

4-1-1966

Ulysses' Last Voyage--A Reply

Tom Scott
University of Edinburgh

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarcommons.sc.edu/ssl>

 Part of the [English Language and Literature Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Scott, Tom (1965) "Ulysses' Last Voyage--A Reply," *Studies in Scottish Literature*: Vol. 3: Iss. 4, 256–256.
Available at: <https://scholarcommons.sc.edu/ssl/vol3/iss4/8>

This Notes/Documents is brought to you by the Scottish Literature Collections at Scholar Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Studies in Scottish Literature by an authorized editor of Scholar Commons. For more information, please contact dillarda@mailbox.sc.edu.

Ulysses' Last Voyage—A Reply

Readers of Mr. Robin Fulton's note on the translation of the *Inferno* canto xxvi¹ may be interested in the following information. In 1954, newly settled in Edinburgh, I was aware that I had a longish poem of various levels of meaning to write. I didn't know what it would be, but knew that I lacked the technique to cope with it. Following my usual practice, I put myself to school with a master of allegorical narrative by translating the whole of the *Inferno* into Scots. The object of the exercise, which took only six months, was to work in a Scots allegorical narrative medium. This canto is the only one I have published; it succeeds better than the others because it is Homeric, not Dantesque. I took my queue for the unrhymed triplets from Eliot's use in the *Four Quartets*. Italian is much richer in rhyme than Scots, and I knew my own poem would be unrhymed.

Two years after doing the Dante paraphrase, my own theme came to me—the Titanic—and yet another two years passed before, in 1958, I did the first draft of my poem *The Ship*. Reviewers—those who did not ignore it—called it an "allegory" much as one might call a seal a fish. They were up against something entirely new but resembling, superficially, something old. *The Ship* is not an allegory: an allegory imposes an abstract form on reality by creating a fictive tale to concretize abstract ideas; *The Ship* does the opposite. It takes a piece of raw reality and works out the meanings inherent in it—allegory is theological, *The Ship* is scientific in approach. I call the method (after Dante's "polysemous allegory") "polysemous veritism."

TOM SCOTT

UNIVERSITY OF EDINBURGH

¹ See *SSL*, II (April 1965), 251-257.