Abundant Protein-Containing Particles in the Sea

Richard A. Long  
*University of South Carolina - Columbia, longra@mailbox.sc.edu*

Farooq Azam  
*University of California - San Diego*

Follow this and additional works at: [https://scholarcommons.sc.edu/biol_facpub](https://scholarcommons.sc.edu/biol_facpub)

Part of the Biology Commons

**Publication Info**

© *Aquatic Microbial Ecology* 1996, Inter-Research.

This Article is brought to you by the Biological Sciences, Department of at Scholar Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of Scholar Commons. For more information, please contact digres@mailbox.sc.edu.
Abundant protein-containing particles in the sea

Richard A. Long*, Farooq Azam

Marine Biology Research Division, Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, California 92093-0202, USA

ABSTRACT: The interaction of bacteria with particulate organic matter has implications for organic matter cycling and bacterial ecology in the ocean. Until recently, the focus has been on 'classical' particles visible by unaided eye (marine snow) or light microscopy. Recent discoveries of several new types of abundant particles, from sub-micrometer to sub-marine snow, are changing our ideas of the physical and chemical nature of the particle field with which pelagic bacteria interact. Previous workers have discovered polysaccharide-containing (Alcian Blue stainable) transparent exopolymer particles (TEP) ranging from 3 to 100s of micrometers. Looking for additional components of the sub-marine snow particle field, we took into consideration that since protein is a major component of biogenic organic matter, proteinaceous particles might also be abundant and important in bacteria-particle interactions. We stained seawater with Coomassie Brilliant Blue G-250 (CBB), a protein stain, to reveal light to dark blue stained particles similar in shapes and size range to TEP. In samples filtered on Nuclepore filters, Coomassie Stained Particles (CSP) appeared globular, sheet- or string-like, while staining unfiltered water revealed 3-dimensional cloud-like shapes as well. Whether CSP are in fact TEP which also contain protein was tested by staining parallel samples with Alcian Blue and CBB (double staining a single sample was not possible since both dyes stain blue). CSP were 3 to 13 times more numerous and had up to 2 orders of magnitude greater area than TEP. Thus, while TEP and CSP may overlap, most CSP were distinct from TEP. Treatment of samples with Pronase E decreased CSP abundance and area by 78% and 96% respectively, confirming the proteinaceous nature of CSPs. The CSP abundance in coastal waters was 10^3 to 10^5 l^-1, and their area was 10^2 to 10^4 mm^2 l^-1; both generally decreased with depth. Small particles were 2 to 3 orders of magnitude more abundant than large particles. Double staining with CBB and a fluorescent nucleic acid stain, DAPI, revealed that 20 to 40% of CSP were colonized by bacteria. Since they contain protein, CSP may serve as a N source for bacteria and other organisms, and their production and utilization, which we did not study, may influence the flux and cycling of nitrogen in pelagic ecosystems.
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INTRODUCTION

Organic matter in the ocean occurs as a size continuum of truly dissolved, colloidal and particulate phases including particles visible to the naked eye. In addition to the living organisms the particulate phase includes detrital particles of varied chemical compositions and sizes. These particles play major roles in the ocean’s ecology and chemistry. They serve as food for animals and, after hydrolysis to the dissolved phase, as food for bacteria as well. They are a vehicle for the downward transport of organic matter in the water column.

Further, the dissolution and remineralization of the particulate phase are important processes for oceanic productivity and carbon cycling. It is therefore of interest to know the abundance, size-structure, composition and dynamics of the particle field in seawater.

In recent years, there has been a dramatic change in our knowledge of non-living particulate organic matter because of the discovery of new classes of highly abundant particles which had remained undetected by previous techniques. These range in size from sub-micrometer to hundreds of micrometers. Koike et al. (1990) using an electronic particle counter found 10^10 particles l^-1 from 0.38 to 1.0 μm in size. They suggested that these particles are organic and have microbial sources and sinks. Wells & Goldberg (1992, 1993)
discovered $10^{11}$ to $10^{14}$ sub-micrometer particles $\text{l}^{-1}$ by electron microscopy of samples sedimented by ultracentrifugation. Alldredge et al. (1993) discovered a class of transparent exopolymer particles (TEP) which could be visualized by staining with Alcian Blue (a dye that stains acidic mucopolysaccharides), apparently derived from phytoplankton (particularly diatoms) and bacteria. All TEP were colonized by bacteria (Passow & Alldredge 1994) and in 2 studies 26–68% and 2–26% of the bacteria in surface water samples were attached to TEP (Alldredge et al. 1993, Passow & Alldredge 1994). Very recently, Mostajir et al. (1995a, b) found a class of particles, 0.2 to 20 $\mu$m in size, which stain yellow with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) (DAPI Yellow Particles or DYP) and occur at abundances of $10^7$ $\text{l}^{-1}$.

We are interested in the significance of organic particles in the ecology of pelagic bacteria and the biogeochemical consequences of bacteria-particle interactions. Stimulated by the discoveries of 'new' particles, and considering that the macromolecular content of the biota is predominantly protein, we hypothesized that transparent proteinaceous particles should also be present and abundant in seawater. Since protein is a major source of nitrogen for pelagic bacteria, it was also of interest whether bacteria associate themselves with proteinaceous particles.

To test for the existence of transparent proteinaceous particles in seawater, we used Coomassie Brilliant Blue (CBB) G-250, a protein stain. CBB is commonly used in molecular biology to stain proteins in polyacrylamide gels and in biochemistry to quantify protein concentration in solution. These methods use low pH to increase color intensity and to linearize color yield as a function of protein concentration. A low pH staining protocol was undesirable for our purpose because it might lyse organisms and thereby increase the concentration of proteinaceous particles. The effect of pH on protonation of CBB and the formation of dye-protein complex has recently been studied. This study shows that CBB binds to proteins at neutral pH as well, and that the dye-protein complex formed at neutral pH is similar to that at low pH (Chial & Spittgerber 1993), although the color yield is lower at neutral pH. We developed a protocol based on staining at pH 7.4 which we then used for the detection of proteinaceous particles in seawater.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Sampling.** Sampling was conducted off the Scripps Pier (32° 53' N, 117° 15' W) by lowering a 1 l polycarbonate flask into the upper 0.5 m of the sea surface on 7 December 1994, 27 March 1995, 12 and 14 May 1995, and 18 and 19 September 1995. The sample from 27 March 1995 was taken during a bloom dominated by the dinoflagellate *Gonyaulax polyedra*. Water samples were processed within 2 h of sampling. In addition, samples were obtained during the Arabian Sea U.S.-JGOFS (Joint Global Ocean Flux Study) process cruise #1 at a coastal station (Stn 29, 18° 27' N, 57° 18'E; 31 January 1995) and an open ocean station (Stn 28, 18° 05' N, 56° 00' E; 29 and 30 January 1995, Stn 28a and Stn 28b respectively). Water samples were collected in 10 l Niskin bottles and processed within 2 h. All samples were gently handled to minimize the possibility of disrupting fragile organisms and particles or creating particles by agitating dissolved organic matter (DOM).

**Staining protocol.** Coomassie Brilliant Blue G-250 ('Serva Blue G') was purchased from Serva (New York, NY, USA). A 1% (w/v) stock solution in sterile Milli-Q water was prepared. Working solution was made daily by diluting the stock solution 25-fold in 0.2 $\mu$m filtered seawater to 0.04% (final) and pH 7.4. The working solution was 0.2 $\mu$m filtered for daily use. Samples of 1 to 25 ml were filtered under low vacuum (<200 mm Hg) onto 0.2, 0.8 or 5.0 $\mu$m polycarbonate filters (Nuclepore) backed by two 0.45 pm HA filters (Milli-Q Hg) onto 0.2, 0.8 or 5.0 $\mu$m polycarbonate filters (Nuclepore) backed by two 0.45 pm HA filters (Milli-pore) placed on a fritted glass base. A glass or acrylic filtration tower was used. The backing filters, base, and tower were rinsed with Milli-Q water between samples. Immediately after filtration, with the filters still in the tower, samples were stained by adding enough CBB working solution to cover the filter (350 ml when using a glass tower and 30 ml when using an acrylic tower). After staining for 30 s the stain was removed by vacuum filtration (<200 mm Hg). Filters were transferred to frosted microscope slides (Cytoclear™; Poretics Corp., Livermore, CA, USA) onto a drop of paraffin oil. These frosted slides permit transmitted light microscopy without the need to clear or dissolve the filter (Logan et al. 1994). A coverslip with a drop of paraffin oil on the downward side was placed on the filter. Slides were examined immediately or after storage at 4°C for up to 3 wk (Arabian Sea samples). An earlier control experiment showed that storage for at least 2 wk did not change the abundance of the stained particles (data not shown).

**Double staining with CBB and DAPI.** Samples were processed as for CBB staining, except that DAPI (1 $\mu$g ml$^{-1}$ final concentration) was added to the samples 10 min prior to filtering onto black polycarbonate filters (Porter & Feig 1980).

**Alcian Blue staining.** In order to compare the abundance of CBB-stained particles and TEP we stained selected samples in parallel. The method of Alldredge et al. (1993) as modified by Logan et al. (1994) was used. After filtration, with the filters still in the tower, the samples were stained with a 0.2 $\mu$m prefiltered
solution of 0.02% Alcian Blue 8GX (Sigma, A-9186) in 0.06% acetic acid (pH 3.3) for 2 s and vacuum-filtered (150 to 200 mm Hg) to dryness. The filters were mounted as described above for CBB staining.

Blanks. Blanks for CBB and Alcian Blue staining were prepared to account for the background of stained particles derived from the reagents and other sources. Nuclepore filters were wetted with 0.2 µm filtered seawater and then stained by the procedure used for the samples. Blanks were also prepared to test for the presence, albeit improbable, of naturally occurring blue particles in seawater. These blanks were prepared by filtering seawater onto Nuclepore filters and mounting the unstained samples.

Microscopy. Slides were examined by light microscopy at 312.5× magnification. Particles were sized and enumerated with a 10 × 10 ocular grid in which each grid opening had a projected dimension of 18 × 18 µm. Particles from 20 to 40 random grids per filter were enumerated and sized. For sheet-like particles (see Fig. 1a) the particle size was measured along the longest dimension and the widest dimension perpendicular to it. Surface area was calculated assuming the particle to be a rectangle. For globular particles (see Fig. 1a) the longest diameter was measured and surface area was calculated by assuming the particle to be a circle. The calculated area was multiplied by 2 to account for the area of both sides of the particle. Colonization of particles with bacteria was examined in samples stained both with DAPI and CBB and viewed at 1000× and 1600×.

Pronase E treatment. The purpose of this experiment was to determine the protease-lability of the Coomassie Staining Particles (CSP). In triplicate, 2 ml seawater samples from 7 December 1994 were incubated with 1 unit ml⁻¹ of bacterial Pronase E (type XIV, Sigma) at 20°C for 2 h. Controls consisted of seawater without Pronase E addition. A blank was prepared to account for the presence of CBB-staining particles in the enzyme solution, by adding Pronase E to 0.2 µm filtered seawater. The controls and the blank were incubated under the same conditions as the samples. All samples were filtered onto 0.2 µm filters, stained with CBB and processed as above.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Particle characterization

Staining seawater with CBB revealed abundant particles of varied sizes, shapes and 'textures' which stained bright to deep blue (Fig. 1). These included small (few µm) globular particles (Fig. 1a), long strands, tens to hundreds of µm in length (Fig. 1b) and sheet-like particles of varied sizes from a few µm up to several hundred µm (Fig. 1c, d). Their thickness appeared to be highly variable between particles as well as in different parts of the same particle. We could not quantify particle thicknesses, and further, the particle dimensions were most probably significantly changed by filtration. This is suggested by our observation of unfiltered CSP, using a dissecting microscope. The larger particles (>100 µm) which we observed in this manner had distinct and substantial third dimensions not discerned in the filtered samples. Some particles appeared gelatinous or had parts which appeared to be gelatinous. Manipulation of stained particles while viewing with the dissecting microscope gave the qualitative impression that the particles were sturdy, e.g. relative to most marine snow particles. Indeed, one might speculate that these sturdy sub-units aggregate into marine snow and that marine snow might disintegrate into such sub-units. While we did not quantify their abundance, we observed some larger particles which had algal cells embedded in them.

Since CBB stains protein, it could be concluded that the particles we observed contained protein, although we cannot say what fraction of the particle's mass consisted of protein. In order to further confirm the proteinaceous nature of the particles we treated the samples with Pronase E, which is a highly non-specific protease, and predicted that this treatment would reduce or eliminate the CSP. Indeed, Pronase E treatment caused a 78% reduction in CSP abundance and a 96% reduction in the particle area compared with a non-treated control (Fig. 2). The control itself decreased by 39% in particle abundance and increased by 70% in particle area during the 2 h incubation. We have not investigated the cause of changes in particle abundance and area in the control but they may indicate complex particle aggregation-disaggregation dynamics in seawater which may have been modified by the interactions of particle with the container walls. It is also relevant that Burrow-Kilgore & Wang (1983) showed that CBB stains only larger peptides and proteins, and that it does not stain amino acids and smaller peptides. From this observation and the fact that the particles we observed are strongly stained we conclude that particulate and adsorbed protein is a substantial component of CSP.

Particle abundance and area

CSP abundance in surface water off Scripps Pier was on the order of 10⁴ l⁻¹ (Fig. 3). Cumulative area of CSP ranged from 10³ to 10⁴ mm² l⁻¹. The largest values for both abundance and area were recorded during a
**Cyanophyceae pulex** bloom off Scripps Pier. CSP size distribution fitted a power curve such that the smaller (<10 μm) fraction accounted for >90% of CSP abundance and abundance decreased rapidly with increasing particle size (Fig. 4). The smaller fraction accounted for only a quarter of the area; hence, the larger but less abundant particles accounted for the majority of the surface area. It must be kept in mind that, due to the probable flattening of particles during filtration and because the calculation of area assumes a smooth particle surface, the actual surface area of the particles in all size classes is likely to be much larger.

The CBB working solution blanks accounted for 2.5% (abundance) and 2.6% (area) of the sample (7 December 1994 sample; Fig. 2). The absolute values of the blanks were fairly constant for the other samples which had higher areas and abundances; therefore, they had even lower % blank values. Typically, then, the blank, and its variation, were not a significant factor in quantifying either CSP abundance or area.

The abundance of CSP in 3 depth profiles in the Arabian Sea ranged from $10^6$ to $10^8$ L$^{-1}$ throughout the water column (Fig. 5), with CSP abundance typically decreasing with depth. The size-frequency distribution fitted a power curve and was similar for all depths in the 3 profiles from the Arabian Sea, except for the 1500 m sample at Stn 28b. The cumulative area of the particles ranged from $10^2$ to $10^4$ mm$^2$ L$^{-1}$. It decreased with depth (Fig. 5) except for the deepest sample at Stn 29 (the water depth at this station was 80 m and the...
Relationship to other particle classes

Whether the CSP are in fact TEP which also contain protein was tested by staining parallel samples with Alcian Blue and CBB (double staining with these 2 protocols was not feasible since both dyes are blue). In the samples examined, the abundance and the surface area of CSP exceeded that of TEP. In samples from 7 December 1994, the abundance of TEP was 36% of that for CSP, while TEP surface area was 45% of CSP (Fig. 3). More striking was the contrast between CSP and TEP values in the samples from the Gonyaulax polyedra bloom. TEP abundance and surface area were 7.6% and <1%, respectively, of the values for CSP. Thus, while TEP and CSP may overlap, most CSP in these samples were distinct from TEP. It is possible, and likely, that some particles stain for both polysaccharide and protein.

Proteinaceous as well as carbohydrate-containing particles have previously been reported (Gordon 1970). However, the reported abundances of the proteinaceous particles were 1 to 3 orders of magnitude lower than reported here. Gordon (1970) used a Bromophenol Blue staining method (Mazia et al. 1953), so we do not know whether the higher abundances found by us are due to differences in methodology or due to real differences between their samples and ours. Direct comparisons were not performed since Gordon’s method for staining proteinaceous particles...
Fig. 6. Light and epifluorescence microscopy of bacteria-colonized Coomassie Stained Particles. (a, b) Lightly colonized CSP from 11 May 1995 seawater sample showing (a) light microscopy and (b) the same particle viewed using epifluorescence microscopy with DAPI stained bacteria. (c) An unfiltered CSP from 3 Oct 1995 which was picked, transferred to a microscope slide and double stained with DAPI. (d) Same particle as in (c) viewed by epifluorescence. Scale bars: (a) 50 μm, (c) 20 μm.
involved the addition of mercuric chloride and ethanol, which would permeabilize the algal and bacterial cells releasing internal proteinaceous material. We also note that the abundances of carbohydrate-containing particles in the surface waters found in the study of Gordon (1970) were also lower than those reported by Passow & Alldredge (1994). The DYPs found by Mostajir et al. (1995b) are in the size range of 0.2 to 20 μm and their DAPI staining components are organic; ~90% are degraded by an enzyme cocktail which includes Proteinase K and lysozyme. Their abundance (10^6 to 10^8 l^-1) is comparable to that of CSP. However, the area of DYPs (43 to 278 mm^2 l^-1) was at the lower range of the area of CSP found by us (200 to 20,000 mm^2 l^-1). Some of these particle classes may overlap, but quantifying such overlap requires new protocols to simultaneously detect staining with several stains.

**Bacterial colonization**

Twenty to 40% of CSP off Scripps Pier contained attached bacteria. The bacterial abundance per particle on the colonized CSP ranged from 1 to 38 (means = 5–8). This represents on the order of 10^7 bacteria l^-1 of seawater being associated with CSP. We think these are underestimates on 2 accounts. First, we could observe only 1 face of the filtered particles, and this may have caused underestimation of the abundance per CSP and possibly also the % particles colonized. Second, the bright to deep blue CBB stain tended to mask or quench the DAPI fluorescence. This problem can be seen in the particle shown in Fig. 6a, b. which is also an example of a highly colonized CSP in unamended seawater. In our experience of comparing samples on several epifluorescence microscopes, visualization of DAPI-stained bacteria on CSP is difficult with microscopes that have weak or older light sources and delaminated DAPI filter sets. Double staining of samples with CBB and Acridine Orange was attempted; however the dyes were incompatible. A few unfiltered CSP were picked and transferred to a slide where they were DAPI stained (Fig. 6c, d). The bacteria on them could be seen associated with gelatinous-looking regions of the particles.

**Origin and fate of CSP**

Although our study did not address the origins of CSP, they are likely to be diverse. Considering the broad distribution of protein in cellular particulate components, various mechanisms of cell lysis or death could lead to the production of protein-containing particles. Further, adsorption of protein onto nonproteinaceous particles could render them CSP positive. It is therefore unlikely that a single or few sources of CSP will be found. In view of this, we have decided to define these particles only operationally as Coomassie-stained particles. Since CSP spans a broad size range, their protein may potentially be utilized by a variety of organisms. The finding of extensive attachment of bacteria with CSPs might indicate their significance in bacterial nutrition. The finding of extensive attachment of bacteria with CSP might indicate their significance in bacterial nutrition. The finding of extensive attachment of bacteria with CSPs might indicate their significance in bacterial nutrition. The finding of extensive attachment of bacteria with CSPs might indicate their significance in bacterial nutrition.

**Acknowledgements.** We thank Drs Å. Hagström, J. T Holli-baugh and I. Koike for their discussions and Dr D. C. Smith, G. F. Steward, J. Y. Chung and the anonymous reviewers for their constructive comments on the manuscript. This study was supported by NSF grants from Biological Oceanography and Chemical Oceanography (JGOFS) to F.A.

**LITERATURE CITED**


Responsible Subject Editor: J. T. Hollibaugh, Tiburon, California, USA

Manuscript first received: November 14, 1995
Revised version accepted: March 16, 1996