

South Carolina Law Review

Volume 11
Issue 5 *SPECIAL ISSUE 3-A*

Article 23

Spring 1959

Procedure on Appeal

Marcellus S. Whaley

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarcommons.sc.edu/sclr>



Part of the [Law Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Whaley, Marcellus S. (1959) "Procedure on Appeal," *South Carolina Law Review*. Vol. 11 : Iss. 5 , Article 23.
Available at: <https://scholarcommons.sc.edu/sclr/vol11/iss5/23>

This Book Chapter is brought to you by the Law Reviews and Journals at Scholar Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in South Carolina Law Review by an authorized editor of Scholar Commons. For more information, please contact digres@mailbox.sc.edu.

of inference that if the lower court didn't have jurisdiction the State may appeal on that ground.

At page 71 interesting language relative to the probability of such an inference appears. Justice Bonham declared:

With his usual thoroughness and lucidness Mr. Justice Marion reviews the authorities bearing on the question and concludes his interesting opinion with the following observations: "Without attempting here to lay down a definite rule as to the extent of the State's right of appeal in criminal cases, in view of the express terms of the statute (Section 93, Criminal Code), and in the light of prior adjudications of this Court, we are clearly of the opinion that the State has no right of appeal from an order of a magistrate granting a new trial in a criminal prosecution, where, as in the case at bar, the jurisdiction of the magistrate as to ordering the new trial is not in question, and where the order was predicated, at least in part, upon the magistrate's judgment as to the weight and sufficiency of the evidence adduced at the trial. See *State v. Nicholas*, 2 Strob., 278; *State v. Lewis*, 4 Strob., 47."

It is true that in the instant case, the appellant contends that the magistrate had no jurisdiction to issue his order dismissing the charge. But the order of Judge Belinger, from which the appeal comes to us, expressly holds that the magistrate did have jurisdiction.

PROCEDURE ON APPEAL

At this point it may be helpful to young practitioners to have before them suggestions as to procedure on appeal to the Supreme Court. Every step is important from the preparation of the proposed case for appeal which becomes the Transcript of Record on through the Briefs for each side. Before beginning the first step, the following sections of the Code of 1952 and their respective annotations should be carefully studied: 7-1 to 7-20, 7-401 to 7-430, and 10-209, 10-217, and 10-218. Rules of the Supreme Court 1 through 29 should also be carefully studied.

Besides paying particular attention to Supreme Court Rules 1 to 7, it is very worthwhile to use as a guide a printed transcript of record of a case handled by an older and careful

attorney. Even then, one should check it with the Rules and Code Sections.

A gentle reminder at this point recalls a duty a trial attorney owes not only to his client but also to himself and to the appellate court; namely, to carefully read the Rules of that court, all pertinent sections of the Code, together with their annotations, and to bring both up-to-date by way of the latest supplement to the Code. Special attention is called to the 1955 cumulative supplement to Supreme Court Rules 1, 4, 7, 8, and 17.

Transcript of Record or Return:

In the Transcript of Record each one of the numbered headings from 2 to 12 as listed below should be put, capitalized, in the middle of the page on which it comes. For example index should be

INDEX

and statement should be

STATEMENT.

A motion for a directed verdict should be

MOTION FOR DIRECTED VERDICT.

By using capitals in the center of the page for a heading or topic it gives it emphasis and also makes it stand out separately from any other heading or topic.

1. TITLE page on front. (Sup. Crt. Rule 2.) A form for title page is as follows:

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

In The Supreme Court

Appeal From Hitchin County

Hon. J. Robert Martin, Jr., Presiding Judge

FIELDS F. NORRIS, Appellant,

Against

ROY BRYANT, TAFT CHAPPELL, POINSETT LUMBER
AND MANUFACTURING COMPANY, AND ONE 1942
MODEL FIVE TON FORD TRUCK, with logging trailer,

Motor No. 489953, 1945 S. C. Tag No. 50072, and S. C.
GRANT, Respondents.

TRANSCRIPT OF RECORD

Amigel Wade

Hitchin, S. C.

John Curling, Jr.

Hitchin, S. C.

Attorneys for Appellants

Harmsworth & Harmsworth

Gruenwald, S. C.

W. L. Amwell

Hitchin, S. C.

Attorneys for Respondents

2. INDEX of contents, inside of front page.

The following is a rather complete index taken from the Transcript of Record in the foregoing *Norris* case and may be found helpful in preparing a case for appeal.

INDEX

	Page
STATEMENT _____	1
PLEADINGS:	
Amended Complaint _____	5
Amended Answer of Poinsett Lumber & Mfg. Co. ____	8
Amended Answer of S. C. Grant _____	10
Amended Answer of Taft Chappell _____	11
Amended Answer of Roy Bryant _____	12
PROCEEDINGS FOR PRE-TRIAL EXAMINATION:	
Petition of September 12, 1947 _____	13
Notice of Motion _____	18
First Order Thereon _____	20
Pre-Trial Testimony of Thomas J. Mitchell _____	22
Petition of May 28, 1948 _____	27
Notice of Motion _____	31
Verbal Orders on Applications for Pre-Trial Examination _____	32
Verbal Orders at Commencement of Trial on Applications for Pre-Trial Examinations _____	33

	Page
Pre-Trial Testimony of S. C. Grant _____	35
Pre-Trial Testimony of R. Taft Chappell _____	38
PLAINTIFF'S TESTIMONY AND EVIDENCE:	
Kermit Durham _____	39
Affidavit as to Driver's License of Roy Bryant _____	51
Ray Chiles _____	52
Jim Hedden _____	57
Jack Cantrell _____	61
Earl Dill _____	71
MOTION FOR NON-SUIT _____	75
ORDER REFUSING MOTION FOR NON-SUIT _____	76
DEFENDANTS' TESTIMONY AND EVIDENCE:	
Renewal of Motion for Non-Suit and Order Again Refusing Same _____	77
S. C. Grant _____	77
Roy Bryant _____	92
Logging Contract _____	100
PLAINTIFF'S EVIDENCE IN REPLY:	
Affidavit of Director of Motor Vehicle Division _____	111
MOTION FOR DIRECTED VERDICT _____	111
DIRECTED VERDICT _____	113
NOTICE OF MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL _____	115
AFFIDAVITS IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL:	
R. T. Chappell _____	118
Kenneth Stewart _____	119
Earl J. Dills _____	121
Fields F. Norris _____	123
Amigel Wade and John Curling, Jr. _____	124
AFFIDAVITS AGAINST MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL:	
R. Taft Chappell _____	126-130
Kenneth Stewart _____	131
J. L. Jones _____	132
W. L. Amwell _____	133
C. F. Harmsworth, Jr. _____	136
ORDER REFUSING NEW TRIAL _____	138
EXCEPTIONS _____	142
STIPULATION _____	149
CERTIFICATE OF CLERK OF COURT _____	149

3. **STATEMENT** showing briefly when case began; when tried, whom before, whether jury used; result of trial; result of any later motions, if any; giving of notice of appeal. Historical statement only with admitted or undisputed facts added.

4. **PLEADINGS** next, in their proper order, omitting formal parts, if pertinent.

5. **EXHIBITS**, if any, in their numerical order, if pertinent.

6. **TESTIMONY** in the order taken, comes next. Watch Sec. 7-425 of Code. Only testimony pertinent to the exceptions should be included, and must be in question and answer form; if exception is as to nonsuit or direction of verdict, probably all the testimony will have to be included.

7. Any **MOTIONS** made before verdict rendered, will come next in proper order, *if* they, or any of them, are pertinent to any of the exceptions.

8. The **JUDGE'S CHARGE**, if pertinent to any exception.

9. Any **MOTIONS** coming after the verdict is rendered will be next, as, for example, a motion for a new trial, with the grounds thereof (but only such grounds should be inserted as are pertinent to the exceptions).

10. **NOTICE OF APPEAL.**

11. **EXCEPTIONS.**

12. **APPENDIX.** If the trial judge has to pass an order settling the case for appeal, the respondent may appeal by exceptions. Both the appeal of the respondent and the order of the trial judge settling the case, should be in the **APPENDIX**, and in the **INDEX** there should be a sub-index under **APPENDIX**, showing the matters set forth in the **APPENDIX** and the pages on which same may be found.

13. **AGREEMENT** of counsel, form of which may be as follows:

AGREEMENT

We, the undersigned counsel in this case, hereby agree that the foregoing shall constitute the transcript of Record for Appeal to the Supreme Court.

Attorneys for Appellant

Attorneys for Respondent

NOTE: Indent and single space when possible all substantial quotations in Transcript of Record and Briefs