavior was due to microporosity. The adsorption isotherm could not be followed to saturation at 0 °C because of the higher vapor pressure of CO\(_2\). Therefore, the CO\(_2\) adsorption isotherm was

![Figure 5](image1.png)

**Figure 5.** (a) Comparison of observed and simulated PXRD patterns of filled host I·AcOH. (b) Comparison of observed and simulated PXRD patterns for apohost I.

![Figure 6](image2.png)

**Figure 6.** Monoclinic host I·AcOH, space group P2\(_1\)/c with unit cell volume = 2796.8(2) Å\(^3\). Cell parameter a lies along the axis of the columns.

| Table 1. Comparison of Unit-Cell Parameters for Filled Host I·AcOH and Apohost I |
|---|---|---|---|
| host I·AcOH | apohost I calc'd from PXRD pattern |
| a (Å) | 9.3219 | 9.29 |
| b (Å) | 21.8875 | 21.051 |
| c (Å) | 13.9573 | 14.392 |
| β (deg) | 100.85 | 103.41 |
| V (Å\(^3\)) | 2797 | 2741 |


(22) Côté, A. P.; Benin, A. I.; Ockwig, N. W.; O’Keeffe, M.; Matzger, A. J.; Yaghi, O. M. *Science* 2005, 310, 1166.
remeasured at $-78 \, ^\circ C$ to $P/P_0 = 0.5$ and reached saturation (Figure 7b). The isotherm displayed a very sharp uptake at $P/P_0 < 0.05$, a feature of a type I isotherm indicative of a microporous material. The CO$_2$ uptake at saturation was calculated to be $71.5 \, \text{cm}^3/\text{g}$ at $P/P_0 = 0.5$ and $-78 \, ^\circ C$. The Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) method was applied to the isotherm at $P/P_0$ between 0.018 and 0.029 and gave an apparent surface area of 316 m$^2$/g at $0 \, ^\circ C$ (Figure 7c) and 341 m$^2$/g at $-78 \, ^\circ C$ (not shown). Similar surface area measurements are typical for microporous zeolites; for example, zeolite CsZSM-5 shows a surface area of 310 m$^2$/g at $0 \, ^\circ C$. The total pore volume was calculated as being 0.059 cm$^3$/g at $0 \, ^\circ C$ for pores smaller than 6.5 Å in diameter at $P/P_0 = 0.029$. 

Monte Carlo simulations and nonlocal density functional theory (NLDFT) provide methods of analyzing pore size distributions of porous materials. Ravikovitch et al. have introduced the NLDFT model for pore size characterization on the basis of CO$_2$ isotherms measured at $0 \, ^\circ C$ at subatmospheric pressures. The absorbance isotherm calculated by Quantachrome Instruments using Autosorb software with NLDFT methods closely matched the experimental isotherm (relative fitting error $= 0.030\%$). These NLDFT methods were used to calculate the pore size distribution (Figure 7d). The distribution is broad from 5 to 12 Å with a majority of pores displaying a pore width of 5–6 Å and some slightly larger pores around ~8 Å.

The reported X-ray structure of filled host 1·AcOH is helpful for understanding the origin of this pore distribution. The channel formed by the stacked macrocycles is not a perfect cylindrical structure, but has a wider opening above and below the plane of the macrocycle and a more narrow space in the plane because of the position of two phenyl groups that point inward (Figure 8). The van der Waals surfaces of the pore defining atoms from the carbonyl carbon (C16A) to the phenyl hydrogen (H8) is 4.8 Å. Estimation of the cross-section at this point is $3.8 \times 4.8 \, \text{Å}$. Calculations on host 1·AcOH using PLATON also predict

![Figure 7. (a) Carbon dioxide adsorption isotherms at 0 °C for apohost 1. (b) Carbon dioxide adsorption isotherms at −78 °C for apohost 1. (c) Linearized BET plot of the CO$_2$ adsorption at 0 °C. (d) Pore size distribution histogram calculated for the adsorption isotherm at 0 °C.](image-url)
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(26) The density of CO$_2$ at $-78 \, ^\circ C$ under gas adsorption conditions was not known; therefore, we did not calculate the total pore volume for this temperature.
the host should show porosity and contain guest-accessible void space. The void volume for host 1âAcOH is estimated as being 13.7% of the unit-cell volume (383 Å³ per 2797 Å³ of unit-cell volume). In summary, apohost 1 appears to have a robust framework, to maintain open, accessible pores at both 0 and −78 °C, and shows CO₂ gas adsorption consistent with a microporous material.

Reversible Absorption/Desorption of AcOH. If guests are really binding into a channel with a diameter of 6.5 Å, as indicated by the gas adsorption data, versus a multiple pore/surface absorption, then we would expect guests to bind with a specific stoichiometry that is not dependent on surface area. Also, we predict that such a defined tubular channel would have size and shape specificity. We therefore investigated the absorption of larger guests into apohost 1 and examined the limits of the stability of this system.

Host 1âAcOH is formed by crystallization from AcOH (Figure 2) and contains guest AcOH dimers that could be removed and rebound. The binding stoichiometry of AcOH was determined by TGA to be 1:1, identical to that reported in the single-crystal structure.6 If binding were a surface phenomenon, then the binding ratio would increase with increasing surface area. Therefore, apohost 1 crystals were ground to a microcrystalline powder and equilibrated with AcOH vapor in a sealed chamber for 24–72 h to form filled host 1âAcOH. Both the ground apohost 1 and host 1âAcOH were found by SEM to be ~2 μM powders.

Both ground and unground crystals 1âAcOH showed reversible desorption of AcOH. The average weight loss for 71 absorption−desorption cycles from different batches/sizes of ground and unground crystals was 10.13 ± 0.37%, which corresponds to ~1:1 binding of AcOH (calculated weight loss 10.56%). The binding ratio does not appear to be dependent on crystal size, which varied from ~250 × 3 μM for the unground crystals to a ~2 μM ground crystals. These observations support the hypothesis that the guest is bound inside the structure and not absorbed on the surface.

Reversible Binding of Propanoic Acid. Next, we wanted to investigate if apohost 1 could bind larger carboxylic acids. We first tested propanoic acid, which has a slightly larger volume than AcOH (72 vs 55 Å³ as calculated by Macro-model).33 Both ground and unground apohost 1 were exposed to propanoic acid vapor in a sealed chamber for 24–72 h, forming host 1âpropanoic acid. No difference in binding was observed at the longer exposure times, suggesting that the system reached an equilibrium by 24 h. The presence of guest in the host was observed by TGA measurements and verified independently by 1H NMR. Integration of the 1H NMR spectra of the unground host 1âpropanoic acid dissolved in d₆-DMSO gave a calculated binding stoichiometry of 3.12:1 (macrocycle:propanoic acid). A weight loss of 8.56% was measured by TGA for unground host 1âpropanoic acid, occurring between 40 and 120 °C and corresponding to loss of the propanoic acid guest (Figure 9a, cycle 1). Two additional absorption−desorption cycles with the same crystals gave nearly identical weight loss curves. The data show that apohost 1 is able to bind the larger propanoic acid and that


(33) Modeling was performed using Macromodel 5.5; Columbia University: New York, 1996.
all the carboxylic acid that displayed single-step TGA desorption curves also showed reversible binding with repeatable stoichiometry, characteristic for the specific acid tested. Host 1-pentanoic acid was an amorphous material and displayed a two-step curve, yielding a material that was no longer able to rebind guests.

Table 2. Binding Stoichiometries (1:guest) for the Carboxylic Acid Series

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>guest</th>
<th>obsd weight loss (%)</th>
<th>1:guest</th>
<th>guest volume (Å³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>acetic acid</td>
<td>10.13</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>propanoic acid</td>
<td>8.52</td>
<td>3:2</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>butanoic acid</td>
<td>7.49</td>
<td>2:1</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pentanoic acid</td>
<td>5.60</td>
<td>7:2</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>acrylic acid</td>
<td>5.36</td>
<td>5:2</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

less of the larger propanoic acid is bound relative to AcOH. These observations are consistent with the hypothesis that the guests are bound in the channels of apohost 1 and that this confined area can accommodate less of the larger guest.

Ground apohost 1 was similarly equilibrated with propanoic acid vapor to form host 1-propanoic acid and shows a PXRD pattern distinct from both apohost 1 and host 1-AcOH (Figure 9b, top). The guest was removed by heating to 120 °C for 2 h, and the powder was re-examined by PXRD. The evacuated solid showed a well-defined structure (Figure 9b, middle) that closely matched that of apohost 1. Finally, upon retreatment of the evacuated ground apohost 1 with propanoic acid vapor, the powder exhibited a PXRD pattern with peak positions and intensities nearly identical to the original host 1-propanoic acid structure (Figure 9b, bottom). TGA analysis of this final host 1-propanoic acid powder showed a weight loss of 8.40%, which corresponds to 3:2 binding of propanoic acid. The data shows that apohost 1 can reversibly bind propanoic acid with reproducible stoichiometry. The new inclusion complex, host 1-propanoic acid, has a well-defined structure, and the observed binding ratio does not depend on the surface area, indicating that the guest is bound inside the channels and not absorbed on the surface.

Next, apohost 1 was tested with a series of small linear carboxylic acids, and all were found to be good guests. Apohost 1 formed stable inclusion complexes at room temperature with each of these linear carboxylic acids. Apohost 1 showed selectivity for smaller carboxylic acids and did not bind longer chain carboxylic acids such as heptanoic and octanoic acids. The stoichiometries of the host 1-carboxylic acid inclusion compounds could be readily calculated from

TGA desorption curves. Figure 10 shows TGA desorption curves for a series of low-molecular-weight carboxylic acids (acetic, propanoic, butyric, pentanoic, and acrylic acids). Each host 1-carboxylic acid complex displayed a different and characteristic weight loss. From the percent weight loss as measured by TGA, we calculated the macrocycle:guest stoichiometry for the carboxylic acid series and reported the averaged values in Table 2 for a minimum of three absorption—desorption experiments. Binding, as measured by the macrocycle:guest stoichiometry, decreased with increasing size of the guest, consistent with the guests binding in a confined environment. This decrease correlates well with guest volume, with the smallest acid (acetic) binding at the highest ratio (1:1 macrocycle:guest) and the largest (pentanoic) showing the lowest binding (7:2 macrocycle:guest). Conjugated acrylic acid was found to bind in 5:2 ratio (macrocycle:guest), which was less than expected given its calculated volume; however, this may be due to the different shape presented by the conjugated acid versus the simple carboxylic acids. Binding ratios steadily decreased with increasing guest volume (Table 2), suggesting that there is some size selectivity occurring as the guests absorb into a well-defined cavity. Each acid bound with a distinct stoichiometry that was repeatable for multiple (3–8) absorption—desorption cycles.

We investigated the absorption properties of apohost 1 with alkanes on the basis of previously published results that crystalline urea may be used to separate linear from branched alkanes via encapsulation (clathrates). Urea forms regular porous networks around long chain alkanes with the alkanes filling the center of the linear, parallel urea tunnels. Apohost 1 did not form inclusion complexes with any of the alkanes tested. No significant binding of alkanes was detected by 1H NMR and TGA. We conclude that apohost 1 preferentially binds polar guests and that the nonpolar alkanes are

(34) Table 2 reports the average binding stoichiometry for a minimum of three absorption—desorption experiments. The exact number of binding experiments measured was 71 for acetic acid, 8 for propanoic acid, 8 for butanoic acid, 3 for pentanoic acid, and 4 for acrylic acid.

either not absorbed or alternatively bind with very low affinity and desorb well below room temperature. It is likely that the polarizable phenyl ether structure of the macrocycle together with the urea groups create a polar interior that has higher affinity for polar guests.

Research on the carboxylic acid series indicated that size and shape play a role in determining binding stoichiometry. The narrow channels of apohost \( H_1 \) should be able to differentiate molecules on the basis of shape. The \( o-, m-, \) and \( p-xylene \) isomers offered a simple binding competition experiment to test shape selectivity. Molecular modeling using Macromodel indicated that the constrained channel should be highly shape selective for the more linear para isomer in comparison to the bent ortho and meta derivatives. In fact the \( p-xylene \) isomer is similar in shape to the slightly larger \( \text{AcOH} \) dimer, although the xylene has a lower polarity as estimated by dielectric constant (\( \varepsilon \)). The \( \pi^* \) solvent scale, based on the ability of a solvent to stabilize ionic or polar species, gives a measure of nonspecific electrostatic solvation and estimates that the \( p-xylene \) (0.45) is in fact more similar to acetic acid (0.64) than it is to \( n\)-hexanes (–0.11). The crystalline apohost \( H_1 \) was exposed to a mixture of \( o-, m-, \) and \( p-xylene \) in a sealed chamber at room temperature. All three isomers have the same vapor pressures and should be equally available in the vapor phase. The crystals were dissolved in \( \text{d}_6\)-DMSO and examined by \( ^1\text{H} \) NMR (Figure 11). The binding ratio was followed for 1–7 days and appeared to reach an equilibrium by 4 days. Only proton resonances corresponding to the \( p-xylene \) and the macrocycle were observed and the stoichiometry at equilibrium corresponded to 3:1 (macrocycle:guest). Calculation of binding stoichiometry from the TGA desorption curve (Figure 12b) also corresponded to 3:1 binding stoichiometry.

The absorption–desorption process could also be followed by PXRD (Figure 12). Ground apohost \( H_1 \) was similarly equilibrated with a mixture of \( o-, m-, \) and \( p-xylene \) to form host \( H_1\cdot xylene \) and showed a PXRD pattern distinct from both apohost \( H_1 \) and other host \( H_1 \)-complexes (Figure 12a, top). The evacuated solid showed a well-defined structure (Figure 12a, middle) that closely matched that of apohost \( H_1 \). Finally, upon retreatment of the evacuated ground apohost \( H_1 \) with xylene vapor, the powder exhibits a PXRD pattern with peak positions and intensities nearly identical to the original host \( H_1\cdot xylene \) structure. As a control, we equilibrated the host separately with each xylene isomer. No binding was observed for either the \( o- \) or \( m-xylene \), and \( p-xylene \) was bound in a 3:1 ratio by both \( ^1\text{H} \) NMR and TGA. This strong preference of apohost \( H_1 \) for the para isomer suggests experimentally that these guests are bound inside the channels instead of on the surface.

These studies lead us to postulate a simple binding schematic (Figure 13). First, the \( \text{AcOH} \) guest in filled host \( H_1\cdot \text{AcOH} \) can be removed by heating to form a robust apohost \( H_1 \), which has remarkable solid–gas molecular recognition properties. Apohost \( H_1 \) can absorb a new guest vapor to give a second inclusion complex. Heating releases this second guest, thereby returning to apohost \( H_1 \) structure that can readсорb \( \text{AcOH} \) and reform host \( H_1\cdot \text{AcOH} \). To test if the structural framework of apohost \( H_1 \) is retained after the

---

(36) A series of alkanes (hexane, heptane, octane, decane, dodecane, undecane, dodecane, tridecane, and tetradecane) were tested with the host; however, none showed significant binding by TGA or \( ^1\text{H} \) NMR. The binding ratio for heptane was typical for the alkane series (28:1 macrocycle:heptane).

removal of different guests, we compared the structure of
the apohosts by PXRD. Figure 14a shows a comparison of
the four apohosts formed upon removal of AcOH, propanoic
acid, xylenes and dimethyl sulfoxide which was previously
reported to be a guest for apohost 1. Each of these guests
forms a highly ordered inclusion complex with apohost 1
and gives a PXRD pattern distinct from apohost 1. Heating
removes the guest, reforming apohost 1. Examination of each
apohost by PXRD gave patterns with closely matched peak
positions and intensities, indicating that the structure of
apohost 1 is retained. This highly ordered apohost also gives
a sharp ramped $^{13}C(1H)$ CP–MAS NMR spectra, displaying
four resonances for the methylene carbons, 12 aromatic
carbons, and two carboxyls (Figure 14b). This is due to the
crystal environment, as the phenyl groups are no longer
equivalent; one is positioned approximately planar to the
plane of the macrocycle and the other is tilted to give a more
favorable edge to face aromatic stacking interaction. Yet,
DMSO, an aggressive hydrogen-bonding solvent, breaks up
the assembled structure, and dissolving these crystals in
d$_6$-DMSO gives $^1$H and $^{13}C$ NMR solution spectra that match
those of monomeric macrocycle 1.

In summary, the inclusion complex, filled host 1·AcOH,
formed by the self assembly of bis-urea macrocycle 1 in
AcOH can be evacuated completely of guests to form a
robust apohost 1 that displays a gas adsorption isotherm con-
sistent with that of a microporous material. This apohost has
a remarkable ability to bind and exchange guest molecules
from the vapor phase. A series of guests, including carboxylic
acids, alkanes, and aromatics were tested. Polar, linear
carboxylic acids were found to form the stable inclusion
complexes, whereas no binding was observed for nonpolar
alkanes. Apohost 1 displays binding selectivity by shape
exclusion, preferentially binding $p$-xylene from a mixture
of xylene isomers. We can begin to rationalize the affinity
and selectivity of guests binding in apohost 1 on the basis
of the size, shape, and polarity of the guests. Together, these
observations show that self-assembled bis-urea macrocycles
form robust porous structures that can selectively and revers-
ibly bind guests. We are now focusing on the mechanism
and kinetics of this solid–gas binding event and hope to
report on this in due course.
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