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An intriguing cell concept by applying proton-conducting oxide as the ionic conducting phase in the anode and taking advantage of beneficial interfacial reaction between anode and electrolyte is proposed to successfully achieve both high open circuit voltage (OCV) and power output for SOFCs with thin-film samarium doped ceria (SDC) electrolyte at temperatures higher than 600 °C. The fuel cells were fabricated by conventional route without introducing an additional processing step. A very thin and dense interfacial layer (2–3 μm) with compositional gradient was created by in situ reaction between anode and electrolyte although the anode substrate had high surface roughness (>5 μm), which is, however, beneficial for increasing triple phase boundaries where electrode reactions happen. A fuel cell with Ni–BaZr0.4Ce0.4Y0.2O3 anode, thin-film SDC electrolyte and Ba0.5Sr0.5Co0.8Fe0.2O3–δ (BSCF) cathode has an OCV as high as 1.022 V and delivered a power density of 462 mW cm−2 at 0.7 V at 600 °C. It greatly promises an intriguing fuel cell concept for efficient power generation.

Introduction

Our current society relies too heavily on fossil fuels. With increasing environmental pollution and global warming effect from their excessive and low efficient burning, nowadays people are more and more concerned about finding new energy sources and innovative energy technologies with improved efficiency for a sustainable future. Solid oxide fuel cells (SOFCs) are fascinating electrochemical energy conversion devices with the advantageous features of high efficiency, low emissions, fuel flexibility and high value of exhaust heat, which are believed to have an important role in future clean power generation. 1–3

SOFCs can be divided into oxide ion conducting ones and proton-conducting ones based on the conducting mechanism of electrolyte (Fig. S1, ESI†). In those cells, anodes are typically composed of metallic nickel and an ionic conducting phase in a mutual percolating way. The ionic conducting phase is introduced with the purpose of improving the electrode performance by effectively extending the electrode-electrolyte-gas triple phase boundary (TPB) where the electrochemical reactions for fuel oxidation occur. Interfacial reaction between cell components typically leads to the formation of an unexpected insulating layer which deteriorates cell performance. 4,5 Thus, in order to avoid potential interfacial reaction, the composition of the ionic conducting phase in the anode was always the same as the electrolyte. Interestingly, recently, we also demonstrated that by tailoring the material composition, the interfacial reaction may create a beneficial effect for cathode performance. 6

Conventional SOFCs are composed of oxide ion conducting yttria-stabilized zirconia (YSZ) electrolyte, La0.6Sr0.4MnO3 (LSM) perovskite oxide cathode and Ni–YSZ cermet anode, and are typically operated at around 1000 °C to ensure high cell power output, which introduces numerous drawbacks including fast cell degradation rate and high cell material and maintenance cost and difficult sealing. 1,7 In order to expand cell lifetime and achieve cost effectiveness, it is generally accepted that the cell operating temperature should be decreased to the intermediate range (500–800 °C). 8–10 Low ionic conductivity of electrolyte and poor activity of cathode for oxygen reduction are the new emerging problems for conventional SOFCs when operating at reduced temperatures.

Nowadays, there is increasing interest in proton-conducting SOFCs created by the lower activation energy for proton diffusion than oxide ion. 11–14 However, the power density of such SOFCs is still relatively low due to the lack of high-performance cathodes. Water is formed at the cathode in proton-conducting SOFCs, which may create a block for oxygen surface diffusion due to its higher polarity than molecular oxygen. In addition, most cathode materials can react easily with proton-conducting electrolytes, which show the same perovskite-type lattice structure.

Both factors introduce a big challenge in the development of high performance cathodes for proton-conducting SOFCs. People also try to increase the low-temperature performance of SOFCs by exploiting alternative oxide ion conducting electrolytes.15–17 Doped ceria have higher ionic conductivity and much better compatibility with most high-performance cobalt-based electrodes than stabilized zirconia.7 Unfortunately, they have partial electronic conductivity at elevated temperature and reducing atmosphere.18 Although high open circuit voltage (OCV) can be obtained for fuel cells with thick electrolytes, as demonstrated by both experiment and modeling, SOFCs with thin-film doped ceria electrolytes have non-negligible leaked current under fuel cell operating conditions at >600 °C, resulting in both low OCV and reduced fuel efficiency.19 Thus, they are usually operated at <600 °C, which introduces a big challenge for the cathode development. To achieve high OCV at >600 °C for fuel cell with doped ceria electrolyte, an electronic blocking layer with protonic conduction properties was applied by Hibino et al.20,21 The same concept was also adopted by Xia et al.22 Although the OCV did improve effectively, the power output was relatively low. In addition, the complexity in fuel cell fabrication was greatly increased, in particular for a buffer layer with less than 10 μm, making it less cost effective. Recently, Ni-Ba1.2Zr0.3Ce0.5Y0.2O3–δ hydrogen electrodes was reported as effective reduction barriers for reversible solid oxide cells based on doped ceria electrolyte thin film by Peng et al., but the cell performance was not sufficiently high.23

Herein, we report an intriguing SOFC concept by applying SDC as electrolyte while a proton-conducting perovskite as the ionic conducting phase in anode, as shown in Fig. 1.24 Under operation, molecular hydrogen is oxidized to protons, while molecular oxygen is reduced to oxygen anions, then oxygen anions migrate through the electrolyte to the anode, and react with proton to form water. This SOFC showed both high OCV and power density at temperatures higher than 600 °C. An interfacial reaction between the electrolyte and the anode was created during high temperature sintering, which effectively blocked the partial electronic conductivity of SDC electrolyte. The cell demonstrated both advantages of proton-conducting SOFCs and oxide ion conducting SOFCs, while eliminating their disadvantages. This cell configuration, which is simple as it does not introduce any additional steps for cell fabrication as compared with conventional SOFCs, is also highly cost effective. It introduces a new way to develop high-performance SOFC for clean power generation.

**Experimental**

**Powder synthesis**

BZCY4, SDC and BSCF powders were all synthesized by an EDTA–citrate complexing sol–gel process. The appropriate metal nitrates were dissolved in water, and a combination of EDTA and citric acid served as complexing agents.25 After stirring and heating, a clear gel was obtained, which was held at 240 °C for several hours and finally calcined under stagnant air at 1000 °C (BZCY4), 800 °C (SDC) or 950 °C (BSCF) for 5 h to obtain the pure phase oxides.

**Fuel cell fabrication**

The anode-supported thin-film electrolyte cells were fabricated by the dry pressing/sintering of the anode-electrolyte dual layer and spray deposition/firing of the cathode layer.25 Anode powder, composed of 60 wt% NiO and 40 wt% BZCY4 (or SDC) was first dry pressed into a substrate disk, then BZCY4 (or SDC) powder was well-distributed over the anode surface and co-pressed with the anode to form the green dual layer cell, which was then sintered at 1450 °C for 5 h in air. The BSCF cathode slurry was sprayed on the central surface of the electrolyte in a round shape and fired at 1000 °C in air for 2 h. The effective geometric surface area is typically ~0.48 cm².

**Fuel cell electrochemical characterization**

The I–V and I–P polarization curves of the cells were obtained using a Keithley 2420 source meter based on the four-probe configuration. The single cell was sealed in a quartz tube using silver paste. 3% water humidified H₂ as the fuel was fed into the anode chamber at a flow rate of 80 mL min⁻¹ [Standard Temperature Pressure, STP], while the cathode side of the fuel cell was exposed to ambient air. The electrode performance was investigated by a complete cell configuration, and the electrochemical impedance spectra (EIS) of the cell was performed using a Solartron 1260A frequency response analyzer in combination with a Solartron 1287 potentiostat under OCV condition from 0.1 to 1000 kHz.

**Other characterizations**

The phase structure of the samples was observed by an X-ray diffractometer (XRD, Bruker D8 Advance). The scans were performed in the 2θ range 20–90°. The microstructure and the elemental distribution of the cross section of the fuel cells were
examined by an environmental scanning electron microscope (ESEM, Quanta-200) equipped with an energy dispersive X-ray analyzer (EDX). The surface topography of the sintered NiO–BZCY4 anode substrate was obtained using Bruker ContourGT-K1 bench top 3D optical microscope surface profiling systems. The coke resistance of anode materials was analyzed by oxygen-temperature programmed oxidation (O2–TPO). Approximately 0.01 g anode powder after treatment was placed into a U-type quartz reactor with an inner diameter of ~4 mm. Pure oxygen at the flow rate of 15 mL min−1 [STP] was then introduced to the reactor. The deposited carbon over the powder surface was then progressively oxidized into CO2. The effluent gas from the reactor was connected with a Hiden QIC-20 Mass spectrometer (MS) for in situ monitoring of the concentration variation of CO2.

**Results and discussion**

We first fabricated and tested an anode-supported SOFC composed of SDC electrolyte (25 μm), NiO–SDC cermet anode (NiO to SDC weight ratio of 60 : 40) and Ba0.5Sr0.5Co0.8Fe0.2O3–δ (BSCF) cathode. Fig. S2 (ESI†) presents a SEM image of a typical single cell from the cross-sectional view. The electrolyte with a thickness of 25 μm was well densified after sintering at 1450 °C. Fig. S3 (ESI†) shows typical current–voltage (I–V) and current–power (I–P) polarization curves of the cell operating under the conditions of ambient air as cathode atmosphere and 3% water humidified hydrogen as fuel. The cell delivered peak power densities (PPDs) of 319, 547, 812, 979, 1019, and 908 mW cm−2 at 500, 550, 600, 650, 700 and 750 °C, respectively, which are comparable to the literature results for similar cells.25,26 The high power outputs can be explained by the high ionic conductivity of SDC electrolyte and excellent electrochemical catalytic activity of BSCF cathode for oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) as well as good chemical compatibility between BSCF cathode and SDC electrolyte, as demonstrated by low ohmic resistances and electrode polarization resistances of the cell tested under open circuit condition (Fig. S4, ESI†). However, as expected, the cell shows low OCV of only 0.862 V at 600 °C, compared with 1.136 V, for that calculated based on the Nernst equation. With the increase of operating temperature, the cell OCV is quickly decreased to only 0.717 V at 750 °C. The fast increase in leaked current density resulted in a lower power output at 750 °C (908 mW cm−2) than at 700 °C (1019 mW cm−2).

To demonstrate the effectiveness of adopting a proton-conducting barium-containing perovskite oxide as the ionic conducting phase in the anode in improving OCV of SOFC with thin-film doped ceria electrolyte, a NiO–Ba1−xZr0.4Ce0.4Y0.2O3–δ (BZCY4) supported SDC electrolyte (25 μm) SOFC with BSCF cathode was also fabricated and tested for power generation. BZCY4 was selected for its high chemical stability against CO2 and water vapor.27,28 The co-sintering for the anode and the electrolyte layer was also conducted at 1450 °C. Fig. 2a shows I–V and I–P polarization curves of the cell at various temperatures with 3% water humidified hydrogen as fuel and ambient air as the cathode atmosphere. It shows high OCVs at all investigated temperatures, reaching 0.960 V at 750 °C, compared with only 0.717 V for a similar SOFC with SDC as the material for both electrolyte and the ionic conducting phase in the anode (Fig. S3, ESI†). The SOFC also demonstrated promising power outputs with PPDs of 364, 488, 574, 676, 765 and 833 mW cm−2 at 500, 550, 600, 650, 700 and 750 °C, respectively. As shown in Fig. 2b, the total electrode polarization resistance (a sum of anodic and cathodic polarization resistances) under OCV condition is only 0.10 and 0.35 Ω cm2 at 750 and 600 °C, respectively.
In addition, the cell also shows low electrolyte ohmic resistances with values of 0.26–0.35 \( \Omega \text{ cm}^2 \) between 600 and 750 °C. As compared to the conventional Ni–SDC anode supported thin-film SDC electrolyte SOFC, the Ni–BZCY4/SDC/BSCF cell showed slightly lower PPDs at corresponding temperatures, for example, at 600 °C they are 812 and 574 mW cm\(^{-2} \) for the SOFCs with SDC and BZCY4 as the ionic conducting phases in the electrolyte and anode, respectively. However, for practical application, the SOFCs are usually operated at a cell voltage of around 0.7 V. Under such conditions the two cells delivered similar power outputs at corresponding temperatures (Fig. 2c), i.e., the power densities for the Ni–SDC supported SOFC are 248 (500 °C), 429 (550 °C), 612 (600 °C), 670 (650 °C) and 496 mW cm\(^{-2} \) (700 °C), while the corresponding values are 306 (500 °C), 401 (550 °C), 462 (600 °C), 550 (650 °C) and 641 mW cm\(^{-2} \) (700 °C) for the Ni–BZCY4 supported SOFC. By applying \( \text{BaZr}_{0.9}\text{Ce}_{0.1}\text{Y}_0.95\text{O}_{2.95} \) (BZCY1), a protonic conducting oxide with higher conductivity than BZCY4, 28,29 as the ionic conducting phase in the anode, the cell delivered even higher power outputs. As shown in Fig. 3, a PPD as high as 1019 mW cm\(^{-2} \) was achieved at 700 °C, and power output of 955 mW cm\(^{-2} \) was still reached at a cell voltage of 0.7 V at 600 °C.

For comparison, we also fabricated and evaluated a protonic conducting SOFC with Ni–BZCY4 anode and thin-film BZCY4 electrolyte (25 μm) and BSCF cathode. Fig. S5 (ESI†) is the SEM photo from cross-sectional view of the single cell. Some enclosed pores were still detected within the BZCY4 electrolyte layer even when the electrolyte was sintered at 1450 °C, while it was highly densified for the SDC electrolyte SOFC, the Ni–BZCY4|SDC|BSCF cell showed slightly higher power outputs at corresponding temperatures (Fig. S7, ESI†). This was due to the interfacial reaction between BZCY4 and BSCF, which resulted in significantly reduced protonic conductivity of the BZCY4 electrolyte and also increased polarization resistance of the electrode. Similar results regarding the interfacial reaction between \( \text{BaCe}_0.9\text{Y}_0.1\text{O}_2.95 \) (BCY) and BSCF was reported in our previous study. 30 It has also been demonstrated that BSCF is not an appropriate cathode for the cell with zirconia-based electrolyte because of the phase reaction. 31

The above results strongly demonstrated the superiority of the cell we report for achieving both high OCVs and power outputs.

We further found that high OCV was obtained for the cells when their anode and electrolyte were co-sintered at a wide range of temperatures. Table 1 gives a comparison of OCV and PPDs at various operating temperatures for Ni–BZCY4|SDC|BSCF cells in which the NiO–BZCY4 anode and SDC electrolyte were co-sintered at 1350, 1400, 1450 and 1500 °C, respectively. Although the power outputs of different cells varied somewhat, all cells demonstrated much improved OCV as compared to the similar Ni–SDC|SDC|BSCF cell at corresponding temperature. A sintering temperature of 1450 °C was found to be optimal to achieve both high OCV and power output.

The improved OCVs suggest the partial electronic conductivity in SDC electrolyte of the Ni–BZCY4|SDC|BSCF cell was reduced significantly compared with Ni–SDC electrochemical results. As shown in Fig. S6 (ESI†), the XRD patterns of the BZCY4, SDC and BZCY4+SDC mixture powder after firing at 1450 °C for 5 h. BZCY4 and SDC were mixed well at a weight ratio of 50 : 50 by high energy ball milling.
was effectively blocked. It is likely a certain type of interfacial reaction happened between NiO–BZCY4 anode and SDC electrolyte which effectively blocked the electron transportation in the SDC electrolyte. To support this assumption, we first investigated the phase reaction between BZCY4 and SDC by powder reaction. Pure phase BZCY4 and SDC were mixed well at the weight ratio of 50 : 50 by high energy ball milling, then fired at 1450 °C (the same temperature for cell fabrication), and the powder was examined by room-temperature XRD. Shown in Fig. 4 are the XRD patterns of the BZCY4, SDC and BZCY4 + SDC mixture powder after firing at 1450 °C. The mixture can be indexed well based on a physical mixture of a perovskite phase and a fluorite phase with the lattice parameters close to BZCY4 and SDC, respectively. It seems there was no serious solid-phase reaction between BZCY4 and SDC. However, diffraction peaks of the perovskite phase became broader after being calcined together with SDC. Previously we demonstrated that cation exchange could happen between BCY and other perovskite phases at elevated temperature with the formation of new phases with the lattice structure similar to the reactants. It was known that Ba, Ce and Sm can form protonic conducting BaCe_{1–x}Sm_xO_{3–δ} oxides, which are proton conductors with similar lattice parameters to BZCY4 perovskite. To give more information about the reaction between SDC and BZCY4 at high temperature, NiO–BZCY4 and SDC dense disks were separately fabricated by drying, pressing and sintering at 1450 °C for 5 h. After surface polishing, they were piled face by face and co-sintered under a load at 1450 °C for 5 h. Fig. 5 gives the average element composition of the SDC pellet after the sintering at selected areas near the surface in contact with NiO–BZCY4, measured by EDX. A noticeable amount of Ba²⁺ was detected in the selected location near the SDC surface which

Fig. 5 The average element composition of the SDC pellet after the sintering at selected area near the surface in contact with NiO–BZCY4 pellet measured by EDX.

Fig. 6 (a) The SEM photo and (b) the linear EDX profiling of the Ni–BZCY4/SDC/BSCF cell after the cell performance test from the cross-sectional view.
was in contact with NiO–BZCY4 pellet during the sintering. It further supported the diffusion of Ba\(^{2+}\) from the anode to the electrolyte during the cell sintering in the Ni–BZCY4|SDC|BSCF cell. Although some Ni\(^{2+}\) was also detected in the same location, it has much lower concentration than Ba\(^{2+}\). Previously, we demonstrated that there was strong chemical interaction between BZCY4 and NiO which effectively suppressed the diffusion of NiO during the sintering.\(^{33}\)

Fig. 6 shows the SEM of the Ni–BZCY4|SDC|BSCF cell after the cell performance test from the cross-sectional view. A dense interfacial layer with a thickness of around 2–3 \(\mu\)m was formed between Ni–BZCY4 anode and SDC electrolyte. The linear EDX profiling clearly demonstrated that a barium concentration gradient appeared in this thin layer. A higher Ba\(^{2+}\) concentration was observed nearer to the Ni–BZCY4 anode layer. It suggests some Ba\(^{2+}\) diffused from the anode into the SDC electrolyte with the formation of a barium-containing transition layer.

To know the effect of Ba\(^{2+}\) diffusion on the OCV and power output of the cells, materials with the composition of Ba\(_x\)Ce\(_{0.8}\)Sm\(_{0.2}\)O\(_3\) (\(x = 0.1, 0.5\) and 1) were synthesized and fuel cells with those materials as electrolytes were fabricated. Fig. 7 shows \(I–V\) and \(I–P\) curves of the corresponding cells with electrolyte thickness of around 25 \(\mu\)m at 700 °C. A steady increase in OCV was observed with Ba\(^{2+}\) content in the electrolyte. Clearly, the incorporation of Ba\(^{2+}\) into SDC increased the OCV, in particular with the formation of BaCe\(_{0.8}\)Sm\(_{0.2}\)O\(_3\) perovskite which is a proton conductor with much lower electronic conductivity than SDC.

The reduced power output suggested the as-formed BaCe\(_{0.8}\)Sm\(_{0.2}\)O\(_3\) had lower ionic conductivity than SDC. Thus, it should be made as thin as possible to minimize the ohmic resistance. Shown in Fig. 8 is the microstructural morphology of the surface of a sintered NiO–BZCY4 anode substrate, prepared by the dry pressing method. It had high degree of surface roughness, reaching as much as 5 \(\mu\)m. It thus introduced great difficulty to obtain a thin blocking layer with good blocking effect. Indeed, Cheng et al. found that the Gd-doped ceria (GDC) buffering layer prepared by the slurry-coating method started to lose its protecting effect for avoiding the direct contact of a BSCF cathode with a YSZ electrolyte with the decrease of thickness.\(^{34}\) The \textit{in situ} interfacial reaction, however, proceeded along the entire NiO–BZCY4 and SDC boundaries, which is insensitive to the surface roughness of respective layer. Thus, the formed
interfacial layer acted as a well separator for the NiO–BZCY4 anode and SDC electrolyte, leading to the obvious increase in OCV. Because of the very small thickness, such a blocking layer did not cause significant increase in the cell ohmic resistance. In addition, the large surface roughness is beneficial to increase triple phase boundary where the electrode reaction happens. Consequently, both high cell power output and OCV was successfully achieved.

Since SDC is an oxide ion conductor with negligible proton conductivity, the water was likely formed at the anode side for the Ni–BZCY4|SDC|BSCF cell, which was supported by the observation of condensed water at the cool part of the anode chamber outside the furnace during fuel cell operation. To further detect the water formation side, a micro quartz tube probe was put near the cathode surface which was connected with an on line mass spectrometer. Shown in Fig. 9 is the response of water signal to the polarization current. The introduction of polarization current to the fuel cell would cause the formation of water. No any change in intensity of the water signal with the polarization current density was observed, implying all water was produced at the anode side. It suggests the interfacial layer formed should also possess oxide ion conductivity. Indeed some protonic conductors were reported to show mixed proton and oxide ion conductivity at fuel cell operation conditions.35–37

For practical application, high operational stability is required. For the performance stability test, the Ni–BZCY4|SDC|BSCF cell was first polarized under a constant polarization current density of 750 mA cm\(^{-2}\) for a certain period at 600 °C. Then, \(I-V\) polarization tests at various temperatures were conducted. After that, the cell was re-polarized at the constant current density of 750 mA cm\(^{-2}\) at 600 °C for a selected period and the \(I-V\) polarization test at various temperatures was repeated several times. Fig. 10a shows the time dependence of the power density at constant polarization current density of 750 mA cm\(^{-2}\) at 600 °C, while the \(I-V\) and \(I-P\) polarization curves during the different periods are shown in Fig. 10b–d. Under the polarization at constant current density, a first slight decrease in cell voltage (thus the power output) was observed at the initial period when the polarization was induced. As demonstrated previously, the cathodic current polarization led to the production of water vapor at the anode side, which leads to the increase of the proton conductivity and the

Fig. 9 The response of water signal to the different polarization current introduced to the Ni–BZCY4|SDC|BSCF cell at 750 °C.

Fig. 10 (a) The time dependence of the power density of the Ni–BZCY4|SDC|BSCF cell at constant polarization current density of 750 mA cm\(^{-2}\) at 600 °C. (b–d) \(I-V\) and \(I-P\) polarization curves of this cell during the different periods.
achieved at 600 °C, which is comparable to a similar cell with sole SDC electrolyte and much higher than the fuel cell with BaZr0.4Ce0.4Y0.2O3 protonic conducting electrolyte. This intriguing cell concept may be a practical way to develop new high performance SOFCs for efficient power generation with great environmental benignity.
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Fig. 11 The O2–TPO profiles of both Ni–SDC and Ni–BZCY4 anode (pre-fired at 1450 °C for 5 h) after treatment in pure CH4, CH4 + 3%H2O, and CH4 + 3%CO2 for 1 h at 600 °C, respectively.

Conclusions
In summary, by simply changing the ionic conducting phase in the anode into proton-conducting BaZr0.4Ce0.4Y0.2O3 oxide, the OCV of anode-supported thin-film doped ceria electrolyte fuel cells at temperatures over 600 °C can be substantially improved while the cell output can still maintain a high level. A power density of 462 mW cm−2 at 0.7 V was successfully