

South Carolina Law Review

Volume 51
Issue 3 *FOCUS EDITION: THE USURY LAW
DEBATE*

Article 2

Spring 2000

Table of Contents

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarcommons.sc.edu/sclr>



Part of the [Law Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

(2000) "Table of Contents," *South Carolina Law Review*. Vol. 51 : Iss. 3 , Article 2.
Available at: <https://scholarcommons.sc.edu/sclr/vol51/iss3/2>

This Article is brought to you by the Law Reviews and Journals at Scholar Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in South Carolina Law Review by an authorized editor of Scholar Commons. For more information, please contact dillarda@mailbox.sc.edu.

SOUTH CAROLINA LAW REVIEW

VOLUME 51

SPRING 2000

NUMBER 3

FOCUS EDITION: THE USURY LAW DEBATE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ARTICLES

THE USURY *TROMPE L'OEIL* *James J. White* 445

THE ROAD TO SUBPRIME "HEL" WAS PAVED WITH GOOD
CONGRESSIONAL INTENTIONS: USURY DEREGULATION
AND THE SUBPRIME HOME EQUITY MARKET *Cathy Lesser Mansfield* 473

THE TWO-TIERED CONSUMER FINANCIAL SERVICES
MARKETPLACE: THE FRINGE BANKING SYSTEM AND
ITS CHALLENGE TO CURRENT THINKING ABOUT
THE ROLE OF USURY LAWS IN TODAY'S SOCIETY *Lynn Drysdale*
Kathleen E. Keest 589

NOTE

CIRCUMVENTING THE FOURTH AMENDMENT VIA THE
SPECIAL NEEDS DOCTRINE TO PROSECUTE PREGNANT
DRUG USERS: *FERGUSON V. CITY OF CHARLESTON* 671

