

8-12-2020

An Exploratory Study to Understand Factors Associated with Health-related Quality of Life Among Uninsured/Underinsured Patients as Identified by Clinic Providers and Staff

Guillermo M. Wippold

Nwakaego Nmezi

Jaime L. Williams

Jacqueline Butler

Tanya M. Hodge

An Exploratory Study to Understand Factors Associated with Health-related Quality of Life Among Uninsured/Underinsured Patients as Identified by Clinic Providers and Staff

Journal of Primary Care & Community Health
Volume 11: 1–9
© The Author(s) 2020
Article reuse guidelines:
sagepub.com/journals-permissions
DOI: 10.1177/2150132720949412
journals.sagepub.com/home/jpc



Guillermo M. Wippold¹ , Nwakaego Nmezi², Jaime L. Williams³,
Jacqueline Butler⁴, and Tanya M. Hodge⁵

Abstract

Since the release of Healthy People 2020, there has been extensive research understanding factors associated with health-related quality of life (HRQoL) among specific populations. Despite this growing body of research, little has been conducted to understand the factors associated with HRQoL among uninsured/underinsured Americans. The purposes of the present study were to assess clinic staff to determine: (1) whether there is a need to examine HRQoL among uninsured/underinsured individuals, (2) whether there is a need for tailored HRQoL-promoting interventions among uninsured/underinsured individuals, and (3) the factors associated with HRQoL among uninsured/underinsured individuals. A survey was sent to an association of 41 clinics that provides free medical services to uninsured/underinsured individuals. The majority of participants indicated that uninsured/underinsured individuals experience unique factors associated with HRQoL and that there was a need to implement tailored HRQoL-improving interventions among uninsured/underinsured individuals. The results also present the personal/contextual factors associated with HRQoL of uninsured/underinsured individuals.

Keywords

community health, prevention, primary care, underserved communities, health promotion

Dates received 23 June 2020; revised 22 July 2020; accepted 22 July 2020.

A growing number of individuals in the United States (U.S.) are at risk for poor health and are in need of adequate and affordable healthcare. The implementation of the Affordable Care Act (ACA) in 2014 reduced the number of uninsured nonelderly Americans from 44 million in 2013 to below 27 million in 2016.¹ Despite this initial victory, 2017 saw the first increase in uninsured nonelderly adults since the implementation of the ACA. This increase was followed by another increase the following year.² Along with these increases in the number of uninsured nonelderly adults, recent national conversations have also focused on individuals who are underinsured. These individuals have health insurance, though are at a significant financial risk when paying for health-related expenditures. Although it was initially thought that the ACA would reduce the number of underinsured adults in the U.S.,³ the number of Americans who are at serious financial risk when paying for health-related expenditures has increased from 29 million in 2010 to 44 million in 2018.⁴

While healthcare reform continues to be debated in the U.S., the reality is that a growing number of Americans are finding it harder to afford adequate healthcare and those that cannot afford adequate healthcare are at risk for poor health and health disparities. In 2017, the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) released a report which concluded that many health disparities in the U.S. have worsened over time.⁵ In addition to these worsening

¹University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC, USA

²Rush University Medical Center, Chicago, IL, USA

³Jackson Health System, University of Miami Miller School of Medicine, Miami, FL, USA

⁴Sumter United Ministries, Sumter, SC, USA

⁵Helping Hands Free Medical Clinic, Marion, SC, USA

Corresponding Author:

Guillermo M. Wippold, Department of Psychology, University of South Carolina, 1512 Pendleton Avenue, Barnwell College, Mailbox 38, Columbia, SC 29208, USA.

Email: wippold@mailbox.sc.edu



Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons

Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (<https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage>).

health disparities, indicators of overall public health continue to raise alarms—life expectancy in the U.S. has declined for 3 years in a row and the rates of obesity and suicide are climbing.⁶⁻⁸ These worsening indicators of public health highlight an urgent need to promote health among uninsured and underinsured individuals—a group disproportionately at risk for adverse health.

Health-related Quality of Life

Healthy People 2020 identified health-related quality of life (HRQoL) as an important indicator of overall public health.⁹ HRQoL is a holistic and multidimensional conceptualization of health that is composed of an individual's physical, psychological, and social functioning.^{10,11} It recognizes health as a product of various personal and contextual factors. This holistic understanding of health may play a role in explaining why multidimensional measures of health (e.g., HRQoL) can be more powerful predictors of adverse health outcomes than unidimensional measures of health (e.g., the presence of a chronic illness).^{12,13} Moreover, research also indicates that multidimensional measures of health can predict adverse health outcomes while controlling for unidimensional measures of health.¹⁴ It is therefore alarming that surveillance data from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention have suggested that HRQoL has decreased in recent years and not surprising that Healthy People 2020 set a national objective to improve HRQoL for all Americans.^{11,15}

The emphasis on HRQoL, and the national goal to improve it, has been followed by an increasing amount of research assessing population-specific HRQoL. This research has been conducted among cancer survivors,¹⁶ individuals with Parkinson's disease,¹⁷ caregivers of children with autism spectrum disorders (ASDs),¹⁸ and individuals experiencing obesity.¹⁹ Although this increase in research is much needed, there is a noticeable gap in the literature assessing the unique factors associated with HRQoL among uninsured and underinsured individuals in the U.S. This gap is alarming because health insurance status has been shown to be positively associated with HRQoL and like cancer survivors, individuals with Parkinson's disease, caregivers of children with ASDs, and individuals experiencing obesity—^{20,21} uninsured and underinsured individuals likely experience unique, population-specific factors associated with their HRQoL. Although insurance status has been positively associated with HRQoL, no known research has explored the unique factors associated with HRQoL among uninsured and underinsured individuals.

Contextual Model of HRQoL

The Contextual Model (CM) of HRQoL was proposed as a model that was intentionally inclusive of cultural (e.g.,

acculturation) and socioecological (e.g., socioeconomic status) dimensions implicated with HRQoL—dimensions often omitted from other HRQoL models.²² Other dimensions of the CM of HRQoL include the demographic context and the healthcare system context (e.g., access to care).²² Each dimension directly, and through interactions with the other dimensions, impacts HRQoL. This model has been useful when working with underserved populations including uninsured and underinsured individuals,²³⁻²⁵ as these populations experience unique contextual factors that are often not included in traditional models and measures of HRQoL. More information on the CM of HRQoL can be found in Ashing-Giwa (2005).²²

Assessing whether there is a need (i.e., a health needs assessment) to improve HRQoL among uninsured and underinsured individuals and, if so, understanding the unique personal and contextual factors that influence HRQoL among these individuals may serve as important first steps to improving healthcare services and designing interventions to reduce HRQoL disparities. Health needs assessments are an important public health tool given the rising costs of health care services and the limited resources available to promote health.²⁶ These assessments can guide the allocation of limited health resources and inform intervention development and implementation strategies.²⁷ For example, once it is established that there is a need to promote HRQoL among uninsured and underinsured individuals, personal and contextual risk factors can be understood in order to develop and implement a tailored intervention. Tailored health promotion interventions are often preferred by both patients and providers and are effective in producing desired outcomes.²⁸⁻³⁰ Additionally, a recent review of randomized controlled trials found that tailored interventions were more likely to produce desired outcomes compared to no intervention and compared to interventions based on general guidelines.^{31,32} Thus, the purposes of the present study are to determine the:

1. Degree to which there is a need to examine HRQoL among uninsured and underinsured individuals.
2. Degree to which there is a need for tailored HRQoL-promoting intervention for uninsured and underinsured individuals.

And understand the personal and contextual factors associated with the:

3. Physical functioning domain of HRQoL among uninsured and underinsured patients.
4. Psychological functioning domain of HRQoL among uninsured and underinsured patients.
5. Social functioning domain of HRQoL among uninsured and underinsured patients.

Methods

The present study received institutional review board (IRB) approval at a large university located in the Southeastern United States. Participants were recruited via an email sent to the listserv of the South Carolina Free Clinic Association (SCFCA)—an association of 41 free medical clinics across 25 counties in South Carolina. Additional emails were sent to the directors of each clinic. The IRB-approved email contained a description of the project, the inclusion criteria, a description of the survey, and an assurance that all responses will be anonymous. The inclusion criteria indicated that the present study was limited to individuals who (1) self-identified as working at a medical clinic that provides services to uninsured and underinsured individuals and (2) have regular contact with uninsured and underinsured individuals.

Participants

A total of 40 participants completed the online survey. One participant was removed because they indicated that they did not have regular contact with uninsured and underinsured individuals. Of the remaining 39 participants, 29 identified as female, 9 identified as male, and 1 indicated that they would “prefer not to say.” The average age of participants was 56.86 (SD = 13.80, range = 27-72). The average number of years that the participants have worked with uninsured and underinsured was 12.2 years (SD = 11.04, range = 1-42). The majority of participants were medical providers (e.g., physicians, physicians assistants, nurse practitioners, nurses, dental hygienists; $n = 19$) followed by administrative and front desk staff ($n = 16$). The remaining participants included a medical interpreter ($n = 1$), grant writer ($n = 1$) and 2 individuals who did not specify their roles. Most participants indicated that they work at a clinic that provides services to a mix of urban and rural patients ($n = 30$), followed by participants who work at a clinic that provides services to only rural patients ($n = 8$). One respondent indicated that they work at a clinic that provides services to only urban patients. On average, the respondents indicated that the clinics see 158.51 patients per week (SD = 166.54, range = 12-600).

Procedure

The principal investigator (PI) of the present study met with the Executive Director of the SCFCA, in addition to the directors of 2 separate clinics (the 4th and 5th authors of this manuscript) that are member-clinics of the SCFCA. These individuals indicated that there was a need to examine the HRQoL among the patients at their clinics. Although focus groups were proposed, the two clinic directors indicated that they expected a better response rate if a brief survey was sent via email. They highlighted the time constraints experienced by those working at the free medical clinics as being a

barrier to participation in focus groups. Based on their input, a survey consisting of open-ended and close-ended questions was created by the PI. The PI then sent the survey to the two clinic directors for their input. Both clinic directors indicated that they found the survey satisfactory.

The survey began with an easy-to-understand written definition of HRQoL, in addition to a depiction of HRQoL that also served to explain the present study. The depiction of HRQoL consisted of 3 circles identified as representing each of the 3 domains of HRQoL (i.e., physical functioning, psychological functioning, and social functioning) with arrows pointing from each circle towards a central circle identified as HRQoL. Additionally, the 3 circles identified as representing each of the 3 domains of HRQoL had 3 separate circles pointing towards each of them containing a question mark. The question marks indicated that the present study was interested in the personal and contextual factors associated with each of the domains of HRQoL.

The survey consisted of 16 questions, 4 open-ended questions and 12 close-ended questions. Three of the 4 open-ended questions asked the participant to identify specific factors associated with each domain of HRQoL (i.e., physical functioning, psychological functioning, and social functioning). The 4th open-ended question was an optional question that asked participants if they had any other comments about HRQoL among uninsured and underinsured patients. The 12 close-ended questions assessed: (1) whether or not the participant has regular contact with uninsured and/or underinsured individuals; (2) participant role at the clinic; (3) type of patients seeking services at the clinic (eg, urban, rural, mix); (4) estimate of patients who are urban and rural; (5) average number of uninsured and underinsured patients seen at the clinic per week; (6) degree to which there is a need to examine HRQoL among uninsured/underinsured individuals (Likert-type responses ranging from *Strongly disagree* to *Strongly agree*); (7) degree to which uninsured and underinsured individuals experience unique factors that influence their HRQoL (Likert-type responses ranging from *Strongly disagree* to *Strongly agree*); (8) degree to which there is a need for tailored HRQoL-promoting interventions among uninsured and underinsured individuals (Likert-type responses ranging from *Strongly disagree* to *Strongly agree*); (9) participant gender; (10) participant age; (11) number of years that the participant has been working with uninsured/underinsured individuals; and (12) zip code of the clinic.

Results

The Degree to Which There is a Need to Examine HRQoL among Uninsured and Underinsured Individuals

The majority of participants ($n = 24$; 61.54%) responded that they strongly agreed with the following statement: “Please rate the degree to which you agree or disagree with

this statement: There is a need to examine health-related quality of life among uninsured and underinsured individuals.” Of the remaining participants, 11 (28.21%) indicated that they agreed with the statement and 4 (10.26%) indicated that they strongly disagreed with the statement.

The Degree to Which There is a Need for Tailored HRQoL-promoting Intervention for Uninsured and Underinsured Individuals

The majority of participants ($n = 26$; 66.67%) responded that they strongly agreed with the following statement: “Please rate the degree to which you agree or disagree with this statement: There is a need for interventions to improve health-related quality of life that are tailored to the specific needs of uninsured and underinsured individuals.” Of the remaining participants, 10 (25.64%) indicated that they agreed with the statement, 1 (2.56%) indicated that they were unsure about the statement, and 2 (5.13%) indicated that they strongly disagreed with the statement.

Qualitative analytic methods. The qualitative analysis for the present study relied upon a grounded theory approach in that the results were determined from an inductive method of analysis that allowed individual data points to inform the creation of larger constructs. Specifically, the qualitative analysis for the present study utilized the constant comparative method. The constant comparative method is a grounded theory approach wherein individual participant responses are used to generate themes by determining patterns of responses and categorizing the responses into themes by constantly comparing the individual responses to the themes, and generating new themes as needed, in order to sort all of the responses into themes.³³

The PI for the present study first organized the qualitative responses from the participants into a spreadsheet in order to facilitate the analyses. Specifically, the responses were categorized according to the research question to which they were responsive (i.e., factors impacting physical functioning, factors impacting psychological functioning, and factors impacting social functioning). Each individual response is called an “instance.” Next, 2 research team members independently reviewed the list of instances for each research question and assigned themes using the constant comparative method of analysis as described above. The 2 research team members then compared their themes with one another to determine a list of final themes (i.e., an agreed-upon list of the themes that both research team members would then use to code the instances in the final stage of analysis).

Finally, the 2 research team members independently applied the list of final themes to the list of instances once again. They then compared their list of themes with one another to determine the final results of the study. A third

Table 1. Physical Functioning Themes.

Theme	<i>n</i>
Physical environment	18
Low socioeconomic status	15
Poor access to healthcare	14
Poor access to nutrition	13
Transportation	10
Engagement in health-promoting behaviors	9
Physical health	7
Health literacy	7
Psychological health	6
Other	6
Lack of social support	4
Language and cultural barriers	4

n indicates the total number of instances that were coded under the theme.

team member resolved any discrepancies in the themes assigned by the 2 initial researchers (i.e., in the case where the final applied codes by each of the 2 team members did not match, the third team member decided what code applied for the final results). After this coding process was concluded, the third team member calculated the inter-rater reliability by first determining the percent agreement between the 2 raters and then calculated the kappa statistic, which takes into account the incidence of chance agreement between raters.

Personal and Contextual Factors Associated with the Physical Functioning Domain of HRQoL among Uninsured and Underinsured Patients

The most prevalent themes as identified by the participants in response to this research question were Physical Environment, Low Socioeconomic Status, and Poor Access to Healthcare. The inter-rater reliability for the analysis of this research question (percent agreement = 76, kappa = 0.74) was considered acceptable according to most standards.^{34,35} A full list of results for the third research question is available in Table 1. Example instances of the most prevalent themes and the “other” theme are available in Table 2.

Personal and Contextual Factors Associated with the Psychological Functioning Domain of HRQoL among Uninsured and Underinsured Patients

The most prevalent themes as identified by the participants in response to this research question were Low Socioeconomic Status, Affective Disorders, and Other. The inter-rater reliability for the analysis of this research question was considered acceptable (percent agreement = 75, kappa = 0.72). A full list of results for the 4th research question is

Table 2. Physical Functioning Example Instances.

Physical environment

- “Many patients state that they do not feel comfortable walking/jogging in their neighborhoods.”
- “Many patients state that there are no sidewalks near their homes so they have to walk unsafely on the side of the road.”
- “. . . living in safe and healthy environments - we have patients who live in unsafe homes in a state of disrepair but if they report their landlords, they know they will be evicted and have no where to live”

Low socioeconomic status

- “. . . income limitations that limit the ability to pay a co-pay and/or pay for service associated with health care”
- “. . . multiple part time jobs that are not reliable or secure”
- “. . . education, [being] unable to read and write.”

Poor access to healthcare

- “lack of a nearby health care facility”
- “lack of access to counseling, appropriate medication for mental health impair sleep, job performance, and personal relationships, and make chronic medical conditions like diabetes and hypertension more difficult to control.”
- “. . . Delay treatment. Disease becomes more difficult to manage.”

Other

- “Trust with a medical provider [leads to] better health outcomes”
- “lack of child care”
- “clothing. . . relationships with children’s schools. . . all these issues contribute to a person’s ability to work and live a ‘normal’ life”

Table 3. Psychological Functioning Themes.

Theme	<i>n</i>
Low socioeconomic status	18
Affective disorders	17
Other	12
Access to services	10
Stress	9
Supportive relationships	8
Physical health	7
Substance use	6
Physical environment	5
Transportation concerns	4
Health literacy	3

n indicates the total number of instances that were coded under the theme.

available in Table 3. Example instances of the most prevalent themes and the “other” theme are available in Table 4.

Personal and Contextual Factors Associated with the Social Functioning Domain of HRQoL among Uninsured and Underinsured Patients

The most prevalent themes as identified by the participants in response to this research question were Low Socioeconomic Status, Affective Disorders, and Social Isolation. The inter-rater reliability for the analysis of this research question was considered moderate (percent agreement = 64, kappa = 0.59). A full list of results for the 5th research question is available in Table 5. Example instances of the most prevalent themes and the “other” theme are available in Table 6.

Discussion

Uninsured and underinsured individuals are at an increased risk for poor health outcomes and consequently diminished HRQoL.^{4,20,21} Despite the current body of research seeking to understand the factors associated with HRQoL among diverse individuals, relatively little research has been conducted to understand the factors associated with HRQoL of uninsured and underinsured individuals. This paucity of research has resulted in a dearth of information regarding the unique personal and contextual factors that may influence the HRQoL of this group. The present study addressed this gap in the research and explored the beliefs of providers and office staff employed at clinics who serve uninsured and underinsured individuals regarding (1) the need to examine HRQoL among uninsured and underinsured individuals, (2) the need for tailored HRQoL-promoting interventions for uninsured and underinsured individuals, and (3) the personal and contextual factors associated with the specific domains of HRQoL functioning (i.e., physical, psychological, and social functioning) that impact uninsured and underinsured patients.

Overall, nearly 90% of the participants agreed with the statement that there is a need to examine HRQoL among uninsured and underinsured individuals. Similarly, the vast majority of participants agreed that there is a need to develop tailored HRQoL-promoting interventions for uninsured and underinsured individuals. The results suggest that the providers and staff at the clinics surveyed likely believe that the development and implementation of a HRQoL-promoting intervention for uninsured and underinsured individual is an appropriate allocation of resources—though the type and amount of resources are unknown and warrant a future study. Such a study will fill a growing gap in care of this population—a population that is increasing and that

Table 4. Psychological Functioning Example Instances.

Low socioeconomic status

- “These patients may also not be able to see a mental health professional if they have a very tight budget.”
- “The same issues that are related to physical functioning relate to mental functioning. . .clothing and job security are huge concerns for our population.”
- “Also, if an individual does not have a insurance, they cannot afford to attend a rehab for substance use.”

Affective disorders

- “Depression is quite underdiagnosed among our uninsured patients.”
- “When these issues are present and then the person gets sick even for a short period of time our patients feel hopeless, if a life threatening disease is diagnosed the patient very often just gives up and does not follow through with their healthcare plan”
- “Mental health-influences how one views their situation and how one views their ability to handle the situation”

Other

- “Also disrupted sleep patterns . . . are more common in uninsured seem to impair concentration, job performance, memory”
- “There is a mentality that insurance is not needed until it is really needed in a catastrophic event.”
- “. . .poor diet, lack of exercise, poor social involvement/environment, medical problems. One must have survival needs met first (Maslow) before higher levels of thought and action can happen.”

Table 5. Social Functioning Themes.

Theme	<i>n</i>
Low socioeconomic status	19
Affective disorders	10
Social isolation	10
Other	10
Physical health	8
Transportation concerns	8
Supportive relationships	7
Physical environment	6
Substance use	4
Cultural and language barriers	3

n indicates the total number of instances that were coded under the theme.

experiences low HRQoL.^{4,36,37} Although to the authors’ knowledge there is little research exploring the development, implementation, and efficacy of HRQoL interventions tailored for uninsured and underinsured individuals, the evidence examining the efficacy of tailored health promotion interventions for specific populations (e.g., racial/ethnic minorities) is promising.²⁷⁻²⁹

The present study also examined the personal and contextual factors associated with the specific domains of HRQoL (i.e., physical, psychological, and social functioning) among uninsured and underinsured individuals. The results of the qualitative analysis highlighted the prevalent factors with respect to each domain—factors that have been unexplored in the literature. Although the participants identified several factors impacting the HRQoL among uninsured and underinsured individuals with regard to their physical functioning, the most prevalent factors within this category include: physical environment, low socioeconomic status (SES), and poor access to healthcare. Likewise, numerous factors associated with psychological functioning emerged. The 3 main factors included: low

SES, affective disorders, and “other.” The “other” factor included diverse themes such as the negative impact of poor sleep on health, personal conceptualizations of when insurance may be needed, and limitations in the ability to engage in health-promoting behaviors such as physical activity. Finally, participants expressed the belief that low SES, affective disorders, and social isolation were prominent factors associated with social functioning among uninsured and underinsured individuals.

Interestingly low SES was the only factor that participants identified as impacting all 3 domains of HRQoL. SES is a known social determinant of health and low SES has been related to poor health outcomes and diminished HRQoL.^{38,39} Low SES is a pervasive risk factor for poor health outcomes influencing several domains of HRQoL including physical, psychological, and social functioning.^{39,40} The results of the present study highlight the overarching nature of low SES and its potential impact on the HRQoL of uninsured and underinsured adults, as it was the most frequently cited factor (52 instances). Despite the complexity and intractability of SES in the U.S., health care providers and public health experts can design interventions and advocate for resources in their communities to target components of SES (e.g., income, education, employment) in order to mitigate the impact of these components and improve the HRQoL of uninsured and underinsured individuals.

A similar pattern emerged for affective disorders (i.e., mental health disorders often characterized by a disturbance/change in mood status such as depression and mania), which was identified as a major factor contributing to the psychological and social functioning of uninsured and underinsured individuals. The results suggest that uninsured and underinsured individuals experience adverse mental health and that this adverse mental health impacts their psychological and social functioning. These results are worrisome because it is known that poor/limited access to mental health care can exacerbate psychopathology and diminish

Table 6. Social Functioning Example Instances.

Low socioeconomic status

- “Finances, most of our patients cannot afford social activities in the area.”
- “There is a culture of apathy in communities impacted by the economy. This is oftentimes where patients who are unemployed and uninsured become a part of the system”
- “Poor circumstances make normal social functioning difficult.”

Affective disorders

- “. . . and motivation (many patients who are uninsured may feel embarrassed by their situation, may not want to reach out to old friends, may feel too depressed to try to form and maintain social connections”
- “Embarrassed by their circumstances and to seek help”
- “First: good mental health, the basis of good rapport development.”

Social isolation

- “Some patients we see may be involved in unhealthy relationships as a way to secure housing, transportation, and/or food and may not be allowed by their partner / friend/ family member to maintain social relationships”
- “Isolation. Many individuals feel isolated due to lack of transportation and availability to interact with others. This leads to depression and anxiety, and poor health.”
- “Lack of social support (ie. live alone, no family nearby, not belonging to a church or other community organization)”

Other

- “Lack of respect for all people—people do not put other people first, ‘all about me mentality””
- “Caregiving responsibilities (children, grandchildren, parents, spouse)”
- “Living in a situation where behaviors that are considered undesirable/unacceptable by society at large have been a way of life for the individual and their family—perhaps for generations.”

quality of life.^{41,42} Additionally, a significant barrier to obtaining adequate mental health care is insurance status.^{42,43} Despite the barriers to obtaining adequate mental health care associated with insurance status, providers attending to uninsured and underinsured individuals may promote HRQoL by focusing on mental health concerns with their patients, in addition to guiding these patients to resources available in their community.

The results of the present study highlight important factors which are likely to negatively impact the HRQoL of uninsured and underinsured individuals. Some of these factors may be viewed as individual-level and personal (e.g., affective disorders, health literacy, social support), while others may be viewed as community-level and contextual (e.g., low SES, poor physical environment). This mix of personal and contextual factors suggest that novel and innovative multi-level HRQoL-promotion interventions are needed to address the unmet and growing health needs of uninsured and underinsured individuals in the U.S. In order to design such a comprehensive HRQoL intervention, input from community-based collaborations, in addition to interdisciplinary teams are needed. Another important finding of the study is that many of the factors impacting HRQoL among uninsured and underinsured individuals (e.g., SES and affective disorders) are represented across multiple domains, highlighting the interconnectedness of the HRQoL domains.

The results of the present study should be interpreted with some caution. The study solely consisted of participants who work at clinics that provide services to uninsured and underinsured individuals in South Carolina (SC), thus

limiting the generalizability of the results given that the health uninsurance rate is high in SC and, as reflected by the location of the participants of the present study, is mostly rural. Moreover, it is noteworthy that despite having a high health uninsurance rate, SC has a well-established association of free medical clinics (i.e., SCFCA). Therefore, the HRQoL of the uninsured and underinsured in SC may be different than that of individuals residing in other states—states with less opportunities for charitable healthcare for the uninsured and underinsured. The results should also be viewed with some caution given that they are provider and clinic staff-identified factors associated with HRQoL among uninsured and underinsured individuals as opposed to factors identified by the uninsured and underinsured individuals themselves. Furthermore, as with all data analyses, there may be some concern with unconscious bias in the interpretation of results. Though it should be noted that responses were independently analyzed by 2 team members and results were reviewed by the 4th and 5th authors—directors of 2 SCFCA member-clinics. Despite these limitations, there is strong support for future studies to examine factors associated with HRQoL among patients who are uninsured and underinsured and from other high-risk groups, factors associated with HRQoL as identified by the patients themselves, and more robust designs (e.g., those that integrate quantitative and qualitative approaches).

The present study is important and is a valuable contribution to the limited body of research that has focused on understanding the HRQoL of an at-risk group. To the authors knowledge, this is one of the first studies seeking to understand the factors associated with HRQoL among

uninsured and underinsured individuals—a growing and diverse patient population representing more than 20 million U.S. adults.^{1,4} Although the provision of universal health insurance will likely improve the HRQoL of uninsured and underinsured individuals, it is unreasonable to expect such a change in the U.S. in the near future. Given the worsening health disparities in the U.S.,⁵ it is clear that the health of at-risk groups cannot wait for such changes. Therefore, the burden lies on the shoulders of health care providers such as nurses, physicians, and psychologists and public health researchers to improve HRQoL among uninsured and underinsured individuals. The results of the present study have important implications for health service providers, public health policies, and HRQoL-promotion interventions and suggest a need for comprehensive and creative health approaches, policies, and interventions focused on addressing the unique personal and contextual needs of uninsured and underinsured individuals. Such approaches, policies, and interventions should be implemented at institutional, state, and ideally federal levels in order to mitigate the pervasive impact of poor HRQoL among at-risk groups such as those who are uninsured and underinsured.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: The authors received financial support for the publication of this article from the University of South Carolina University Libraries' Open Access Fund.

ORCID iD

Guillermo M. Wippold  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0095-298X>

References

1. Tolbert J, Orgera K, Singer N, Damico A. Key facts about the uninsured population. <https://www.kff.org/uninsured/issue-brief/key-facts-about-the-uninsured-population/>. Published 2019. Accessed June 15, 2020.
2. Witters D. U.S. Uninsured rate rises to four-year high. *Gallup*, January 23.
3. Schoen C, Doty M, Robertson R, Collins S. Affordable Care Act reform could reduce the number of underinsured US adults by 70 percent. *Health Aff*. 2011;30:1762-1771.
4. Collins S, Bhupal H, Doty M. Health insurance coverage eight years after the ACA. <https://www.commonwealthfund.org/publications/issue-briefs/2019/feb/health-insurance-coverage-eight-years-after-aca>. Published 2019. Accessed June 15, 2020.
5. Report D. *2016 National Healthcare Quality and Disparities Report*. Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. 2017.
6. Woolf SH, Schoemaker H. Life expectancy and mortality rates in the United States, 1959–2017. *JAMA* 2019;322:1996-2016.
7. Hales C, Fryar C, Carroll M, Freedman D, Ogden C. Trends in obesity and severe obesity prevalence in US youth and adults by sex and age, 2007-2008 to 2015-2016. *JAMA*. 2018;19:1723-1725.
8. Hedegaard H, Curtin SC, Warner M. Suicide mortality in the United States, 1999-2017. *NCHS Data Brief*. 2018.
9. Barile JP, Reeve BB, Smith AW, et al. Monitoring population health for Healthy People 2020: evaluation of the NIH PROMIS® Global Health, CDC Healthy Days, and satisfaction with life instruments. *Qual Life Res*. 2013;22:1201-1211.
10. Hays RD, Sherbourne CD, Mazel RM. The rand 36-item health survey 1.0. *Health Econ*. 1993;2:217-227.
11. Healthy People.gov. *Health-related Quality of Life & Well-being*. 2020. <https://www.healthypeople.gov/2020/topics-objectives/topic/health-related-quality-of-life-well-being>. Accessed June 15, 2020.
12. Dominick KL, Ahern FM, Gold CH, Heller DA. Relationship of health-related quality of life to health care utilization and mortality among older adults. *Aging Clin Exp Res*. 2002;14:499-508.
13. DeSalvo KB, Bloser N, Reynolds K, He J, Muntner P. Mortality prediction with a single general self-rated health question: a meta-analysis. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2006;21:267-275.
14. Brown DS, Thompson WW, Zack MM, Arnold SE, Barile JP. Associations between health-related quality of life and mortality in older adults. *Prev Sci*. 2015;16:21-30.
15. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *CDC's Healthy Days Measures Used in the America's Health Rankings*. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. <https://www.cdc.gov/hrqol/featured-items/healthy-days.htm>. Published 2018.
16. Husson O, Mols F, van de poll-franse L V. The relation between information provision and health-related quality of life, anxiety and depression among cancer survivors: a systematic review. *Ann Oncol*. 2011;22:761-772.
17. Martinez-Martin P, Jeukens-Visser M, Lyons KE, et al. Health-related quality-of-life scales in Parkinson's disease: critique and recommendations. *Mov Disord*. 2011;26:2371-2380.
18. Khanna R, Madhavan SS, Smith MJ, Patrick JH, Tworek C, Becker-Cottrill B. Assessment of health-related quality of life among primary caregivers of children with Autism Spectrum disorders. *J Autism Dev Disord*. 2011;41:1214-1227.
19. Cameron AJ, Magliano DJ, Dunstan DW, et al. A bi-directional relationship between obesity and health-related quality of life: evidence from the longitudinal AusDiab study. *Int J Obes*. 2012;36:295-303.
20. Bharmal M, Thomas J. Health insurance coverage and health-related quality of life: analysis of 2000 Medical Expenditure Panel survey data. *J Health Care Poor Underserved*. 2005;16:643-654.
21. Alghnam S, Schneider EB, Castillo RC. Insurance status and health-related quality-of-life disparities after trauma: results

- from a nationally representative survey in the US. *Qual Life Res.* 2016;25:987-995.
22. Ashing-Giwa KT. The contextual model of HRQoL: a paradigm for expanding the HRQoL framework. *Qual Life Res.* 2005;14:297-307.
 23. Ashing-Giwa KT, Lim JW. Predicting health-related quality of life: testing the contextual model using structural equation modeling. *Appl Res Qual Life.* 2008;3:215-230.
 24. Miller AM, Ashing KT, Modeste NN, Herring RP, Sealy DAT. Contextual factors influencing health-related quality of life in African American and Latina breast cancer survivors. *J Cancer Surviv.* 2015;9:441-449.
 25. Wippold GM, Roncoroni J. Hope and health-related quality of life among chronically ill uninsured/underinsured adults. *J Community Psychol.* 2019;48(2):576-589.
 26. Wright J, Williams R, Wilkinson JR. Health needs assessment. Development and importance of health needs assessment. *Br Med J.* 1998;316:1310-1313.
 27. Bias TK, Abildso CG, Vasile E, Coffman J. The impact of community input in community health needs assessments. *J Public Heal Manag Pract.* 2017;23(suppl 4):S29-S33.
 28. Ryan P, Lauver DR. The efficacy of tailored interventions. *J Nurs Scholarsh.* 2002;34:331-337.
 29. Tucker CM, Wippold GM, Williams JL, Arthur TM, Desmond FF, Robinson KC. A CBPR study to test the impact of a church-based health empowerment program on health behaviors and health outcomes of black adult churchgoers. *J Racial Ethn Heal Disparities.* 2017;4:70-78.
 30. Tucker CM, Smith TM, Wippold GM, et al. Impact of a university-community partnership approach to improving health behaviors and outcomes among overweight/obese hispanic adults. *Am J Lifestyle Med.* 2016;22:479-488.
 31. Baker R, Camosso-Stefinovic J, Gillies C, et al. Tailored interventions to overcome identified barriers to change: effects on professional practice and health care outcomes. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2010;17:CD005470.
 32. Hodgins F, Gnich W, Ross AJ, Sherriff A, Worlledge-Andrew H. How lay health workers tailor in effective health behaviour change interventions: a protocol for a systematic review. *Syst Rev.* 2016;102.
 33. Bradley EH, Curry LA, Devers KJ. Qualitative data analysis for health services research: developing taxonomy, themes, and theory. *Health Serv Res.* 2007;42:1758-1772.
 34. Lombard M, Snyder-Duch J, Bracken CC. Content analysis in mass communication: assessment and reporting of intercoder reliability. *Hum Commun Res.* 2002;28:587-604.
 35. Miles MB, Hubberman M, Saldana J. *Qualitative Data Analysis A Methods Sourcebook.* 3rd ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE; 2013.
 36. Berchick ER, Hood E, Barnett JC. *Health Insurance Coverage in the United States: 2017. Current Population Reports, P60-264.* U.S. Census Bureau; 2018.
 37. Hawks L, Himmelstein DU, Woolhandler S, Bor DH, Gaffney A, McCormick D. Trends in unmet need for physician and preventive services in the United States, 1998-2017. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2020;180:439-448.
 38. Frieden TR. CDC health disparities and inequalities report - United States, 2013. In: *Health Disparities and Inequalities in the United States: Selected Reports.* CDC; 2015. Atlanta, GA.
 39. Robert SA, Cherepanov D, Palta M, Dunham NC, Feeny D, Fryback DG. Socioeconomic status and age variations in health-related quality of life: results from the national health measurement Study. *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci.* 2009;64B:378-389.
 40. Cherepanov D, Palta M, Fryback DG, Robert SA. Gender differences in health-related quality-of-life are partly explained by sociodemographic and socioeconomic variation between adult men and women in the US: evidence from four US nationally representative data sets. *Qual Life Res.* 2010;19: 1115-1124.
 41. Kilbourne AM, Beck K, Spaeth-Ruble B, et al. Measuring and improving the quality of mental health care: a global perspective. *World Psychiatry.* 2018;17:30-38.
 42. Tsai J, Pilver CE, Hoff RA. Potential mental health needs of US adult residents under different provisions of the Affordable Care Act. *J Clin Psychiatry.* 2014;75:1402-1410.
 43. Han X, Nguyen BT, Drope J, Jemal A. Health-related outcomes among the poor: Medicaid expansion vs. non-expansion states [published online December 31, 2015]. *PLoS One.* doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0144429