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In recent years, an energetic experimental program has set quite stringent limits on a possible “non-1/r^2” dependence on gravity at short length scales. This effort has been largely driven by the predictions of theories based on compactification of extra spatial dimensions. It is characteristic of many such theories that the strength and length scales of such anomalous gravity are not clearly determined from first principles. As a result, it is productive to extend the current limits the range and strength of such hypothetical interactions. As a heavy, neutral, and (almost) stable particle, the neutron provides an ideal probe for the study of such hypothetical interactions at very short range. In this work, we describe methods based on neutron interferometry which have the capability to provide improved sensitivity non-Newtonian forces down to length scales at and below an nanometer.

I. INTRODUCTION

In 1986, Fischbach et al. [1] suggested that apparent anomalies in the classic experiments of Eötvös [2] could be explained by hypothesizing a “non-1/r^2” term in gravity at laboratory scales. While this conjecture was not supported by subsequent study, the observation that detailed experimental verification of Newtonian gravity at short range was, at that time, nearly nonexistent was striking. Since then, a vigorous enterprise in the study of short range gravity has emerged and there is now an extensive experimental literature (see Adleberger et al. [3] for a comprehensive review). More recently, short-range gravitation has attracted attention with the development of theoretical models which specifically suggest the possible existence of “non-1/r^2” gravitational forces at distances from the sub-millimeter down to the sub-fermi scale. These forces can arise in several different models (see, for example Refs. [3,4] and references therein) which allowed gravity to propagate in a whole space (bulk) with extra dimensions while particles and interactions of the standard models are confined inside of our three dimensional space (three-brane). One class of models proposes a mechanism of gravitational unification based on a compactification of extra dimensions which leads to existence of massive gravitons. For these of models [5,6] with d extra dimensions, a simple estimate gives the scale of a radius of gravitational interaction as

\[ R \sim M^{-1} \left( \frac{M_{Pl}}{M_*} \right)^{2/d} \sim 10^{32/d-17} \text{ cm}, \]  

(1)

where \( M_{Pl} \) and \( M_* \) are the Planck mass and the mass of the scale of fundamental multidimensional gravitation in \((d + 4)\) space, respectively. The radius gives a characteristic scale of the distances where gravitational law can be different from Newton’s law. The case with extra dimensionality \( d = 1 \) appears to be excluded by existing data, \( d = 2 \) leads to \( R \sim 1 - 10 \mu m \), and \( d = 3 \) corresponds to \( R \sim 10^{-6} \text{ cm} \). It should be noted that the compactification radius need not be the same for all extra coordinate. Therefore, the scale with \( R \sim 1 - 10 \mu m \) can coexist even with large number of \( d \).

Models with extra dimensions may help in resolving cosmological problems [7] and lead to a hint for an explanation of the origin of neutrino masses. For example [8], if standard model particles “live” in three-brane, but right-handed neutrinos exist in five-dimensional brane, then, after compactification of extra dimension, the neutrino mass must be rescaled by a factor \( M_* / M_{Planck} \) compared to a natural leptonic mass scale. Such a large reduction could explain the small neutrino mass. For these, and other reasons, it is desirable to test predictions of these models for the modified gravitational forces in the widest possible range.

For distances larger than the radius \( R(\tau > R) \) the modified gravitational interaction can be parametrized as

\[ V_G(r) = -G \frac{mM}{r} (1 + \alpha_G e^{-r/\lambda}), \]  

(2)

where \( G \) is the gravitational constant, \( m \) and \( M \) are interacting masses, \( \alpha_G \) is a dimensionless parameter and \( \lambda(\lambda \sim R) \) is effective range of gravitational interactions. (We use \( \lambda \) for effective range to avoid confusion with the radius \( R \) estimated for a specific model.)

As a neutral, heavy, and (almost) stable particle, the neutron offers an attractive probe for values of \( \lambda \) from a nucleon size up to a macroscopic scale. Indeed, low energy neutron scattering and ultracold neutron experiments have been used to set constraints on the possible magnitudes of \( \alpha_G \) and \( \lambda \) at short range. [9–11] Other limits on nonstandard gravitation over a wide range \( \lambda \) are summarized in the review [3] (see also recent papers [11,12]). Here we consider a different approach which uses interference in low energy neutron scattering. In particular we propose to search for the neutron’s quantum mechanical...
phase shift due to an anomalous gravitational interaction as it propagates through matter. As we show below, the specific form of the potential in Eq. (2) allows the extraction of a gravitational phase shift from the expected phase shifts due to nuclear and electronic interactions.

II. EXPERIMENTAL CONCEPT

The proposed method employs the well known method of the perfect crystal neutron interferometer (see Werner and Rauch [13] for a thorough discussion of this technique). In this technique, a monochromatic neutron beam is split into two coherent beams by Bragg scattering from a plate of perfect crystal silicon. Each of the beams is then reflected by a second perfect crystal silicon plate and is then coherently recombined by a third plate. The relative intensities measured at detectors after the third Bragg plate will depend on the relative quantum mechanical phase accumulated along the two spatially separated neutron paths. This technique is analogous to the optical Mach-Zender interferometer. If the relative phase changes, the intensity measured at the detector will vary sinusoidally with the relative phase difference. The neutron wavelength, constrained to be of the order of the crystal lattice spacing, is typically of the order of 2–4 Å. This implies that the relative positions of the three perfect crystal plates must be maintained with extremely high precision. In practice this precision is attained by fabricating all three plates from one monolithic perfect crystal of silicon and maintaining that this precision can be obtained.

In the following we suggest two procedures to accomplish this. In the first, the anomalous phase shift is extracted by physically translating a slab of material within the interferometer. As we shall show, this method offers the prospect of attaining sensitivity to a range for anomalous gravity with a range on the order of a few nanometers. In the second of these, the anomalous phase shift is extracted by observing the phase shift far from, and very close to, the Bragg condition for diffraction in a perfect crystal. We show that this method offers the prospect of obtaining a sensitivity to ranges below one nanometer.

III. TRANSLATION METHOD

In this method, two thin plates of a dense material such as gold are placed in one path of the interferometer. The plates, while thin, are assumed to be thicker than the supposed range of the anomalous interaction. One of the plates is fixed. The other is attached to a translation slide which allows a motion in the range of order 100 microns along the direction of the neutron path. Due to nuclear effects, the insertion of the plates will introduce a phase change between the paths. For the above dimensions, this phase will be on the order of a fraction of a radian. The exact magnitude of this phase shift will depend very sensitively on the detailed thickness of the plates, their density and purity, as well as the scattering length for gold. As a result, it is impractical to ab initio predict the magnitude of this phase shift at the 10⁻⁴ radian. However, if the orientation of the two plates remains unchanged upon translation of the

1This brief introduction gives the essence of, but does not completely describe the techniques by which precision neutron interferometric phase is actually determined. The interested reader is referred to Ref. [13] for a detailed description of the procedures by which this exquisite sensitivity can be obtained.

2In this context “short range” refers to lengths much smaller than the sample size which is assumed to be on the order of 1 cm.

3In practice, the thin plate will actually be a thin gold coating on a quartz or other substrate. The substrate adds some minor interpretational issues which are discussed in the section on systematic effects. The substrates do not change the substance of the arguments presented here.

4In purely forward scattering, the phase shift associated with the neutron’s internal charge distribution and the distribution of charge within the sample is zero due to the net neutrality of matter.
movable plate, this nuclear phase shift will not vary as one of
the plates is translated.

We assume a form for a short range non-Newtonian

If this potential is integrated over a plate of matter of
uniform density \( \rho \) with a thickness much greater than the
range \( \lambda \), it can be shown that the potential for a neutron, as a
function of \( x \), the distance from the surface is given by [12],

\[
V_{\text{eff}} = 2\pi G\alpha_G m_n \rho \lambda^2 e^{-x/\lambda} \quad \text{outside the material;} \\
V_{\text{eff}} = 2\pi G\alpha_G m_n \rho \lambda^2 (2 - e^{-x/\lambda}) \quad \text{inside the material.}
\]

If the separation between the two sample plates, \( L \), is much
greater than \( \lambda \), the range of the anomalous gravity, there will
be no observable change in the neutron phase as the separation of
the plates changes. However, if the separation between the
plates varies from \( L = 0 \) to \( L > \lambda \), the phase of the neutron will
change. This phase shift may be understood by recognizing that
the additional phase associated with anomalous gravity only arises near a sample surface. When the two plates are in
contact, there are, for all practical purposes, only two surfaces.
However, when the two samples are separated by \( L \gg \lambda \),
there will be four surfaces. The phase change associated with
these two surfaces can be estimated considering gravitational
interactions as a perturbation (see, for example Eq. (45.9) in
Landau and Lifshitz [15]).

\[
\Delta \Phi = \frac{4\pi G\alpha_G m_n \rho \lambda^3}{k_0} \left( \frac{2m_n}{\hbar^2} \right) (1 - e^{-L/\lambda}),
\]

where \( k_0 = \sqrt{2m_n E_n} \) is the neutron wave number in vacuum. It
should be noted that the \( L \) dependence in the above expression gives the opportunity to estimate the range of the possible
gravitational interaction since the major change of the phase
occurs on a scale \( L \sim \lambda \).

If we assume an experimental phase sensitivity of \( 10^{-4} \) radian as the moving plate is translated, we would expect
for a gold plate (\( \rho = 19.6 \text{ g/cm}^3 \)) and thermal neutrons with
a wavelength about \( 3\lambda \) to be able to detect the presence of an
anomalous gravitational force with

\[
\alpha_G \lambda^3 \lesssim 3 \times 10^{-3} \text{m}^3.
\]

This method should be tractable down to the level of \( \lambda \approx
10 \text{ nm} \), at which point the surface roughness of the samples
is likely to be a limitation. At 10 nm, this could provide a
sensitivity of

\[
\alpha_G \lesssim 3 \times 10^{21}.
\]

This estimate is supported by a complete solution of the
Schrödinger equation.

Let us consider two parallel plates of the same material
separated by distance \( L \), assuming that the size of the plates
are much larger than both the distance \( L \) and the range of
the short gravitational interaction \( \lambda \). In the absence of the
gravitational interactions, neutron is exposed only to Fermi
pseudopotential due to nuclear interactions inside the plates
and propagates without interactions in between the plates.
This process corresponds to a solution of one-dimensional
Schrödinger equation for a square potential well/barrier of
range \( L \) and the potential value equal to the Fermi potential

\[
V_F = \frac{2\pi \hbar^2}{m_n} N\beta. \text{ Then, defining the neutron wave number in}
\text{the material as } k = \sqrt{\frac{2m_n}{\hbar^2} (E_n - V_F)}, \text{ one can write the}
\text{transmission coefficient for neutron propagation through the}
\text{vacuum space between two plates as}
\]

\[
T_0 = \frac{2k_0 e^{-kL}}{2k_0 \cos(k_0 L) - i(k_0^2 + k_0^2) \sin(k_0 L)}. \quad (8)
\]

The argument of this expression gives a corresponding
phase shift of the neutron wave. If a short-range gravitational
interaction exists, the square potential well/barrier will be
modified. Choosing the origin of the reference frame for
neutron propagation along axis \( x \) at the surface of the first
plate, the modification will lead to the changes in the first plate of

\[
k^2 \rightarrow k^2 + 2a^2 - a^2 e^{((x-d)/\lambda)^2} [1 - e^{-L/\lambda}],
\]

between the plates as

\[
k^2_0 \rightarrow k^2_0 + a^2 [e^{-x/\lambda} + e^{(x-L)/\lambda}],
\]

and, in the second plate as

\[
k^2 \rightarrow k^2 + 2a^2 + a^2 [e^{((d-x)/\lambda)^2} [e^{x/\lambda} - 1],
\]

where \( a^2 = 2\pi G\alpha_G m_n \rho \lambda^2 \). To obtain a transmission coefficient in the presence of gravitation, it is necessary to solve
Schrödinger equations with potentials proportional to the
hyperbolic cosine. This leads to Mathieu’s modified differential
equations which can be solved analytically. The solutions for
these equations are given in terms of Mathieu functions rather
than exponential functions (as for the case of the square-well
potential). The expression for the transmission coefficient
is rather long will not be provided here. The numerical results
obtained from this expression are in a good agreement with
the perturbation theory estimate. However, we note that it can
lead to significant deviations from these estimates in the case
of perfect surfaces of the plates and for neutrons with large
wavelengths. This relates to the fact that classical solutions of
Mathieu’s equations are often used to describe parametric
resonances. Therefore, one anticipates resonance enhancement
of the gravitational interactions during neutron propagation
through the slabs provided the proper resonance conditions
would be satisfied. Unfortunately, surfaces of real materials
are probably not perfect enough to satisfy these resonance
conditions for thermal neutrons. (For the case of neutrons
with very long wavelength the surface related requirement
is not so important. It could be illustrated, for example, by
the existence [16] of quasibound states of ultracold neutrons
in a double-hump potential barrier formed by two pieces of
materials.)

The sensitivity of this method to the anomalous gravita-
tional parameters \( \alpha \) and \( \lambda \) are presented on Fig. 1. For
the comparison we show at the same plot experimental result of
Ref. [11] and constraints obtained in Ref. [12] from neutron
scattering experimental data. These are discussed in more
detail in the final section of this paper.
IV. DIFFRACTION METHOD

For short-range interactions, it is convenient to parametrize coherent scattering in terms of a scattering length, which is determined by the size and shape of the short-range potential. For neutron scattering from an atom, this scattering length can be expressed as

\[ b_{\text{coh}} = b_N + Z (1 - f(q)) b_{\text{ne}} + f_G(q) b_G, \]

where \( b_N \) is nuclear scattering length, \( b_{\text{ne}} \) and \( b_G \) are neutron scattering lengths on electron and on the gravitational potential, respectively; \( f(q) \) is the form factor for atomic electrons and \( f_G(q) \) is the form factor associated with the potential of the anomalous gravitational interaction, \( Z \) is a number of electrons in atom, and \( \vec{q} = \vec{k}' - \vec{k} \) is the change in the particle momentum upon scattering. In principle, there is also a form factor associated with the scattering from the nucleus. However because the nuclear size is much smaller than the neutron wavelengths used in neutron interferometry, this form factor is taken to be unity.

In neutron interferometry, one is normally concerned only with coherent forward scattering where \( q = 0 \). In this case all the form factors are unity and, because the net charge of the sample is zero, the coherent scattering length for an atom will be given by

\[ b_{\text{coh}} = b_N + b_G. \]  

Wietfeldt et al. [17] have suggested that it is practical to perform \( q \neq 0 \) neutron interferometry by employing a perfect crystal tuned very close to the Bragg condition. At, or very close to, the Bragg condition, the momentum transfer in the scattering will correspond to the \( k \) of the appropriate lattice spacing. This is due to the fact that, near the Bragg condition, the neutron wave function is a actually a coherent super position of waves with \( \pm k \). At the Bragg condition, \( 1/k \) corresponds roughly to atomic sizes and therefore \( f(q) \) will no longer be unity. Wietfeldt et al. were concerned only with determination of the neutron-electron interaction and did not consider anomalous gravity. They show that by comparing the phase shift at Bragg and far-from-Bragg, it is possible to extract \( b_{\text{ne}} \). In the following, we extend the method of Wietfeldt et al. and suggest that, if an additional on-Bragg measurement is performed at different lattice spacing, (e.g., at a different \( q \)), it is possible to extract not only \( b_{\text{ne}} \) but also \( f_G(q) \). A convenient way to accomplish this is to repeat the experiment in a higher order reflection which involves no change to the geometry of the experimental setup.

In the vicinity of a Bragg reflection, it is convenient to consider the amplitude of neutron scattering from a single unit cell of a crystal rather than that from a single atom. This amplitude is known as the structure factor \( F_H \) and depends on the reciprocal lattice vector \( \vec{H} \). For example, in silicon the structure factor for the (111)\(^5\) reflection is

\[ F_H = \sqrt{32} (b_N + Z (1 - f(H)) b_{\text{ne}} + f_G(H) b_G), \]  

\(^5\)We have considered diffraction from the (111) planes because interferometers using these reflection exist and are in common use. We also note that Wietfeldt et al. use a (111) interferometer in an existing experiment designed to measure \( b_{\text{ne}} \). Because the (222) reflection is forbidden in silicon, (333) is the next reflection that does not require a change in the geometry of the experimental setup. In principle, the proposed method could also any other similar pair of allowed reflections such as (220) and (440) which are also commonly used in interferometers.
for (333), it is
\[ F_{H_3} = \sqrt{2\pi}(b_N + Z[1 - f(H_3)])b_{ne} + f_G(H_3)b_G, \]  
(14)
where
\[ b_G = -\frac{2m_e^2 MG\alpha_G\lambda^2}{\hbar^2}. \]  
(15)
The factor of \( \sqrt{2\pi} \) represents an appropriate (complex) weighted sum that arises from the relative positions of the atoms within the unit cell.

Because the form of the hypothesized anomalous potential is given, it is possible to express the form factor for anomalous gravity \( f_G(q) \) analytically with
\[ f_G(q) = \frac{1}{1 + (q\lambda)^2}. \]  
(16)
The atomic form factor \( f(q) \) has been accurately calculated [18] with \( f(H_1) = 0.7526 \) and \( f(H_3) = 0.4600 \). These are sufficiently well known that uncertainties in \( f(q) \) should not limit the proposed procedure. Given that \( f(H_1) \neq f(H_3) \), three measurements at \( q = 0, q = H_1, \) and \( q = H_3 \), provide linearly independent equations (12), (13), and (14). These equations can be solved to provide a value for \( b_G \). Alternatively, one may view this procedure as comparing two measurements of \( b_{ne} \) done at two different reflections. To the extent that these two experiments agree, one can place a limit on a possible anomalous short-range force. If, however, the two measurements provide different results, one could interpret this difference as evidence of an anomalous force.

From the above expression one can see that for a typical scale of a momentum transfer \( q^{-1} \sim 1\text{Å} \), very short range interactions \( (\lambda \ll 1\text{Å}) \) results in constant \( (q\text{-independent}) \) scattering amplitude
\[ f_G(q)b_G = -\frac{2m_e^2 MG\alpha_G\lambda^2}{\hbar^2}. \]  
(17)
This is expected as it is characteristic of any scattering with \( \lambda \) much less than the neutron wavelength. For a longer range \( (\lambda \gg 1\text{Å}) \) leads to \( \sim 1/q^2 \) dependency in the scattering amplitude, which is not sensitive to the range of the interaction \( \lambda \).
\[ f_G(q)b_G \sim -\frac{2m_e^2 MG\alpha_G}{\hbar^2} \frac{1}{q^2}. \]  
(18)
This is not unexpected for, if \( \lambda \) is much greater than the interatomic spacing of the crystal, the neutrons will experience a nearly constant, average potential with strength proportional to \( \alpha_G \). Using both forward scattering (i.e., \( q = 0 \)) and Bragg scattering (i.e., \( q^{-1} \sim 1\text{Å} \)) we are able to constrain gravitational interaction for any range.

The gravitational scattering length in silicon can be written as
\[ b_G = -1.6 \times 10^{-6}(\alpha_G\lambda^2), \]  
(19)
for \( \lambda \) in meters. Therefore, assuming the relative accuracy of the measurement of neutron scattering length of about \( 10^{-5} \text{fm} \), one can detect the presence of an anomalous gravitational force with
\[ \alpha_G\lambda^2 \leq 25.6 \text{m}^2. \]  
(20)

This result is displayed in Fig. 1.

V. DISCUSSION

Current limits on short-range anomalous gravity at the \( \text{nm} \) to \( \mu\text{m} \) scale are set by other experiments using neutrons [11, 12] as well as other techniques (see Ref. [3]). A graphical depiction of these limits are shown in Fig. 1. The limit given by Nezvizhovsky and Protasov [11] (label 1 in the figure) results from the observation of ultracold neutron in the lowest energy level in the 1D gravitational potential above horizontal material surface (the material surface serves as a potential barrier in the vertical direction). The limit given by Zimmer and Kaiser [12] (label 2 in the figure) follows from an analysis of existing experimental data on the neutron-electron interaction. However, as Zimmer and Kaiser note, these data are discrepant. Thus, while the analysis of Zimmer and Kaiser is sound, due caution should be taken when considering the validity of their limit. The limit given in the review of Adelberger [3] (label 3 in the figure) represents the analysis of several different types of measurements and the reader is directed to that paper for more details.

The potential sensitivity of the “two-plate” method (label 4 in the figure) is seen to be less restrictive than the current limit suggested by Zimmer and Kaiser. However, the simplicity of the experimental layout and the clarity of the theoretical interpretation may recommend it. The diffraction method (label 5 in the figure) is seen to offer the potential of a substantial improvement in sensitivity over other methods over a wide range of \( \lambda \). In addition, because the limit will result from a single experimental setup, it is less likely to be sensitive to the experimental inconsistencies of the form noted by Zimmer and Kaiser.

The realization of the “two-plate” at the sensitivities noted above requires surfaces that are flat at within a few nanometers. Attaining such surface qualities while, nontrivial, is well within the commercial state of the art for optical surfaces. Similarly, precise motion control at the level of a few nanometers is well within the capabilities of commercially available nanopositioning systems. The realization of the “diffraction” method requires the ability to accurately measure the neutron interferometric phase as one rotates a crystal through Bragg condition. Preliminary measurements at the NIST interferometer [19] indicates that this is challenging but possible.
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