

8-1989

Late Archaic-Early Woodland Period Shell Rings of the Southeastern United States Coast: A Bibliographic Introduction

David R. Lawrence

Hilda L. Wrightson

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarcommons.sc.edu/archanth_books



Part of the [Anthropology Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Lawrence, David R. and Wrightson, Hilda L., "Late Archaic-Early Woodland Period Shell Rings of the Southeastern United States Coast: A Bibliographic Introduction" (1989). *Research Manuscript Series*. 192.
https://scholarcommons.sc.edu/archanth_books/192

This Book is brought to you by the Archaeology and Anthropology, South Carolina Institute of at Scholar Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Research Manuscript Series by an authorized administrator of Scholar Commons. For more information, please contact dillarda@mailbox.sc.edu.

Late Archaic-Early Woodland Period Shell Rings of the Southeastern United States Coast: A Bibliographic Introduction

Keywords

Excavations, Bibliography, Kitchens, Middens, Woodland culture, Coastal archaeology, Indians of North America, Southern states, Archeology

Disciplines

Anthropology

Publisher

The South Carolina Institute of Archeology and Anthropology--University of South Carolina

Comments

In USC online Library catalog at: <http://www.sc.edu/library/>

LATE ARCHAIC-EARLY WOODLAND PERIOD
SHELL RINGS OF THE SOUTHEASTERN
UNITED STATES COAST:
A BIBLIOGRAPHIC INTRODUCTION

RESEARCH MANUSCRIPT SERIES 207
BY DAVID R. LAWRENCE AND
HILDA L. WRIGHTSON

Department of Geological Sciences
and
Institute of Archaeology and Anthropology
University of South Carolina
Columbia, SC 29208

August, 1989

BACKGROUND

With the onset of slow sea level rise some 6,000 years ago, coastal environments, as we know them today, began to develop in South Carolina and other parts of southeastern United States. Aboriginal humans must have continually exploited these environments, but the earliest record of this use has been lost because of the continuing rise of the sea. The oldest archaeological sites which document the more continuing use of South Carolina marshes and estuaries are assigned to the Late Archaic and Early Woodland periods of human history, and range between 3,000 and 4,300 years old. Accumulations of shellfish molluscs are prominent at many of these sites, and hundreds of these shellfish mounds or middens dot the southeastern United States coast.

A small subset of these Atlantic Coast middens has received special attention in the nineteenth and twentieth centuries-- those with arcuate geometries. About 20 of these shell rings are known from South Carolina, at least 11 have been found in Georgia, and the sites range into northern Florida. Written reports on these sites date from the earliest nineteenth century and the shell rings have been studied by natural historians for over 100 years.

The integrity of South Carolina's shell rings has seriously decreased in the past two centuries. Natural geologic processes, plowing, road material and other

constructional uses of the shells, and housing and other developments have all had a negative impact upon the sites; perhaps only two or three of these locales are now adequately protected and potentially most useful for archaeological research. The recognition and protection of these significant archaeological locations should become more important as seaboard populations and developmental pressures do increase in the future. Although the rings comprise but a small part of our earliest record of coastal habitations, information losses at a single place are very significant because the rings are not numerous. We need this potential information, because there is still much to be learned about the total culture of the aboriginal people who made these structures.

One essential part of any cultural management program is the assembly of data concerning the properties themselves. Here we begin this process of assembly for the aboriginal shell rings, by presenting an indexed bibliography of previous studies on the sites. The following introduction is primarily designed to be a summary of the nature and significance of the shell rings, for lay audiences, but is here included (without illustrations and detailed references) as a beginning for all who may read or use this work.

INTRODUCTION

Shell rings include arcuate ridges of shellfish remains, constructed by humans, which stood in positive relief (as original topographic highs). Where these ridges completely enclose a central area, the adjectives circular, ovate, elliptical, or donut-shaped are used to describe ring geometry; when closure is not complete, adjectives such as crescentic or lunate are more appropriate. Ring geometries are indeed quite diverse. Outer rim-to-rim diameters of the rings are generally 50 to 300 feet, with topographic relief of two to ten feet. Although the width of the ridges is variable, it is typically between 10 and 30 feet.

Outside of this region, the closest proposed shellfish ring has been found from Colombia in South America; some North American archaeologists doubt the true ringed nature of this more southerly occurrence. But since the Colombian site dates from several hundred years before the North American ones, the time difference has been used to suggest the northward transfer of culture, through Caribbean and Atlantic waters, long before the time of Columbus' "discovery" of the New World. This latter theory has not received widespread support. Even if the Colombian site is a true shell ring, archaeologists suggest that the rings in the two Americas could represent convergence in behavior, among unrelated peoples, when faced with the needs for life in the coastal zone. It is obvious, however, that the

rings do generate more than mere local or regional interest.

Postulated uses of the rings have been many, including ceremonial, religious, recreational, and as fish traps or weirs. However, these types of explanations have not been supported by convincing evidence. Recent work suggests that at least some of the rings were arced habitation sites, with the rings themselves gradually developing from kitchen refuse. But the detailed questions of ring use are far from completely answered.

Postholes, as evidence of occupation structures, have been found within the piled shell of the rings. Pits are common in the ring sites, and the original uses of these features were varied. At most rings there are pits that served for cooking. Those which yield ashes were most likely used for roasting while those with preserved charcoal were probably for steaming food. Other pits seem to have been used for underground storage only and not for cooking. One human burial has been reported from a Georgia site; however few, and scattered and fragmentary, human remains occur in the South Carolina shell rings.

Near the shell rings, invertebrate shellfish were likely the most consistently available food for the occupants. South Carolina rings are often composed primarily of the American oyster. Periwinkles, whelks, razor clams, ribbed mussels, and hard-shelled clams are also preserved. Some blue crab and stone crab claws have survived the thousands of years of decay,

and occasionally crab shell bits are evident. Shrimp were probably available to the aboriginal peoples, and their remains should be present. The shellfish were eaten both raw and cooked, but other details of their preparation as food need to be further analyzed.

Both skeletal and ear parts from fishes have been collected through careful screening of the ring sediments. At least 30 species of fish, including sharks and rays, have been recovered from shell ring sites. Terrapin, turtle, snake, lizard, and alligator remains have also been reported. Deer bones are always present, as are many species of birds. Raccoon, rabbit and opossum are found at most sites, and at least two locales have yielded the bones of domestic dogs. Plant remains have been less thoroughly analyzed. Macroscopically, they occur in the form of carbonized seeds and nutshells. Hickory remains are most common at the sites, and plants could have provided an important part of the diet of the people dwelling on these rings. The subsistence patterns of the aboriginal ring-dwellers need our closer attention.

The shell rings have yielded worked artifacts of ceramics, organic remains, and rock. Lithics are least common and include objects such as flaked stone tools and hammer stones. The organic artifacts were manufactured from three types of raw materials: bone, deer antler, and shell. As examples bone awls and pins, antler projectile points, and shell beads and scrapers have been recovered from the shell ring sites. The

ceramics are typically tempered with sand or fibers, and may be modeled, molded, or coiled. Punctations and finger pinching are among the most common ceramic decorations.

Here we present a bibliography and index of past studies of the shell rings. The site inventory records of various state agencies have been purposely excluded, yet we do include not only works in the public domain, but also reports and other papers which have been freely distributed over the years. The index by sites points out the lack of public information concerning many Georgia sites, and especially the Daws Island, South Carolina occurrences; data for these are essentially confined to site inventory records.

Our primary emphasis to date has been upon the rings which occur between the Santee River of South Carolina and Jekyll Sound in Georgia. We know that shell rings extend southward from Jekyll Sound, into Florida, and hope to assemble information concerning these sites in another document. Separation of South Carolina vs Georgia occurrences is impossible, because regional comparisons and contrasts of data are necessary in this type of research. Categories for indexing include the individual sites, absolute and relative (ceramics-based) age determinations, organic remains and artifacts present at the sites, site features and their bearing upon proposed uses of the areas, the role of shell rings in theories of cultural dissemination, and also a history of archaeological work upon the shell rings. For an initial

survey of these previous studies, we would recommend the works of Anderson (#1), Marrinan (#34, p. 1-13) and Trinkley (#57, p. 102-107). We welcome comments upon, and additions to, this bibliography so that it may most completely reflect our present-day knowledge of these fascinating and distinctive archaeological features.

We thank Mark Barnes, Sharon Bennett, Patricia Criddlebaugh, Janice Crosby, Chester DePratter, Keith Derting, Susan McGahee, James Michie, Nancy Pittenger, Nena Powell, Bruce Rippeteau, Sandy Singletary, Steven Smith, Michael Trinkley, and Martha Zierden for help with the various phases of this work.

SHELL RING BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Anderson, David G.
1977 A History of Prehistoric Archaeological Investigations in the Coastal Plain of South Carolina. South Carolina Antiquities 9(2):1-32.
2. Anderson, David G., and Patricia A. Logan
1981 Francis Marion National Forest Cultural Resources Overview. Submitted to USDA Forest Service, Columbia, South Carolina.
3. Anonymous
1969 Horse Island. University of Georgia Notes, November.
4. Beasley, Dana
1970 Archaeological Investigations on Skidaway Island, Chatham County, Georgia. Proposal to the Branigar Organization, Inc. Manuscript on file, South Carolina Institute of Archaeology and Anthropology.
5. Bragg, Laura M.
1925 An Indian Shell Culture in South Carolina. Charleston Museum Quarterly 1:3-7.
6. Caldwell, Joseph R.
1952 The Archeology of Eastern Georgia and South Carolina. In: Archeology of the Eastern United States, edited by James B. Griffin, pp. 312-321. University of Chicago Press, Chicago.
7. Caldwell, Joseph R. and Antonio J. Waring, Jr.
1939 Type descriptions. Southeastern Archaeological Conference Newsletter 1(6):1-9.
8. Calmes, Alan
1967 Test Excavations at Two Late Archaic Sites on Hilton Head Island. Ms. on file, South Carolina Institute of Archaeology and Anthropology, University of South Carolina, Columbia.
9. Calmes, Alan
1968 Test Excavations at Three Late Archaic Shell-Ring Mounds on Hilton Head Island, South Carolina. Southeastern Archaeological Conference Bulletin 8:45-48.

10. Colquhoun, Donald J., Mark J. Brooks, James L. Michie, William B. Abbott, Frank W. Stapor, Walter Newman, and Richard R. Pardi
1981 Location of Archaeological Sites with Respect to Sea Level in the Southeastern United States. STRIAE 14:144-50.
11. Crusoe, Donald L.
1972 Interaction Networks and New World Fiber-Tempered Pottery. Unpublished Ph.D. dissertation, University of Georgia, Athens.
12. Crusoe, Donald L.
1974 The Shell Mound Formative: Some Interpretative Hypotheses. Archaeological News 3(4):71-77.
13. Crusoe, Donald L., and Chester B. DePratter
1972 A New Look at the Georgia Shell Mound Archaic. Paper presented at the 29th Annual Meeting of the Southeastern Archaeological Conference, Morgantown, West Virginia.
14. Crusoe, Donald L., and Chester B. DePratter
1976 A New Look at the Georgia Shell Mound Archaic. Florida Anthropologist 29(1):1-23.
15. DePratter, Chester B.
1974 An Archaeological Survey of Ossabaw Island, Chatham County, Georgia: Preliminary Report. Ms. on file, University of Georgia, Laboratory of Archaeology (Research Manuscript 344).
16. DePratter, Chester B.
1975 An Archaeological Survey of the P. H. Lewis Property on Skidaway Island, Chatham County, Georgia. Ms. on file, University of Georgia, Laboratory of Archaeology (Research Manuscript 343).
17. DePratter, Chester B.
1976 Shellmound Archaic on the Georgia Coast. Unpublished Masters Thesis, University of Georgia, Athens.
18. DePratter, Chester B.
1979 Shellmound Archaic on the Georgia Coast. South Carolina Antiquities 11:1-69.

19. DePratter, Chester B. and James D. Howard
1980 Indian Occupation and Geological history of the Georgia Coast: A 5,000 Year Summary. In: Excursions in Southeastern Geology IV. The Archaeology-Geology of the Georgia Coast, Guidebook 20, edited by James D. Howard, Chester B. DePratter, and Robert W. Frey, pp. 1-65. Geological Society of America, Boulder, Colorado.
20. Drayton, John
1802 A View of South Carolina, as Respects Her Natural and Civil Concerns. W. P. Young, Charleston. Reprinted in 1972 by The Reprint Company, Spartanburg, South Carolina.
21. Edwards, William E.
1965 Preliminary Report on Excavations at Sewee Indian Mound. Ms. on file, South Carolina Institute of Archaeology and Anthropology, University of South Carolina, Columbia.
22. Flannery, Regina
1943 Some Notes on a Few Sites in Beaufort County, South Carolina. Bureau of American Ethnology Bulletin 133:147-153.
23. Ford, James A.
1966 Early Formative Cultures in Georgia and Florida. American Antiquity 31(6):781-789.
24. Ford, James A.
1969 A Comparison of Formative Cultures in the Americas: Diffusion or the Psychic Unity of Man. Smithsonian Contributions to Anthropology 11.
25. Goodyear, Albert
1988 On the Study of Technological Change. Current Anthropology 29:320-322.
26. Gregorie, Anne King
1925 Notes on Sewee Indians and Indian Remains of Christ Church Parish, Charleston County, South Carolina. Contributions from the Charleston Museum, Charleston, South Carolina.

27. Griffin, James B.
1943 An Analysis and Interpretation of the Ceramic Remains from Two Sites Near Beaufort, South Carolina. Bureau of American Ethnology Bulletin 133:159-167.
28. Hemmings, E. Thomas
1970 Emergence of Formative Life on the Atlantic Coast of the Southeast. South Carolina Institute of Archaeology and Anthropology, University of South Carolina, Columbia, Research Manuscript Series No. 7.
29. Hemmings, E. Thomas
1970 Emergence of Formative Life on the Atlantic Coast of the Southeast. Southeastern Archaeological Conference Bulletin 13:51-55.
30. Hemmings, E. Thomas
1970 Preliminary Report of Excavations at Fig Island, South Carolina. South Carolina Institute of Archaeology and Anthropology, University of South Carolina, Columbia, Notebook 2(9):9-15.
31. Hinson, William G.
1888 Sketch of James Island, South Carolina. Ms. on file, Charleston Museum Library, Charleston, South Carolina.
32. Howard, James D. and Chester B. DePratter
1980 Field trip. In: Excursions in Southeastern Geology IV. The Archaeology-Geology of the Georgia Coast, Guidebook 20, edited by James D. Howard, Chester B. DePratter, and Robert W. Frey, pp. 234-253. Geological Society of America, Boulder, Colorado.
33. Marrinan, Rochelle
1973 The Cultural Ecology of Fiber-tempered Ceramic Sites: South Carolina and Georgia. Unpublished Master's Thesis, Department of Anthropology, Tulane University, New Orleans, Louisiana.
34. Marrinan, Rochelle
1975 Ceramics, Molluscs, and Sedentism: The Late Archaic Period on the Georgia Coast. Unpublished Ph.D. dissertation, Department of Anthropology, University of Florida.
35. Marrinan, Rochelle
1975 Coastal Adaptation in the Late Archaic. Paper presented at the 40th Annual Meeting of the Society for American Archaeology, Dallas.

36. Marrinan, Rochelle
1976 Assessment of Subsistence Strategy Evidenced by Shell Ring Sites. Paper presented at the 32nd Annual Meeting of the Southeastern Archaeological Conference, Gainesville, Florida.
37. McKinley, William
1873 Mounds in Georgia. Smithsonian Annual Report for 1872 27:422-428.
38. Michie, James L.
1976 The Daws Island Shell Midden and Its Significance During the Shell Mound Formative. Paper presented at the Second Annual Conference on South Carolina Archeology, Columbia, South Carolina.
39. Michie, James L.
1979 The Bass Pond Dam Site: Intensive Archaeological Testing at a Formative Period Base Camp on Kiawah Island, South Carolina. South Carolina Institute of Archaeology and Anthropology, University of South Carolina, Columbia. Research Manuscript Series No. 154.
40. Moore, Clarence B.
1897 Certain Aboriginal Mounds of the Georgia Coast. Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia Journal 2:71-73.
41. Moore, Clarence B.
1898 Certain Aboriginal Mounds of the Coast of South Carolina. Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia Journal 11:147-166.
42. Moorehead, Warren K.
1933 Untitled work log of excavations in Beaufort County, South Carolina, February-March 1933. Ms. on file, South Carolina Institute of Archaeology and Anthropology, Columbia.
43. Rathbun, Ted A., James Sexton, and James Michie
1978 Disease Patterns in a Formative Period South Carolina Coastal Population. Tennessee Anthropological Association, Miscellaneous Paper No. 5.
44. Simpkins, Daniel L.
1975 A Preliminary Report on Test Excavations at the Sapelo Island Shell Ring. Early Georgia 3(2):15-37.

45. Simpkins, Daniel L. and Dorothy J. Allard
1986 Isolation and Identification of Spanish Moss
Fiber From a Sample of Stallings and Orange Series
Ceramics. American Antiquity 51:102-117.
46. Simpkins, Daniel L., and Alan McMichael
1976 Sapelo Island: A Preliminary Report.
Southeastern Archaeological Conference Bulletin
19:95-99.
47. Stoltman, James B.
1972 The Late Archaic in the Savannah River Region.
The Florida Anthropologist 25(2)(Pt. 2):37-72.
48. Stoltman, James B.
1974 An Archaeological Study of a South Carolina
Locality. Monographs of the Peabody Museum No. 1.
Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts.
49. Trinkley, Michael B.
1975 Preliminary Report of Archaeological Excavations
at Lighthouse Point Shell Ring, South Carolina.
Southern Indian Studies 27:3-36.
50. Trinkley, Michael B.
1975 Food Procurement During the Thom's Creek Phase.
Paper presented at the 1976 Conference on South
Carolina Archaeology, Columbia, South Carolina.
51. Trinkley, Michael B.
1976 The Thom's Creek Phase: Cultural Ecology and
Subsistence. Paper presented at the 1976 Conference
on South Carolina Archaeology, Columbia, South
Carolina.
52. Trinkley, Michael B.
1976 A Typology of Thom's Creek Pottery for the South
Carolina Coast. Unpublished Master's Thesis,
Department of Anthropology, University of North
Carolina, Chapel Hill.
53. Trinkley, Michael B.
1979 Speculations on the Early Woodland Thom's Creek:
Phase Settlement Pattern Along the South Carolina
Coast. Paper presented at the 1979 Southeastern
Archaeological Conference, Atlanta, Georgia.
54. Trinkley, Michael B.
1980 Investigation of the Woodland Period Along the
South Carolina Coast. Unpublished Ph.D. dissertation,
Department of Anthropology, University of North
Carolina, Chapel Hill.

55. Trinkley, Michael B.
1983 Ceramics of the Central South Carolina Coast.
South Carolina Antiquities 15(1,2):43-53.
56. Trinkley, Michael B.
1983 Coastal Woodland Period Settlement and
Subsistence: Through a Glass Darkly. Paper presented
at 9th Annual Conference on South Carolina
Archaeology, Columbia, South Carolina.
57. Trinkley, Michael B.
1985 The Form and Function of South Carolina's Early
Woodland Shell Rings. In: Structure and Process in
Southeastern Archaeology, edited by Roy S. Dickens,
Jr., and H. Trawick Ward, pp. 102-118. University
of Alabama Press, Birmingham.
58. Trinkley, Michael B.
in press An Archaeological Overview of the South
Carolina Woodland Period: It's the Same Old Riddle.
In: Studies in the Archaeology of South Carolina:
Essays in Honor of Robert L. Stephenson.
Anthropological Studies, South Carolina Institute of
Archaeology and Anthropology, Columbia.
59. Trinkley, Michael B., and H. Trawick Ward
1978 The Use of Soil Science at a South Carolina Thom's
Creek Culture Shell Ring. The Florida Anthropologist
32(2):64-73.
60. Tuomey, Michael
1848 Report on the Geology of South Carolina. A. S.
Johnston, Columbia, South Carolina.
61. Waddell, Eugene G.
1965 A C-14 Date for Awendaw Punctate. Southeastern
Archaeological Conference Bulletin 3:82-85.
62. Waddell, Eugene G.
1966 The Results of a Preliminary Survey to Determine
the Significance of Shell Rings. Ms. on file, South
Carolina Institute of Archaeology and Anthropology,
University of South Carolina, Columbia.
63. Waring, Antonio J., Jr.
1968 The Archaic and Some Shell Rings. In: The Waring
Papers: The Collected Works of Antonio J. Waring, Jr.,
edited by Stephen B. Williams, pp. 243-246. Papers of
the Peabody Museum of Archaeology and Ethnology 58.
Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts.

64. Waring, Antonio J., Jr.
1968 Fiber-tempered Pottery and its Cultural Affiliations on the Georgia-South Carolina Coast. In: The Waring Papers: The Collected Works of Antonio J. Waring, Jr., edited by Stephen B. Williams, pp. 253-255. Papers of the Peabody Museum of Archaeology and Ethnology 58. Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts.
65. Waring, Antonio J., Jr., and Lewis Larson
1968 The Shell Ring on Sapelo Island. In: The Waring Papers: The Collected Works of Antonio J. Waring, Jr., edited by Stephen B. Williams, pp. 263-278. Papers of the Peabody Museum of Archaeology and Ethnology 58. Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts.
66. Williams, Stephen B.
1968 Appendix: Radiocarbon Dates From the Georgia Coast. In: The Waring Papers: The Collected Works of Antonio J. Waring, Jr., edited by Stephen B. Williams, pp. 329-332. Papers of the Peabody Museum of Archaeology and Ethnology 58. Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts.

SHELL RING INDEX

- 9Ch14 (Oemler Marsh Midden North)- 6, 7, 13, 14, 17, 33, 34, 47
- 9Ch63- 4, 32
- 9Ch75- 4
- 9Ch77 (South Ring, Skidaway)- 4, 16, 17
- 9Ch111 (Ring near Skidaway)- 16, 17
- 9Ch203- 15, 17, 33
- 9Ch377- 17
- 9Gn53 (Bony Hammock)- 17, 34
- 9Gn57 (Cannon's Point Marsh)- 7, 11, 13, 14, 17, 33, 34, 35, 36, 43, 53, 57
- 9Gn76 (Cannon's Point Land)- 7, 11, 13, 14, 17, 33, 34, 35, 36, 43, 53, 57
- 9McI23 (Sapelo Island)- 1, 6, 8, 11, 12, 13, 14, 17, 24, 28, 33, 34, 37, 40, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 50, 54, 57, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66
- 9McI87 (A. Busch Krick)- 11, 13, 14, 17, 33, 34
- 38Bu7 (Sea Pines)- 1, 8, 9, 10, 33, 34, 47, 52, 53, 54, 57, 62, 63, 64
- 38Bu8 (Skull Creek)- 8, 9, 10, 11, 33, 34, 47, 50, 52, 53, 54, 57, 63, 64
- 38Bu21 (Guerard Point)- 1, 11, 41, 45, 59
- 38Bu29 (Chester Fields)- 1, 6, 8, 11, 22, 27, 33, 34, 42, 45, 47, 48, 52, 54, 56, 57, 61, 62
- 38Bu300 (Daw's Island-Barrow's)-
- 38Bu301 (Daw's Island-Patent)-
- 38Bu302 (Daw's Island-Broad River)-
- 38Bu303 (Daw's Island-Medicine)-
- 38Bu475 (Bull Island Shell Ring) 41

38Ch7 (Hanckel)- 52, 62

38Ch12 (Lighthouse Point)- 1, 2, 20, 25, 31, 49, 50, 51, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 62

38Ch14 (Horse Island)- 3, 6, 11, 52, 54, 62, 64

38Ch23 (Buzzards Island)- 2, 5, 26, 62

38Ch24 (Stratton Place)- 2, 5, 26, 53, 54, 57

38Ch41 (Auld/Yough)- 2, 26, 33, 47, 61, 62, 66

38Ch42 (Fig Island)- 1, 5, 11, 28, 29, 30, 33, 34, 50, 52, 53, 57

38Ch45 (Sewee)- 2, 10, 11, 21, 34, 50, 52, 53, 57

Absolute ages- 2, 8, 9, 10, 13, 14, 21, 23, 24, 29, 30, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 43, 44, 47, 48, 49, 52, 53, 54, 55, 59, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66

Artifacts, ceramic- 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 21, 22, 23, 24, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 61, 62, 64, 65

Artifacts, lithic- 2, 6, 8, 9, 16, 17, 21, 22, 23, 24, 26, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 54, 57, 62, 63, 64, 65

Artifacts, organic- 1, 5, 6, 8, 9, 12, 13, 14, 17, 21, 22, 23, 28, 29, 30, 31, 33, 34, 35, 36, 38, 39, 42, 44, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 54, 57, 58, 62, 64, 65

Ceramic (relative) ages- 1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9, 11, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 21, 24, 27, 28, 32, 33, 34, 44, 46, 47, 48, 49, 52, 53, 54, 55, 61, 62, 65

Cultural significance- 11, 12, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 28, 29, 30, 34, 38, 42, 44, 46, 48, 51, 52, 53, 54, 56, 57, 59, 63, 65

Invertebrates- 2, 5, 6, 8, 9, 12, 13, 14, 16, 17, 19, 21, 22, 26, 28, 29, 30, 31, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 43, 44, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 54, 56, 57, 58, 60, 62, 63, 65

Microstratigraphy- 8, 9, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 21, 22, 31, 32, 34, 38, 40, 42, 44, 46, 49, 51, 52, 54, 57, 58, 59, 60, 63, 65

Other traces- 8, 53, 57

Oyster uses- 1, 8, 17, 21, 28, 33, 34, 35, 36, 49, 54, 65

Pits- 2, 8, 17, 21, 22, 34, 46, 49, 50, 53, 54, 57, 58

Plant remains- 8, 16, 17, 21, 34, 35, 36, 38, 44, 45, 46, 49, 50, 52, 54, 56

Postholes- 8, 21, 49, 53, 54, 57, 58

Proposed uses- 2, 4, 8, 20, 21, 28, 29, 30, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 42, 44, 46, 47, 49, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 63, 64, 65

Vertebrates- 1, 2, 3, 6, 8, 9, 12, 16, 17, 21, 22, 25, 26, 28, 29, 30, 33, 34, 35, 36, 38, 39, 40, 42, 43, 44, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 53, 54, 56, 57, 58, 62, 63, 64, 65

Work history- 1, 2, 8, 17, 21, 28, 29, 34, 35, 44, 46, 49, 52, 54, 65

The activity that is the subject of this report has been financed in part with Federal funds from the National Park Service, Department of the Interior, and administered by the South Carolina Department of Archives and History. However, the contents and opinions do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the Department of the Interior, nor does the mention of trade names or commercial products constitute endorsement or recommendations by the Department of the Interior.

In performing this work the University of South Carolina has agreed to comply with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1963 (P.L. 88-341) and all requirements imposed by or pursuant to the Department of the Interior Regulations (42 CFR 17) issued pursuant to that title, to the end that, in accordance with Title VI of the Act and the Regulation, no person in the United States shall, on the ground of race, color, national origin, age, or handicap be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be otherwise subject to discrimination under any program or activity for which financial assistance has been granted from the Department of the Interior, National Park Service, and that the University will immediately take any measures to effectuate this agreement. In addition to the above, the University of South Carolina has agreed to comply with the Age Discrimination and Employment Act of 1967 (P.L. 90-269), which prohibits discrimination in hiring on the basis of age.