

## UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA

COLUMBIA, S. C. 29208

DEPARTMENT OF BIOLOGY

(803) 777-4141

May 15, 1982

## ANNUAL REPORT TO FACULTY SENATE FROM THE ATHLETIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE

- 1. The Athletic Advisory Committee met ten times this year and the Chairman had numerous meetings on the Committee's behalf.
- 2. In September of this year the Athletic Advisory Committee met to hear a report from Drs. Putnam (NCAA representative) and Moore (Athletic Department representative) on the conflict between the CFA and NCAA. After discussion, the Committee sent a letter to President Holderman in which we supported a decision to accept the NBC contract or to withdraw if a larger number of CFA schools did not accept the NBC contract. The President responded to both the CFA and NCAA and provided copies of the letters to the Committee.

On October 27, 1981, the Committee received a letter from Dr. Patterson containing five questions raised by the Faculty Senate. On October 30, 1981, the Committee discussed the questions and a written response was sent to Dr. Patterson. In addition the Chairman of the Committee discussed the questions with President Holderman, who then offered to speak to the questions at the next Senate meeting. The Faculty Minutes of November 4, 1981 contain the President's report.

At a December 1981 meeting, the NCAA reorganized, reducing the number of Division I teams alleviating to some degree the conflict between the CFA and NCAA.

Georgia, Oklahoma and Texas are taking legal actions against the  ${\tt NCAA}$  at the present time.

3. On October 13, 1981 the Committee received a letter from the Faculty Advisory Committee asking us to provide the Advisory Committee with a statement of our function. We did so. A report on the Athletic Advisory Committee was then given to the Faculty Senate by the Faculty Advisory Committee and appears in the minutes of the Faculty Senate.

4. Following the dismissal of Coach Carlen, the Chairman of the Athletic Advisory Committee was asked to serve on the search committee for a new Athletic Director. The Chairman of the Athletic Advisory Committee asked for advice and suggestions from committee members.

After selection of the Athletic Director was completed, the President left the selection of a new head football coach up to the new Athletic Director, Mr. Bob Marcum.

- 5. On February 11, 1982, Mr. Marcum met with the Athletic Advisory Committee and discussed the Athletic Program here at U.S.C.
- 6. On February 18, 1982 Mr. Gunter, the Registrar, met with the Committee to discuss the procedures followed in verifying the eligibility of Student Athletes. In addition, meetings were held with Mr. Marcum, Mr. Gunter and myself to work out procedures. A final report was presented by Mr. Gunter.
- 7. On March 10, 1982, Mr. Bolin, Director of Admissions, met with the Committee to discuss admission procedures. A final report was provided by Mr. Bolin.
- 8. In April, 1982, I requested that President Holderman appoint the Registrar and Director of Admissions as permanent <u>ex officio</u> members of the Athletic Advisory Committee. The President did as requested.
- 9. On April 21, 1982, the Committee met with Coach Bill Foster and discussed the Basketball Program here at U.S.C., especially recruiting procedures. This meeting was held at the Roost and the Committee had a tour of the facility.
- 10. On May 7, 1982 the Committee met with Coach Richard Bell and discussed the Football Program here at U.S.C., especially recruiting.
- 11. Also in the month of May, 1982, the Committee will be meeting with Mr. Harold White, who is the Academic Advisor in the Atletic Department, to discuss tutoring of and study halls for athletes. During the summer we will meet with other coaches and Mr. Ron Dickenson of non-revenue sports. We will also be meeting with the President of the University and Mr. Marcum to discuss the direction of the Athletic Programs here at U.S.C.
- 12. At its April 28, 1982 meeting, the Committee decided to work through the summer in order to establish bylaws for the Committee. The bylaws will establish specific procedures by which the Committee will keep itself knowledgeable of athletics here at U.S.C., so that it can respond appropriately to issues brought to its attention.

In conclusion, it has been a busy year for the Committee and we have learned a great deal by pursuing answers from the appropriate individuals. Although questions still remain concerning athletics here at U.S.C., the Committee is confident that we are well within the guide lines of the NCAA and that we have appropriate procedures to monitor our student athletes. It is the hope of the committee that a closer relationship between the Athletic Department and the rest of the University can be achieved.

Respectfully submitted

Roger H. Sawyer Chairman, Athletic Advisory Committee

RHS/ddc