South Carolina Law Review

Volume 16 | Issue 1

Article 2

1963

FOREWORD

Ellen V. Hines

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarcommons.sc.edu/sclr

Part of the Law Commons

Recommended Citation

Ellen V. Hines, Foreword, 16 S. C. L. Rev. [iii] (1963).

This Article is brought to you by the Law Reviews and Journals at Scholar Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in South Carolina Law Review by an authorized editor of Scholar Commons. For more information, please contact digres@mailbox.sc.edu.

Hines: FOREWORD

SURVEY OF SOUTH CAROLINA LAW APRIL 1962 - MARCH 1963

FOREWORD

In this issue the South Carolina Law Review is proud to present the tenth annual Survey of South Carolina Law. The period under survey embraces decisions between April 1, 1962 through March 31, 1963. All published opinions of the South Carolina Supreme Court are included as are cases dealing with litigation originating in South Carolina and involving South Carolina law by the Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals and the United States Supreme Court. As has been our custom, major legislation enacted by the General Assembly in the 1963 session has been covered. This article, however, will appear in the next issue along with several other articles covering topics usually included in the Survey.

The articles dealing with Wills and Trusts, Domestic Relations and Evidence will appear in the next issue. It is hoped that the contributors of the articles dealing with Damages, Practice and Procedure and Contracts and Sales will complete their articles in time for publication in the next issue.

The intended purpose of the Survey is not to replace the advance sheets, but is rather to group the various cases into given fields, to correlate these cases, and to point out generally the effect, if any, these cases have on the law in that particular field. It is hoped that this approach will aid the reader in making his own overall analytical study to discern trends and to form his own conclusions as to the direction the law is taking in broad perspective. Comments and suggestions toward more effectively fulfilling this purpose are invited.

Two innovations appear in this issue. Security Transactions has been divided into two articles, one dealing with personal property security and the other dealing with real property security. It is hoped that this division will enable us to give better coverage of the growing field of personal property security. Since many of the cases embrace points from more than one field of law the cited cases have been cross-referenced for the reader's convenience.

All articles herein are contributed by members of the South Carolina Bar Association who were appointed by the President for the purpose. The views of the writers and their criticisms are their own. The laft index of the contributors reflected in own legal personalities and it is this which accounts for the seemingly lack of uniformity of approach, treatment, attitude and style. Except for prescribed methods of citation and purpose, free rein is given to each author to write what he wants in the way he wants. It is hoped that the resulting variety will enhance the value of the Survey to its readers.

We wish to thank the members of the Bar Committee for their devotion and effort in this enterprise. Special thanks is due to Professor Ernest L. Folk, III who served as Faculty Advisor of the *Law Review* until his departure to the University of North Carolina. His efforts in the early stages of the preparation of the Survey were invaluable.

The entire editing and publication as well as the selection and classification of the cases discussed herein is the task of the *South Carolina Law Review*. This writer would like to thank the members of the Staff and Editorial Board of the *South Carolina Law Review* who willingly and sacrifically devoted their time and efforts in order to make this Survey possible.

> ELLEN V. HINES Survey Editor