

REPORT OF
FACULTY ADVISORY COMMITTEE

*Approved
as amended.*

- I. The Faculty Advisory Committee recommends the creation of a University Committee on Academic Responsibility structured along the lines outlined below:
1. This Committee shall consist of five (5) faculty members and two (2) undergraduate student members and two (2) graduate students.
 2. The Committee will have the following duties:
 - a.) review the Code of Student Academic Responsibility and propose changes to the Faculty Senate.
 - b.) hear appeals of decisions rendered by College Committees on Academic Responsibility.
 3. Faculty members shall be elected by the Faculty ~~Senate~~ for three year~~s~~ staggered terms. No more than two faculty members shall be from the same college.
 4. Student members shall be appointed for one-year terms by the Provost. Undergraduate members shall hear cases involving undergraduate students; graduate students shall hear cases involving graduate students.
 5. The University Academic Responsibility Committee will consider hearing appeals of cases decided in College Academic Responsibility Committees when either party to the case formally appeals on the grounds that a.) the decision was contrary to the evidence; or b.) that the sanction imposed is unreasonable, unjust, or inappropriate; or c.) that the hearing procedures were violated.
- II. The Faculty Advisory Committee recommends revising the Procedures for Administration of the Code of Student Academic Responsibility as follows:

Approved.

<u>Present Wording</u>	<u>Proposed Wording</u>
<p>VI. APPELLATE PROCEDURES</p> <p>When acting as a court of original jurisdiction, the Supreme Court will follow the procedures outlined for the campus courts.</p> <p>A. Petition for Appeal</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Either party to a hearing may present a written request for an appeal to the Supreme Court within fourteen calendar days after the original decision letter is sent. 2. Either party to the hearing may request an appeal on the grounds that the hearing procedures were violated. The request must state the specific procedure(s) allegedly violated and provide any available information to substantiate the allegation. 	<p>VI. APPELLATE PROCEDURES</p> <p>A. Petition for Appeal</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Either party to a hearing may present a written request for an appeal to the University Committee on Academic Responsibility within fourteen school days after the original letter of decision is sent. 2. Either party to a hearing may request an appeal on the following grounds: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Violation of hearing procedures. The appeal must state the specific procedures which were allegedly violated and provide any available information to substantiate the allegation. <i>that</i> b. Unjust, inappropriate or unreasonable sanction. c. Decision is contrary to the evidence.

Present Wording

3. Either party to the hearing may request an appeal on the grounds that the sanction imposed is inappropriate, unreasonable, or unjust.

4. The student(s) charged may request an appeal on the grounds that the decision is contrary to the evidence. (A request on either of these grounds stated in 3 and 4 above must include a statement of how such grounds apply to the facts of the case.)

5. Delete

6. Delete

B. Conduct of Appellate Hearings

1. Major parties involved in the case being appealed will be notified in writing of the time and place of the hearing, at least seven days from the date of initiation of the appeal. Postponements may be granted with just showing of cause by the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court.

2. All of the parties involved in the original hearing being appealed shall have the right to be present, respond to all presented, and to present information relevant to the appeal.

3. The Chief Justice of the Supreme Court shall open the hearing by reading the request for an appeal and informing the person(s) involved of the jurisdiction of the Court and its procedures. The Chief Justice shall ascertain that all the parties involved are aware of their rights, answer any questions they have in regard to these matters, and conduct the hearing.

Proposed Wording

3. Upon receipt of a request for appeal, the Chairman of the University Academic Responsibility Committee shall send a copy of the appeal to all of the major parties involved in the original hearing, requesting them to respond to the appeal within seven school days. These parties will include at least the student charged, the chairman of the college committee ~~which~~ ^{that} heard the case, the person who brought the original charge, the dean of the college in which the infraction took place, and the dean of the college in which the student is enrolled.

4. The Chairman of the University Committee shall meet with a quorum of the Committee at the expiration of the seven day response period to examine the request for appeal and the responses. An appellate hearing shall be granted if a majority of the sitting committee finds that the grounds for appeal are substantiated.

B. Conduct of Appellate Hearings

1. Major parties involved in the case being appealed will be notified in writing of the time and place of the hearing at least seven school days before the hearing is to take place. Postponements may be granted by the Chairman of the Committee.

2. All of the parties involved in the original hearing shall have the right to be present, to respond to information presented and to present information relevant to the appeal.

3. Within 72 hours ~~following~~ ^{after} the conclusion of the hearing, the Chairman shall make a written report of the hearing consisting of the grounds for appeal, the decision of the Committee and the rationale for the decision. This report will be sent to the student charged, the chairman of the college committee, the dean of the college in which the infraction occurred, the individual who brought the original charges, the dean of the college in which the student is enrolled, the Dean of Student Affairs and the Registrar.