

South Carolina Law Review

Volume 7 | Issue 1

Article 4

Fall 1954

Editor's Foreward

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarcommons.sc.edu/sclr>



Part of the [Law Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

(1954) "Editor's Foreward," *South Carolina Law Review*. Vol. 7 : Iss. 1 , Article 4.

Available at: <https://scholarcommons.sc.edu/sclr/vol7/iss1/4>

This Article is brought to you by the Law Reviews and Journals at Scholar Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in South Carolina Law Review by an authorized editor of Scholar Commons. For more information, please contact digres@mailbox.sc.edu.

EDITOR'S FOREWORD

More than a year ago, the task of writing the first Annual Survey of South Carolina law was begun by the South Carolina Bar Association Committee on Review of Case Law; we are pleased to present the completed study in this issue. The survey, divided into twenty-six major topics with seventeen writers covering one or more topics, should prove to be of great value and interest. Our readers are directed to the Foreword by the Chairman, Professor Coleman Karesh, introducing the study.

The article appearing first in this issue, *The Legal Standing of The South's School Resistance Proposals* by Mr. Francis B. Nicholson, is a study of the legal measures (and proposed legal measures) taken by the South to maintain segregation in its public school systems. The work was written in view of the recent United States Supreme Court decision, *Brown v. Board of Education*.

The Memorials of the South Carolina Bar Association appear in this issue. Normally, it has been the practice to publish them in the June issue with the Bar Association transactions, however, it was necessary to delay them until the present time.

This issue, and succeeding issues, will be given seasonal rather than monthly designations. The publication dates will remain the same. The issues will appear: September—Fall, December—Winter, March—Spring, June—Summer.

A second note-worthy change is the new position of the masthead in the front of the Quarterly rather than at the beginning of the student work section where it has appeared since the inception of the Quarterly.

Owing to the already unprecedented size of this issue, it was necessary that no student work be included. We shall resume the practice of including as much student work as possible in our forth-coming issues.

DEXTER R. HAMILTON,
Editor.

