South Carolina Law Review

Volume 31 | Issue 4 Article 12

1980

Index Digest

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarcommons.sc.edu/sclr



Part of the Law Commons

Recommended Citation

(1980) "Index Digest," South Carolina Law Review: Vol. 31: Iss. 4, Article 12. Available at: https://scholarcommons.sc.edu/sclr/vol31/iss4/12

This Catalog is brought to you by the Law Reviews and Journals at Scholar Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in South Carolina Law Review by an authorized editor of Scholar Commons. For more information, please contact digres@mailbox.sc.edu.

INDEX DIGEST

${f A}$	Constitutional Law Su-	
	preme Court is Undecid-	
ABORTION	ed on Parental Notifica-	
Constitutional Law — Su-	tion Requirement for Mi-	
preme Court Is Undecided	nor's Abortion. Bellotti v.	
on Parental Notification	Baird, 99 S. Ct. 3035	
Requirement for Minor's	(1979)	31:604
Abortion. Bellotti v.	On Keeping Pigs Out of	
Baird, 99 S. Ct. 3035	the Parlor: Speech as	
(1979) 31:604	Public Nuisance After	
	FCC v. Pacifica Founda-	
В	tion	31:377
ь	Rehabilitation Act of 1973	
BUSINESS LAW	-Application to Post-	
Survey of South Carolina	secondary Educational	
Law 31:1	Programs. Southeastern	
	Community College v.	
C	Davis, 99 S. Ct. 2361	
${f c}$	(1979)	31:394
COMPARATIVE NEGLIGENCE	The Scope of Judicial Re-	
A Call for the Adoption of	view: A Continuing Dia-	
Comparative Negligence	logue	31:171
in South Carolina 31:757	m, a	
CONFLICTS LAW	view: A Dirge for the	
American Conflicts Law:	Theorists of Majority	
American Conflicts Law	Rule?	31:617
(Symposium) 31:423	O £ O1:	
A Comment on Neumeier	Law	31:21
(Symposium) 31:443		
Introduction (Symposium) 31:409		
A Judge's View (Sympos-	ranty Litigation in South	
ium) 31:435		31:293
Leflar's Contributions to		01100
American Conflicts Law	CONTRACTS	
(Symposium) 31:413	Survey of South Carolina	91.90
A Response from the Au-	22017	91:99
thor (Symposium) 31:457	CRIMINAL LAW	
CONSTITUTIONAL LAW	The Current Role of the	
A Comment on "Due Pro-	Presumption of Inno-	
cess of Law" 31:661	cence in the Criminal Jus-	
Constitutional Law — Per-	tice bystem	31:357
sonal Jurisdiction — The	The Need for an Eviden-	
Due Process Clause vs.	tiary Privilege for the	
Long-Arm Claims for In-	Use of Lie Detectors in	
creased Child Support.	Criminal Cases: Investi-	04 460
Kulko v. Superior Court,	gation as Risk	31:469
436 U.S. 84 (1978) 31:555	Survey of South Carolina	91 - 40

D	L
DOMESTIC RELATIONS Constitutional Law—Personal Jurisdiction—The Due Process Clause vs. Long-Arm Claims for Increased Child Support. Kulko v. Superior Court, 436 U.S. 84 (1978)	LONGSHOREMEN'S AND HARBOR WORKERS' COMPENSATION Edmonds v. Compagnie Generale Translantique: The Supreme Court Sets a Course in Third-Party Litigation Under the Longshoremen's and Har- bor Workers' Compensa- tion Act
£	N
EDUCATION Rehabilitation Act of 1973— Application to Postsecondary Educational Programs. Southeastern Community College v. Davis,	NEGLIGENCE A Call for the Adoption of Comparative Negligence in South Carolina 31:757
99 S. Ct. 2361 (1979) 31:394	n
ESTATE PLANNING	P
Renunciations and Disclaimers Under South Carolina Law	PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE Constitutional Law—Personal Jurisdiction—The Due Process Clause vs. Long-Arm Claims for Increased Child Support. Kulko v. Superior Court, 436 U.S. 84 (1978) 31:555 Federal Practice—Venue— Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1391(b), Venue Found Proper Only in
I	District in Which Take-
INSURANCE Products Liability Insurance Coverage 31:718	over Statute Promulgated and from Which Statute Enforced. Leroy v. Great Western United Corp.,
J	99 S. Ct. 2710 (1979) 31:579 Survey of South Carolina
_	Law 31:87
JUDICIAL IMMUNITY Suing Judges: History and Theory	PRODUCTS LIABILITY Survey of South Carolina Law
A Comment on "Due Process of Law"	Products Liability Insurance Coverage 31:718 PROPERTY
view: A Dirge for the	Survey of South Carolina
Theorists of Majority Rule? 31:617	Law
	Carolina Law 31:667

et al.: Index Digest

${f R}$	\mathbf{W}
RENUNCIATIONS Renunciations and Disclaimers Under South Carolina Law	WILLS Renunciations and Disclaimers Under South Carolina Law
T TORTS Survey of South Carolina	Edmonds v. Compagnie Generale Translantique: The Supreme Court Sets a Course in Third-Party Litigation Under the
Law	Longshoremen's and Har- bor Workers' Compensa- tion Act
in South Carolina 31:757	Law