The Journal of Law and Education

Volume 22 | Issue 3

Article 6

Summer 1993

Counterpoint - Introduction: The Judicial-Political Dialogue

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarcommons.sc.edu/jled

Part of the Law Commons

Recommended Citation

Introduction: The Judicial-Political Dialogue, 22 J.L. & EDUC. 321 (Summer 1993).

This Article is brought to you by the Law Reviews and Journals at Scholar Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in The Journal of Law and Education by an authorized editor of Scholar Commons. For more information, please contact digres@mailbox.sc.edu.

Counterpoint

Introduction: The Judicial-Political Dialogue

In the summer 1991 issue of the *Journal*, ¹ Mark Jaffe and Kenneth Kersch argued that certain New Jersey Supreme Court's school finance decision² serve as "paradigmatic examples of a state court's using its state constitution to spur significant social reform."³

In the accompanying Counterpoint, Professor John Dayton takes issue with Jaffe and Kersch's assessment of the role of New Jersey's Supreme Court and the judiciary more generally.

Dayton is not at a 180° or even 90° angle from Jaffe and Kersch.⁴ Rather, he argues that the judicial role is often necessary but not sufficient to achieve fiscal equity. he puts more emphasis on the other branches in the "judicial-political dialogue," with particular attention to educating the populace.

Thus, perhaps education should take a front seat to litigation, rather than vice versa, in achieving lasting school reform. In either event, this Counterpoint dialogue will help us better understand and evaluate the larger judicial-political dialogue.

^{1.} Mark Jaffe & Kenneth Kersch, Guaranteeing a State Right to a Quality Education: The Judicial-Political Dialogue in New Jersey, 20 J.L. & EDUC. 271 (1991).

^{2.} They cited Abbott v. Burke, 119 N.J. 287, 575 A.2d 359 (1990) and Robinson v. Cahill, 62 N.J. 473, 303 A.2d 273 (1973). Jaffee & Kersch, *supra* note 1, at 271 nn.1, 2.

^{3.} Jaffee & Kersch, supra note 1, at 271.

^{4.} For a symbolic example, compare his citation, at note 6, of the New Jersey school finance decisions to theirs, *supra* note 2.