

South Carolina Law Review

Volume 59
Issue 3 *ANNUAL SURVEY OF SOUTH CAROLINA
LAW*

Article 1

Spring 2008

Table of Contents

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarcommons.sc.edu/sclr>



Part of the [Law Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

(2008) "Table of Contents," *South Carolina Law Review*. Vol. 59 : Iss. 3 , Article 1.
Available at: <https://scholarcommons.sc.edu/sclr/vol59/iss3/1>

This Article is brought to you by the Law Reviews and Journals at Scholar Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in South Carolina Law Review by an authorized editor of Scholar Commons. For more information, please contact digres@mailbox.sc.edu.

SOUTH CAROLINA LAW REVIEW

VOLUME 59

SPRING 2008

NUMBER 3

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CIVIL PROCEDURE

BIFURCATION: A POWERFUL BUT UNDERUTILIZED TOOL IN SOUTH CAROLINA
CIVIL LITIGATION 433

COMMERCIAL LAW

MCCULLOUGH V. GOODRICH & PENNINGTON MORTGAGE FUND, INC.:
ARE SECURED CREDITORS REALLY “SECURE” FROM THIRD PARTY IMPAIRMENT
OF COLLATERAL? 455

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW

BANNING THE FLAMES: CONSTITUTIONALITY, PREEMPTION, AND
LOCAL SMOKING ORDINANCES 475

CONSTRUCTION LAW

COMMODO CAVEO: “LENDER BEWARE”—AN ANALYSIS OF LENDER LIABILITY
FOR CONSTRUCTION DEFECTS UNDER THE IMPLIED
WARRANTY OF HABITABILITY 493

CONTRACT LAW

PIGS DO FLY: A NEW TEST LIMITING THE SCOPE OF ARBITRATION CLAUSES IN
SOUTH CAROLINA 513

EDUCATION LAW

THE POLITICAL QUESTION DOCTRINE:
A DOCTRINE FOR LONG-TERM CHANGE IN OUR PUBLIC SCHOOLS 531

FEDERAL TAX LAW

ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES OF TRUSTS: WHAT DID CONGRESS MEAN? 551

IMMIGRATION LAW

A TALE OF TWO CITIES: IS *LOZANO v. CITY OF HAZLETON* THE JUDICIAL EPILOGUE TO THE STORY OF LOCAL IMMIGRATION REGULATION IN BEAUFORT COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA? 573

INSURANCE LAW

TESTING THE WATERS: THE SOUTH CAROLINA COASTAL CAPTIVE INSURANCE ACT AS PART OF A MULTIFACETED APPROACH TO THE COASTAL INSURANCE CONUNDRUM 599

PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY

ARE YOU IN GOOD HANDS?: IS THE USE OF IN-HOUSE COUNSEL RIGHT FOR SOUTH CAROLINA INSURANCE DEFENSE? 615

TORT LAW

NEGLIGENT ENTRUSTMENT IN SOUTH CAROLINA: AN ANALYSIS OF SOUTH CAROLINA’S CONSISTENT APPLICATION AND INCONSISTENT STATEMENTS OF THE STANDARD AFTER *GADSON v. ECO SERVICES OF SOUTH CAROLINA, INC.* 633