

10-1983

## On First Countable, Countably Compact Spaces. I: ( $\Omega_1$ , $\Omega_1$ -Star)-Gaps

Peter J. Nyikos

*University of South Carolina - Columbia*, nyikos@math.sc.edu

Jerry E. Vaughan

Follow this and additional works at: [https://scholarcommons.sc.edu/math\\_facpub](https://scholarcommons.sc.edu/math_facpub)



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

---

### Publication Info

*Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, Volume 279, Issue 2, 1983, pages 463-769. © 1983  
by American Mathematical Society

This Article is brought to you by the Mathematics, Department of at Scholar Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of Scholar Commons. For more information, please contact [digres@mailbox.sc.edu](mailto:digres@mailbox.sc.edu).

ON FIRST COUNTABLE, COUNTABLY COMPACT SPACES. I:  
 $(\omega_1, \omega_1^*)$ -GAPS

BY

PETER J. NYIKOS<sup>1</sup> AND JERRY E. VAUGHAN

ABSTRACT. This paper is concerned with the  $(\omega_1, \omega_1^*)$ -gaps of F. Hausdorff and the topological spaces defined from them by Eric van Douwen. We construct special gaps in order that the associated gap spaces will have interesting topological properties. For example, the gap spaces we construct show that in certain models of set theory, there exist countably compact, first countable, separable, nonnormal  $T_2$ -spaces.

**1. Introduction.** For short, we say that a countably compact, first countable, separable, nonnormal  $T_2$ -space is an  $R$ -space. It is known that there exist (in ZFC) countably compact, first countable, nonnormal  $T_2$ -spaces  $[N, V_1]$ , but these examples are not separable (hence not  $R$ -spaces) in a strong way: They are  $\omega$ -bounded (i.e., every countable subset has compact closure). It is also known that under certain set-theoretic assumptions (e.g., the continuum hypothesis (CH), and Martin's Axiom plus the negation of the continuum hypothesis (MA +  $\neg$  CH)) there exist  $R$ -spaces. One of the weakest such assumptions now known to us is the cardinal equality " $b = c$ ", which is defined below (see Corollary 1.6).

The  $(\omega_1, \omega_1^*)$ -gaps of F. Hausdorff come up naturally in the search for  $R$ -spaces because the topological space defined by van Douwen  $[vD_1]$  from an  $(\omega_1, \omega_1^*)$ -gap is very close to being an  $R$ -space. In fact, for any gap, the associated gap space has all the properties of an  $R$ -space except possibly one: countable compactness. These spaces are, however, countably paracompact (for the definitions of gaps and gap spaces see §2). Further, these spaces exist within ZFC by virtue of

1.1. THEOREM (HAUSDORFF [H]). *There exists an  $(\omega_1, \omega_1^*)$ -gap.*

In this paper we study several special kinds of  $(\omega_1, \omega_1^*)$ -gaps (called tight gaps and big gaps, see §§3, 4) and the topological properties of the associated gap spaces and related spaces. Our results show that in many models of set theory  $R$ -spaces can be constructed from  $(\omega_1, \omega_1^*)$ -gaps. For example, if  $c = \aleph_1$  or  $c = \aleph_2$ , we can construct such  $R$ -spaces (Corollary 1.7). Our results, however, do not completely answer the question, "does there exist an  $R$ -space?"

---

Received by the editors April 22, 1981 and, in revised form, August 4, 1981.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 54A25, 54A35, 54D30, 03E35, 03E65.

*Key words and phrases*. First countable, countably compact, separable, nonnormal, zero-dimensional,  $(\omega_1, \omega_1^*)$ -gaps, Hausdorff gaps, tight gaps, big gaps, continuum hypothesis, Martin's Axiom.

<sup>1</sup>The research of the first author was partially supported by NSF grant MCS 8003004.

©1983 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9947/83 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

Let  $c$  denote the cardinality of the continuum. We now define the cardinals  $b$  and  $p$  which are closely related to certain  $(\omega_1, \omega_1^*)$ -gaps (these and other well-known cardinals in set theory are discussed in [vD<sub>2</sub> and V<sub>2</sub>] and in [He] under different terminology). Let  $\omega$  denote the set of natural numbers,  $|X|$  the cardinal number of a set  $X$ , and  $[\omega]^\omega$  the set of all infinite subsets of  $\omega$ . A family  $\mathcal{F} \subset [\omega]^\omega$  is said to have the *strong finite intersection property* (s.f.i.p.) provided for every finite  $\mathcal{F}' \subset \mathcal{F}$ ,  $|\bigcap \mathcal{F}'| = \omega$ . A family  $\mathcal{F}$  is *unbounded below* provided there does not exist a set  $A \in [\omega]^\omega$  such that  $|A - F| < \omega$  for all  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ . We define  $p = \min\{|\mathcal{F}| : \mathcal{F} \subset [\omega]^\omega, \mathcal{F} \text{ has s.f.i.p., and } \mathcal{F} \text{ is unbounded below}\}$ . The cardinal  $b$  is defined as follows. Let  ${}^\omega\omega$  denote the set of all functions from  $\omega$  into  $\omega$ . For  $f, g \in {}^\omega\omega$  we say  $f \leq^* g$  provided there exists  $N \in \omega$  such that for all  $n \geq N$ ,  $f(n) \leq g(n)$ . A set  $H \subset {}^\omega\omega$  is called *unbounded above* provided there does not exist  $g \in {}^\omega\omega$  such that  $f \leq^* g$  for all  $f \in H$ . We define  $b = \min\{|H| : H \subset {}^\omega\omega \text{ and } H \text{ is unbounded above}\}$ .

We use the following classical inequality proved by F. Rothberger [R],  $\omega_1 \leq p \leq b \leq c$ .

Clearly under CH,  $\omega_1 = p = b = c$ . It is also known that there exist models of set theory in which these cardinals are different (see the discussion in [vD<sub>2</sub>, He and V<sub>2</sub>]).

We defer making further definitions until §2, and now state our main results.

Since  $(\omega_1, \omega_1^*)$ -gap spaces are countably paracompact, it is natural to ask if any of them are countably compact. Our first result answers that.

1.2. THEOREM. *The following are equivalent.*

- (i) *There exists a countably compact  $(\omega_1, \omega_1^*)$ -gap space,*
- (ii) *There exists a tight  $(\omega_1, \omega_1^*)$ -gap,*
- (iii)  $p = \omega_1$ .

Under MA + ¬CH,  $p = c > \omega_1$  [B]. Thus MA + ¬CH implies that there do not exist any countably compact  $(\omega_1, \omega_1^*)$ -gap spaces.

1.3. THEOREM (ZFC). *There exists an  $(\omega_1, \omega_1^*)$ -gap which is not tight, hence there exists an  $(\omega_1, \omega_1^*)$ -gap space which is not countably compact.*

There is another way that gap spaces can be used to get  $R$ -spaces. Note that if an  $(\omega_1, \omega_1^*)$ -gap space  $X$  can be densely embedded into a countably compact, first countable  $T_2$ -space  $Y$ , then  $Y$  is an  $R$ -space (see §5).

1.4. THEOREM. *If  $b = c$ , then every first countable, locally compact,  $T_2$ -space of cardinality  $< c$  can be embedded into a countably compact, first countable  $T_2$ -space.*

Since every  $(\omega_1, \omega_1^*)$ -gap space is a first countable, locally compact, zero-dimensional  $T_2$ -space and has cardinality  $\omega_1$ , we have

1.5. COROLLARY. *If  $b = c$  and  $c > \omega_1$ , then every  $(\omega_1, \omega_1^*)$ -gap space can be embedded into a countably compact, first countable,  $T_2$ -space.*

Combining 1.2 and 1.4 with Rothberger's inequality ( $p \leq b$ ) we have

1.6. COROLLARY. *If  $b = c$ , then there exists an  $R$ -space.*

W. Weiss has independently proved this result as an improvement of the similar result (using  $MA + \neg CH$ ) of M. Dahroug. Their proofs do not use  $(\omega_1, \omega_1^*)$ -gaps. We also have

1.7. COROLLARY. *If  $c = \omega_1$  or  $c = \omega_2$ , then there exists an  $R$ -space.*

The next result shows that the embedding of gap spaces as given in 1.5. cannot be carried out in ZFC for every  $(\omega_1, \omega_1^*)$ -gap.

1.8. THEOREM. (i) *There exists a big  $(\omega_1, \omega_1^*)$ -gap if and only if  $b = \omega_1$ .*

(ii) *If there exists a big  $(\omega_1, \omega_1^*)$ -gap, then the associated gap space cannot be embedded into any countably compact, first countable  $T_2$ -space.*

*Open questions.* (1) Does there exist (in ZFC) an  $(\omega_1, \omega_1^*)$ -gap such that the associated gap space can be embedded into a countably compact, first countable  $T_2$ -space? If the answer to (1) is yes then the main problem is solved:

(2) Does there exist an  $R$ -space?

In §2, we give the basic definitions of gaps and gap spaces, and consider tight gaps and big gaps in §§3 and 4 respectively. We prove Theorem 1.4 in §5.

**2. Definitions.** All spaces considered in this paper are completely regular  $T_2$ -spaces. The topological terms are standard (e.g., see [E or W]) but we review them for completeness. A space  $X$  is called *countably compact* if every sequence in  $X$  has a cluster point (or equivalently if every infinite subset has an accumulation point). A space  $X$  is *first countable* if every point has a countable local base; *separable* if  $X$  has a countable dense set; *locally compact* if every point has a compact neighborhood; and *zero-dimensional* if every point has a local base of clopen sets.

The set-theoretic concepts: Recall that  $[\omega]^\omega$  denotes the set of all infinite subsets of  $\omega$ . For  $A, B \in [\omega]^\omega$  we write  $A \subset^* B$  provided  $|A - B| < \omega$ , and  $A < B$  provided  $A \subset^* B$  and  $|B - A| = \omega$ . A set  $\Gamma = \{(A_\alpha, B_\alpha); \alpha < \omega_1\} \subset [\omega]^\omega \times [\omega]^\omega$  is called an  $(\omega_1, \omega_1^*)$ -gap provided:

(2.0)  $B_0 < \omega$ ;

(2.1) for all  $\alpha < \omega_1, A_\alpha < A_{\alpha+1} < B_{\alpha+1} < B_\alpha$ ;

(2.2) there does not exist  $H \in [\omega]^\omega$  such that  $A_\alpha < H < B_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha < \omega_1$ .

Condition (2.0) is included only for reasons of symmetry: we want both  $A_0$  and  $\omega - B_0$  to be infinite and have limit points in the gap space.

Let  $\Gamma$  be an  $(\omega_1, \omega_1^*)$ -gap. We now define the topological space (of van Douwen) associated with  $\Gamma$ . (In [vD<sub>1</sub>], van Douwen was interested in countable paracompactness, but in this paper we are concerned with countable compactness. Thus, we have to change slightly van Douwen's definition of a gap space in order to insure that  $A_0$  and  $\omega - B_0$  have limit points.) The underlying set of the space consists of  $\omega$  and two disjoint copies of  $\omega_1$ ; say  $X(\Gamma) = L_0 \cup L_1 \cup \omega$  where  $L_i = \{i\} \times \omega_1$  ( $i \in 2$ ). The points in  $\omega$  are to be isolated in the topology.

For  $\alpha < \omega_1$  we define a local base at  $\langle \alpha, 0 \rangle$  and  $\langle \alpha, 1 \rangle$  as follows. First, for  $\alpha = 0$  we put for every  $F \in [\omega]^{<\omega}, V(\langle 0, 0 \rangle; F) = \{\langle 0, 0 \rangle\} \cup A_0 - F$ , and  $W(\langle 0, 1 \rangle; F) = \{\langle 0, 1 \rangle\} \cup (\omega - B_0) - F$ . This insures that the infinite sets  $A_0$  and  $(\omega - B_0)$

have limit points. For  $0 < \alpha < \omega_1$  define for each  $\beta < \alpha$  and  $F \in [\omega]^{<\omega}$

$$V(\langle \alpha, 0 \rangle; \beta, F) = \{ \langle \xi, 0 \rangle : \beta < \xi \leq \alpha \} \cup (A_\alpha - A_\beta) - F,$$

$$W(\langle \alpha, 1 \rangle; \beta, F) = \{ \langle \xi, 1 \rangle : \beta < \xi \leq \alpha \} \cup (B_\beta - B_\alpha) - F.$$

The topology on  $X(\Gamma)$  is taken to be the topology generated by these local bases. It is easy to check that the space  $X(\Gamma)$  is first countable, separable, locally compact, zero-dimensional, and  $T_2$ . Further, the disjoint closed sets  $L_0$  and  $L_1$  cannot be separated by disjoint open sets (or else (2.2) is violated); so  $X(\Gamma)$  is not normal. All these details can be found in [vD<sub>1</sub>]. We note that since  $L_0$  and  $L_1$  are countably compact, they will be closed in any first countable  $T_2$ -space  $Y$  in which  $X(\Gamma)$  can be embedded; so such a space  $Y$  will also fail to be normal.

**3. Tight  $(\omega_1, \omega_1^*)$ -gaps.**

3.1. DEFINITION. Let  $\Gamma = \{(A_\alpha, B_\alpha) : \alpha < \omega_1\}$  be an  $(\omega_1, \omega_1^*)$ -gap, and  $E \in [\omega]^\omega$ . If  $E \subset {}^*B_\alpha - A_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha < \omega_1$  we say that  $E$  is *beside the gap*  $\Gamma$ . A gap  $\Gamma$  is called *tight* provided there does not exist  $E \in [\omega]^\omega$  such that  $E$  is beside the gap  $\Gamma$ .

3.2. LEMMA. *An  $(\omega_1, \omega_1^*)$ -gap  $\Gamma$  is tight if and only if the space  $X(\Gamma)$  is countably compact.*

PROOF. Since both  $L_0$  and  $L_1$  are countably compact subsets of  $X(\Gamma)$  the only countable subsets of  $X(\Gamma)$  which do not obviously have limit points are sets  $E \subset \omega$  such that  $|E \cap A_\alpha| < \omega$  and  $|E - B_\alpha| < \omega$  (equivalently,  $E \subset {}^*B_\alpha - A_\alpha$ ) for all  $\alpha < \omega_1$ . Note that  $\{B_\alpha - A_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$  is a decreasing tower in  $[\omega]^\omega$  (i.e.,  $\alpha < \beta$  implies  $B_\beta - A_\beta \subset {}^*B_\alpha - A_\alpha$ ). Thus  $X(\Gamma)$  is countably compact if and only if this tower is unbounded below (i.e.  $\Gamma$  is a tight gap).

From this it is clear that if  $\Gamma$  is a tight gap, then  $p = \omega_1$  (this proves Theorem 1.2 (ii)  $\rightarrow$  (iii)).

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2. (iii)  $\rightarrow$  (ii). *If  $p = \omega_1$ , then there is a tight  $(\omega_1, \omega_1^*)$ -gap.* If  $p = \omega_1$ , then there is a maximal decreasing tower  $\{T_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\} \subset [\omega]^\omega$  (i.e.  $\alpha < \beta$  implies  $T_\beta \subset T_\alpha$  and there does not exist any  $H \in [\omega]^\omega$  such that  $H \subset {}^*T_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha < \omega_1$ ). Clearly, it suffices to prove that there exists an  $(\omega_1, \omega_1^*)$ -gap  $\Gamma = \{(A_\alpha, B_\alpha) : \alpha < \omega_1\}$  such that  $B_\alpha - A_\alpha = {}^*T_\alpha$  (i.e.,  $B_\alpha - A_\alpha \subset {}^*T_\alpha \subset {}^*B_\alpha - A_\alpha$ ) for all  $\alpha < \omega$ . The maximality of the tower is not needed in the construction of the gap so we state the following more general result.

3.3. LEMMA. *If  $\{T_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$  is a family of infinite subsets of  $\omega$  such that  $T_0 < \omega$  and  $\alpha < \beta < \omega_1$  imply  $T_\beta \subset T_\alpha$ , then there exists an  $(\omega_1, \omega_1^*)$ -gap  $\Gamma = \{(A_\alpha, B_\alpha) : \alpha < \omega_1\}$  such that  $B_\alpha - A_\alpha = T_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha < \omega_1$ .*

This lemma can be proved by making minor modifications in Hausdorff's proof that there exists an  $(\omega_1, \omega_1^*)$ -gap. A somewhat different proof of a more general result has been given independently by A. Błaszczyk and A. Szymański [BS].

3.4. DEFINITION. Let  $N$  be a countably infinite set, and  $\{(A_\alpha, B_\alpha) : \alpha < \omega_1\}$  a family of pairs of infinite subsets of  $N$ . Such a family is called an  $(\omega_1, \omega_1^*)$ -gap in  $N$  provided (2.0), (2.1) and (2.2) hold for this family with  $N$  substituted for  $\omega$ .

It follows from Hausdorff's Theorem 1.1, that every countable, infinite set  $N$  has an  $(\omega_1, \omega_1^*)$ -gap in  $N$ .

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3 (ZFC). *There exists an  $(\omega_1, \omega_1^*)$ -gap which is not tight, hence there exists an  $(\omega_1, \omega_1^*)$ -gap space which is not countably compact.* Partition  $\omega$  into three infinite sets  $M, N$ , and  $P$ . Let  $\{(A'_\alpha, B'_\alpha): \alpha < \omega_1\}$  be any  $(\omega_1, \omega_1^*)$ -gap in  $N$ . Define  $A_\alpha = A'_\alpha \cup M$ , and  $B_\alpha = B'_\alpha \cup M \cup P$  for all  $\alpha < \omega_1$ . Then  $\{(A_\alpha, B_\alpha): \alpha < \omega_1\}$  is an  $(\omega_1, \omega_1^*)$ -gap having the infinite set  $P$  beside the gap.

**4. Big  $(\omega_1, \omega_1^*)$ -gaps.**

4.1. DEFINITION. An  $(\omega_1, \omega_1^*)$ -gap  $\Gamma = \{(A_\alpha, B_\alpha): \alpha < \omega_1\}$  is called *big* provided there exists a family  $\{E_n: n \in \omega\} \subset [\omega]^\omega$  of pairwise disjoint sets such that each  $E_n$  is beside the gap  $\Gamma$ , and for every  $D \subset \omega$  such that  $|D \cap E_n| = \omega$  for infinitely many  $n \in \omega$ , there exists  $\alpha < \omega_1$  such that  $|A_\alpha \cap D| = \omega$ . This concept is motivated by

4.2. LEMMA. *If  $\Gamma$  is a big  $(\omega_1, \omega_1^*)$ -gap, then  $X(\Gamma)$  cannot be embedded in a countably compact, first countable  $T_2$ -space.*

PROOF. Let  $T = \{(A_\alpha, B_\alpha): \alpha < \omega_1\}$  and let  $\{E_n: n \in \omega\}$  be pairwise disjoint infinite subsets of  $\omega$  satisfying 4.1. Suppose that  $X(\Gamma)$  can be embedded in a countably compact, first countable  $T_2$ -space  $Y$  (we assume  $X(\Gamma) \subset Y$ ). Each  $E_n$  has an accumulation point  $y_n$  in  $Y$  and further  $y_n \in Y \setminus X(\Gamma)$  because  $E_n$  is beside the gap  $\Gamma$ . Since  $X(\Gamma)$  is locally compact,  $Y - X(\Gamma)$  is closed in  $Y$ . Thus, there is a point  $y \in Y - X(\Gamma)$  which is a cluster point of the sequence  $\{y_n: n \in \omega\}$ . By passing to subsequences where necessary, we may assume without loss of generality that (1)  $\{y_n: n \in \omega\}$  converges to  $y$  in  $Y$ , and (2) each  $E_n$  converges to  $y_n$  (i.e.,  $y_n$  is the unique accumulation point of  $E_n$  in  $Y$ ). Now let  $\{U_n: n \in \omega\}$  be a local base for  $y$  in  $Y$ . Pick an increasing sequence  $\{i_n: n \in \omega\}$  of natural numbers such that the sets  $D_{i_n} = U_n \cap E_{i_n}$  are infinite. Put  $D = \cup \{D_{i_n}: n \in \omega\}$ .

By (4.1) there exists (a first)  $\alpha < \omega_1$  such that  $|A_\alpha \cap D| = \omega$ . Thus the point  $\langle \alpha, 1 \rangle \in X(\Gamma)$  is a limit point of  $D$ . But this is impossible because  $D$  has all its limit points in  $Y \setminus X(\Gamma)$ .

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.8. *There exists a big  $(\omega_1, \omega_1^*)$ -gap if and only if  $b = \omega_1$ .* First we assume that  $b = \omega_1$ . Let  $\{f_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_1\}$  be a family of strictly increasing functions from  $\omega$  into  $\omega$  which has no upper bound in the  $<^*$  order on  ${}^\omega\omega$ . Let  $N$  be a copy of  $\omega$  disjoint from  $\omega \times \omega$ . Let  $\{(A'_\alpha, B'_\alpha): \alpha < \omega_1\}$  be any  $(\omega_1, \omega_1^*)$ -gap on  $N$ . Define  $B_\alpha = B'_\alpha \cup (\omega \times \omega)$  and  $A_\alpha = A'_\alpha \cup \{\langle i, j \rangle \in \omega \times \omega: j \geq f_\alpha(i)\}$  for all  $\alpha < \omega_1$ . Then  $\{(A_\alpha, B_\alpha): \alpha < \omega_1\}$  is a big gap on the countable set  $(\omega \times \omega) \cup N$ ; so there exists a big  $(\omega_1, \omega_1^*)$ -gap.

Conversely, assume that  $\{(A_\alpha, B_\alpha): \alpha < \omega_1\}$  is a big  $(\omega_1, \omega_1^*)$ -gap in  $\omega$ . Let  $\{E_n: n < \omega\}$  be a family of mutually disjoint infinite subsets of  $\omega$  satisfying the property of a big gap in (4.1). Since for every  $n < \omega$  and  $\alpha < \omega_1$   $E_n \subset {}^*B_\alpha - A_\alpha$ , we may define functions  $f_\alpha$  by the rule:  $f_\alpha(n)$  is the first integer such that

$$j \geq f_\alpha(n) \rightarrow (j \in E_n \rightarrow j \in B_\alpha - A_\alpha).$$

We show that  $\{f_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_1\}$  has no upper bound in  ${}^\omega\omega$ , and thus  $b = \omega_1$ . Suppose that there is a function  $g$  such that  $f_\alpha \leq^* g$  for all  $\alpha < \omega_1$ . Define  $D_n = \{x \in E_n: x > g(n)\}$ . Clearly  $D_n$  is an infinite subset of  $E_n$  for all  $n < \omega$ . Since the gap is big, there exists  $\alpha < \omega_1$  such that  $(A_\alpha \cap \bigcup_n D_n)$  is infinite. Further, since each  $D_n$  is beside the gap,  $A_\alpha \cap D_n$  is finite for all  $n < \omega$ . Thus, there are infinitely many  $n$  such that  $A \cap D_n \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $f_\alpha \leq^* g$  there exists  $n < \omega$  such that for all  $m \geq n$  we have  $f_\alpha(n) \leq g(n)$ . Now pick an  $n > m$  such that there is  $j \in A_\alpha \cap D_n$ . We have  $j > g(n) \geq f_\alpha(n)$ ; so by definition of  $f_\alpha$ ,  $j \in B_\alpha - A_\alpha$ . This contradicts that  $j \in A_\alpha$ , and that completes the proof.

4.3. REMARK. It is easy to see that there exists a non-big gap (in ZFC). If  $p = \omega_1$ , a tight gap is not big. If  $p > \omega_1$ , then  $b > \omega_1$  (by Rothberger's inequality); so every gap is not big by Theorem 1.8.

5. **Proof of Theorem 1.4.** If  $b = c$ , then every first countable, locally compact,  $T_2$ -space of cardinality  $< c$  can be embedded into a countably compact, first countable, locally compact, zero-dimensional  $T_2$ -space.

5.1. LEMMA (VAN DOUWEN [vD<sub>2</sub>]). *If  $X$  is a first countable regular space of cardinality  $< b$ , then  $X$  has property  $D$  (i.e., for every closed, discrete sequence  $\{x_i: i < \omega\}$  in  $X$ , there exists a discrete family  $\{U_i: i < \omega\}$  of open sets in  $X$  such that  $x_j \in U_i \Leftrightarrow j = i$ ).*

Now let  $(X, T)$  be a topological space satisfying the hypothesis of 1.4. We construct a space  $Y$  by transfinite induction in the manner of Ostaszewski [O] starting with  $X$  at the first step. The underlying set of  $Y$  will be  $X \cup c$  where  $c$  is the cardinal number  $2^\omega$ , and where we consider  $X$  and  $c$  as disjoint sets. Let  $\{H_\alpha: \omega \leq \alpha < c\}$  list  $[c]^\omega$  such that  $H_\alpha \subset \alpha$  for all  $\omega \leq \alpha < c$ . Let  $\{E_\alpha: \omega \leq \alpha < c\}$  list  $[X]^\omega$ . This requires only that  $|X| \leq c$ , but we need  $|X| < c$  in order to apply 5.1, and to have  $X$  zero-dimensional. In order to catch up with the listings, put  $X_n = X$  and  $T_n = T$  for all  $n < \omega$ . Assume for all  $\alpha < \gamma$ , where  $\omega \leq \alpha < \gamma < c$ , we have defined topologies  $T_\alpha$  on sets  $X_\alpha$  such that

- (1)  $X_\alpha = X \cup \alpha$  (for  $\omega \leq \alpha < \gamma$ ).
- (2)  $(X_\alpha, T_\alpha)$  is a first countable, locally compact, zero-dimensional  $T_2$ -space.
- (3)  $\beta < \alpha < \gamma$  implies  $(X_\beta, T_\beta)$  is an open subspace of  $(X_\alpha, T_\alpha)$ .
- (4)  $\omega \leq \alpha < \alpha + 1 < \gamma$  implies that both  $H_\alpha$  and  $E_\alpha$  have a limit point in  $(X_{\alpha+1}, T_{\alpha+1})$ .

In order to construct  $T_\gamma$  on  $X_\gamma = X \cup \gamma$ , we proceed in two cases ( $\gamma$  a successor or limit ordinal) as in many Ostaszewski type constructions (see [vD<sub>2</sub>, O, V<sub>1</sub>]). For completeness we sketch the proof. If  $\gamma$  is a successor ordinal, say  $\gamma = \alpha + 1$ , and if both  $H_\gamma$  and  $E_\gamma$  have limit points in  $(X_\alpha, T_\alpha)$  we let  $\alpha$  be isolated in  $(X_\gamma, T_\gamma)$ . If one or both of  $H_\gamma, E_\gamma$  is closed discrete, we use zero-dimensionality, local compactness, and Lemma 5.1 to get a discrete family of compact clopen sets  $\{V_i: i \in \omega\}$  each of which meets  $H_\gamma$  and/or  $E_\gamma$  (whichever is closed discrete) in an infinite set. We let a local base at  $\alpha$  be  $\{W_n: n < \omega\}$  where  $W_n = \bigcup \{V_i: i > n\} \cup \{\alpha\}$ . In case  $\gamma$  is a limit ordinal, define a subset  $U$  of  $X_\gamma$  to be open in  $T_\alpha$  if and only if  $U \cap X_\alpha \in T_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha < \gamma$ . It is possible that for some  $\alpha < c$ , the space  $(X_\alpha, T_\alpha)$  is countably compact

(even  $(X_0, T_0)$ ), and we could stop right there. Our construction, however, would continue by adding isolated points for countably many steps and then pick up again adding limit points. Thus we can get the final space  $Y = (X_c, T_c)$  to have underlying set  $X \cup c$ . The space  $Y$  is a countably compact, first countable, locally compact, zero-dimensional  $T_2$ -space containing  $X = X_0$  as a subspace. We leave the details to the reader.

## REFERENCES

- [B] D. Booth, *Ultrafilters on a countable set*, Ann. Math. Logic **2** (1970/71), 1–24.
- [BS] A. Błaszczyk and A. Szymański, *Hausdorff's gaps versus normality*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Math. **30** (1982), 371–378.
- [vD<sub>1</sub>] E. van Douwen, *Hausdorff gaps and a nice countably paracompact nonnormal space*, Topology Proc. **1** (1976), 239–242.
- [vD<sub>2</sub>] ———, *Functions from the integers to the integers and topology*, cocktail napkin, Hilton Hotel Bar, San Antonio, Texas, 1975.
- [E] R. Engelking, *General topology*, PWN, Warsaw, 1977.
- [H] F. Hausdorff, *Summen von  $\aleph_1$  mengen*, Fund. Math. **26** (1936), 241–255.
- [He] S. H. Hechler, *A dozen small uncountable cardinals*, (TOPO 72—General Topology and its Applications), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 378, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1974, pp. 207–218.
- [K] K. Kunen, *Set theory*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1980.
- [N] P. J. Nyikos, *The topological structure of the tangent and cotangent bundles on the long line*, Research Announcement, Topology Proc. **4** (1979), 271–276.
- [O] A. J. Ostaszewski, *On countably compact, perfectly normal spaces*, J. London Math. Soc. **14** (1976), 505–516.
- [R] F. Rothberger, *On some problems of Hausdorff and Sierpinski*, Fund. Math. **35** (1948), 29–46.
- [V<sub>1</sub>] J. E. Vaughan, *A countably compact, first countable, non-normal  $T_2$ -space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **75** (1979), 339–342.
- [V<sub>2</sub>] ———, *Some cardinals related to  $c$  and topology* (Topology Conf., 1979), Metric Spaces, Generalized Metric Spaces, Continua, Math. Dept., Guilford College, Greensboro.
- [W] S. Willard, *General topology*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1970.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA, COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29208

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA AT GREENSBORO, GREENSBORO, NORTH CAROLINA 27412