

## I. Approval of Minutes.

Chairman Charles Coolidge called the Senate to order. The minutes of July 9, 1980 were approved as submitted.

Chairman Coolidge invited nominations for Chairman-elect of the Faculty Senate. Professors Donald Greiner, English, and Robert Patterson, History, were nominated. He also called for nominations for the position of Secretary of the Faculty.

## II. Reports of Officers.

Provost Borkowski informed the Senate that the grievance procedure for non-reappointment is to be submitted to the Budget and Control Board and the Commission on Higher Education by October 1, 1980. The procedure approved by the Columbia campus faculty and by the Board of Trustees was reviewed by the Board in conjunction with the grievance procedures of the other campuses of the University system. There were minor adjustments to them, particularly with respect to review deadlines which were made consistent. The Columbia campus procedure required no adjustments. All of the procedures would be submitted to the Board of Trustees at its meeting on September 13. All procedures will be submitted in conjunction with the cover memorandum from the Board as drafted in the September agenda of the Senate. The University was requested to submit by October 1 also the grievance procedure for termination of tenured faculty, but as that is still under review by the Senate and unlikely to be finished before October 1, the University administration will submit the formulation currently in the Faculty Manual and advise the Budget and Control Board and the Commission on Higher Education that a new version will be submitted to them as soon as the Senate has concluded its deliberations. Upon a question by Professor Ingebretsen, Medical School, Provost Borkowski replied that the annual review procedure was also part of the package to be submitted.

Professor Al Smith, Self-Study Office, reported that the self-study effort was making good progress, with a final report to be ready for the printer by the end of this year. In order to obtain the reaction of the University community to the findings before they were made final, a number of meetings have been scheduled. These are to be held on the coming Friday in Conference Room B of Faculty House at 2:30 for all deans except those of the Colleges of Humanities and Social Sciences and Science and Mathematics. A second meeting is scheduled for Friday, September 19, in Conference Room 256, Gambrell Hall, for department heads and deans of the two colleges not represented at the first meeting. Non-academic administrators will have a chance to air their views at a third meeting scheduled for Friday, September 26,

at 4 PM in Room 107C in the Osborne Administration Building. A meeting for the personnel of the Medical School will be held on Friday, October 3, time and place to be announced later, and a fifth meeting for the General Faculty is planned for Friday, October 10, 4 PM, in the auditorium of the Business Administration Building.

### III. Reports of Officers.

#### A. Grade Change Committee, Professor Keith Berkeley, Chairman:

The report of the Grade Change Committee as submitted by Professor Berkeley was approved with minor corrections. (The first 16 grades on page 7 should read CHEM 112L instead of CHEM 112; the 5th entry on page 8 should read STAT 518 instead of MATH 518 and the instructor's name should be Chen).

#### B. Committee on Curricula and Courses, Professor John L. Safko, Chairman:

Professor Safko submitted his report for approval and all items were approved with a correction in IV,3, where the number of hours under Public Relations should add up to 15 instead of 12, and the understanding with respect to section VII that the combination of CHEM 112 and CHEM 112L would only go into effect with the summer of 1981 so that CHEM 112L could still be offered in the spring semester.

#### C. Faculty Advisory Committee, Professor John Kimmey:

On behalf of his committee, Professor Kimmey submitted a recommendation that the Health Professions Undergraduate Advisement Committee be appointed by the Chairman of the Faculty Senate in consultation with the Steering Committee. The recommendation was approved. Professor Kimmey also drew attention to the annual report of the committee and the issues still to be considered. Professor Kimmey also referred to the open meeting of the Faculty Advisory Committee concerning the grievance procedure for dismissal of tenured faculty, thanked those who had appeared before the committee and sent letters, and invited further comments. He indicated that the Faculty Advisory Committee would present its report at the next Senate meeting on October 1.

#### D. Scholastic Standards and Petitions Committee, Professor Joseph Ryan, Chairman:

Professor Ryan announced that the committee would bring forth a report on October 1 concerning policies and procedures on various forms, particularly dealing with Incompletes and Independent Study.

E. Athletic Advisory Committee, Professor David D. Husband,  
Chairman:

On behalf of his committee, Professor Husband moved the adoption of a revised charter. The rationale for the change was that the responsibilities with which the committee was entrusted depended too much on the cooperation of the Board of Trustees and the University administration. The proposed wording would more accurately reflect what the committee could actually do.

Professor Blachman, Government and International Studies, suggested that perhaps the phrase "or being relevant to its mandate" ought to be added to the first sentence of the first paragraph so that the committee could act on its own instead of waiting for matters to be presented to it. Professor Husband replied that if any member of the Senate recommended some action to the committee, such activity would be subsumed under the proposed wording.

Professor Patterson, History, criticized that the new version assigned a rather passive role to the committee. Looking at the current scene with several athletic establishments tinged by scandal, the revision appeared to him as heading in the wrong direction. With respect to conformation by the administration to the principles enunciated in the old statement, the administration at its best moments adhered to guidelines such as those of the American Association of University Professors and also cooperated with such committees as the one on tenure and promotion. For this reason he disliked the withdrawal of courageous language from the Faculty Manual and recommended a vote against the proposal.

Professor Furst, Business Administration, as a member of the Athletic Advisory Committee and the author of the new provision, replied that, quite to the contrary, he looked upon the revision as allowing the committee to play a more active role than in the past. Whereas before action essentially needed to be initiated by the Board, the administration, and the Athletic Department, the proposed phrasing would permit other groups to seize the initiative.

Professor Becker, History, objected that the new version would allow only organized groups to recommend action, but deny it to individual students, faculty, and staff members. He also deplored that no provision was included to assure reports to the faculty, permitting the committee to ignore the faculty. Most important, though, was the omission of all references to the Board and the administration. While in fact their cooperation could not be coerced, the current definition had been written in conjunction with the Faculty Advisory Committee and the Board of Trustees. If the Board was unwilling to fulfill its part of the agreement, then the onus for such inaction should fall on the Board and on the administration, and the committee should not retrench. He argued that whatever objectives the committee wished to accomplish could be achieved better through different language. He also urged the defeat of the proposal.

Put to a vote, the proposal was adopted.

IV. Report of Secretary.

Professor Becker called attention to the annual report of the Secretary, included in the September agenda.

V. Unfinished Business. - None

VI. New Business.

Professor Jones, Physics, referred to the changed summer payment policies of the University Credit Union and the hardship that they work on some faculty. He wanted the matter to be referred to the proper committee for consideration. Chairman Coolidge indicated that he would do so.

Professor Hal French, Religious Studies, asked that an inquiry be conducted among the faculty about the recent changes in the Faculty House service. The motion was adopted.

VII. Good of the Order. - None

VIII. Announcements. - None

There were no further nominations for Chairman-elect and two nominations for Secretary, both nominees declining. Nominations for the latter position will be reopened at the October meeting.