

1969

SCCRC 1966-1969 Document 29b: Sarah Leverette to Bill [Workman], March 1, 1969

Sarah Leverette

William D. Workman Jr.

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarcommons.sc.edu/scconstitutionalcommittee1966-69>



Part of the [Law Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Sarah Leverette to Bill [Workman], March 1, 1969, William D. Workman, Jr. Papers, South Carolina Legal Research Collection, Law Library, University of South Carolina School of Law

This Draft Reports is brought to you by the South Carolina Constitutional Materials (Workman Papers) at Scholar Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Committee to Study the South Carolina Constitution of 1895 (1966-1969) by an authorized administrator of Scholar Commons. For more information, please contact digres@mailbox.sc.edu.

March 1, 1969

Bill,

Presented below is a rough draft of the minority view with regard to the Committee Draft of the Constitution. I hold no brief for the wording so I would appreciate your reviewing it, especially the method of revision portion:

"It should be noted here that, though no member or members desired to file a minority report to the Committee Draft, those ~~voting in the minority~~ on certain major provisions felt that the general minority position should be reflected in these, the following areas:

*→ opposed
to the
Majority
view*

1. Selection of constitutional officers

Since a majority of the constitutional offices are administrative, rather than policy making positions, the Executive should be assigned a greater degree of appointive power in this area.

2. Selection of members of the judiciary

In keeping with the democratic principle of separation of powers, the system of judicial selection should not be vested wholly in the Legislative Branch.

3. Sale of alcoholic beverages

Provisions restricting the power of the General Assembly as to the sale of alcoholic beverages should be removed from the Constitution. Inclusion of such provisions is not in accord with the character of a constitution as a document of fundamental laws.

4. Method of constitutional revision

The minority strongly supports the constitutional convention as the recommended method of revising the present Constitution.

Please edit as you see fit and thanks.

Sarah
Sarah Leverette