

## I. Correction and Approval of Minutes.

Professor Joseph Ryan, Chairman, Scholastic Standards and Petitions Committee, drew attention to page 26 of the agenda, item 1. It concerned a corrected paragraph of the April meeting of the Senate and Professor Ryan asked for the substitution of the corrected paragraph. The Senate voted approval and then also approved the minutes of the May meeting as submitted.

## II. Reports of Officers.

### A. Associate Provost Stephen H. Ackerman:

Associate Provost Ackerman, reinforcing a message from Information Services, asked the senators to remind their deans or department chairs that the galleys for the new edition of the Graduate Bulletin could be inspected for accuracy at Information Services.

### B. Professor Alfred G. Smith, Self-Study Office:

Professor Smith reported that half of the committees had submitted their reports and the others were expected to submit theirs shortly. Work on the final report had already begun, the report having to be in the hands of the Southern Association by March 1, 1981. A visiting team will inspect the campus shortly thereafter. The self-study organization is also at work compiling a data book, its 550 copies to be widely distributed throughout the University.

## III. Reports of Committees.

### A. Grade Change Committee, Professor B. Theodore Cole, Chairman:

The changes recommended by Professor Cole on behalf of the Committee were approved.

### B. Committee on Curricula and Courses, Professor John L. Safko, Chairman:

On behalf of his committee, Professor Safko moved the adoption of the proposals, but with the following editorial corrections: IV-B, ARTS 291 should read: Television as a medium of self-expression. IV-D, Department of Military Science, the course designators should read ARMY instead of MILS. V-B, Proposed Wording 1-B, p. 12, should read 9 hours chosen from Anthropology, Psychology, and Sociology instead of 9 hours chosen from any of the above areas. The proposals were then submitted according to Roman numerals; sections I through V-A, and VI through VIII were passed. Section IX was submitted for information only.

Only item V-B occasioned some debate. Professor Ashley remarked that the curriculum change had been under study for longer than two years and reflected the growth and diversification of the college which now serves some 1100 students, the 5-year journalism accreditation study, and the Southern Association self-study. The intended changes were the product of advice from newspaper, advertising, and broadcasting professionals as well as from faculty. They reflect the college's best judgment as to the needs of the students as well as the fact that, almost alone among professional programs, journalism requires students to do 75% of their work outside of their discipline.

Professors Briggs, Turpin, and Lane, Foreign Languages, reiterated some of the criticism which they had voiced at the May meeting. Professor Jones, Religious Studies, expressed his displeasure with the proposal by observing that the humanities requirement was being reduced from twelve to six hours.

Professor Henry Price, Journalism, replied to Professor Briggs by acknowledging that theoretically it would indeed be possible for a student to amass 24-30 hours in one subject, but that in practical terms this had not happened in the past, nor would it happen in the future, thanks to the thorough advising process employed in the College of Journalism. With respect to Professor Jones's criticism, Professor Price noted that even under the existing curriculum a student could take no more than 9 hours in one humanities discipline, and that under the proposed changes a student would be permitted to take six hours, supplemented by another optional three, in effect not detracting from any department. The proposal was then put to vote and passed.

C. Faculty Advisory Committee, Professor Benjamin M. Gimarc:

For information only, Professor Gimarc introduced the proposed procedure for termination of tenured faculty. Referring to the existing procedure as outlined on page 35 of the Faculty Manual, he elaborated on the differences in the proposed procedure and welcomed written suggestions from the faculty and faculty senators before September 10, in order to be able to bring the proposed procedure before the Senate for action in October. Professor Robert Rood, Government and International Studies, had six items which he thought the Faculty Advisory Committee ought to consider, from more precise definitions of sexual conduct and conduct detrimental to the University, to the role of the Faculty Advisory Committee and the President, to the Review Board. Remarks were made that inasmuch as this procedure is as important as the one the Senate dealt with for months concerning non-tenured faculty, a public hearing would serve the faculty best and it was so moved. The motion passed and a public hearing will be held early in September.

D. Scholastic Standards and Petitions Committee, Professor Joseph P. Ryan, Chairman:

On behalf of his committee, Professor Ryan introduced section 2B of his report. The committee recommended disapproval of the proposed attendance requirement in the Department of Art because it is in the process of looking

at attendance regulations throughout the University and ought to be permitted to complete its study instead of introducing changes piece-meal. Discussion then ensued concerning the rights of each college to formulate its own attendance regulations and the role of the University committee. The opinion was voiced by Professors Ed Hickman, Business Administration and Ernest Furchtgott, Psychology, as well as Deans Bain and Compton that decisions of this nature should be left to each college to determine as outlined in the Faculty Manual. Ultimately the motion by the Scholastic Standards and Petitions Committee to reject the proposed change was rejected by the Senate, with the result that the new attendance regulation for the Department of Art become effective in the fall semester.

D. Athletic Advisory Committee.

Action was deferred until the September meeting owing to the absence of Professors Husband, Putnam, and Furst.

IV. Report of Secretary.

Professor Peter W. Becker reported that the second run-off ballot had resulted in the election of Professor Tim Jur, Engineering, to the Faculty Welfare Committee.

V. Unfinished Business.

The chairman was asked about the status of the grievance procedure and the annual review procedure and replied that to the best of his knowledge they had been adopted by the Board of Trustees and were now under review by the Commission on Higher Education and the State Personnel Division.

VI. New Business - None.

VII. Good of the Order - None.

VIII. Announcements - None.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned at 4:30 p.m.