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Water-cover influences on diatom ingestion rates by meiobenthic copepods

Alan W. Decho

Department of Zoology and Physiology, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70803, USA

ABSTRACT: Laboratory experiments on meiobenthic copepods using 14C-diatoms were conducted to investigate whether: (1) feeding rates or (2) food sources (planktonically-suspended foods or benthic sediment-associated foods) vary in response to the presence or absence of water-cover (i.e. simulated High-water vs Low-water conditions). Three diatom-feeding harpacticoids were examined. Scottoiiana canadensis feeds at significantly higher rates (2x) during high-water (HW) conditions (P<0.001), at which time it consumes planktonic foods; during Low-water (LW), feeding is greatly reduced. These feeding patterns are related to its burrow-dwelling and to its subtidal habitat. Cletocamptus deietersi remains virtually unaffected by changes in ambient water-cover, feeding at nearly equal rates during HW and LW conditions but always tending to consume more benthic diatoms. Microarthridion littorale consumes food at nearly equal rates during HW and LW conditions, but does so by shifting its feeding mode. During HW-times it makes excursions into the water column, feeding on planktonically-suspended foods. During LW-times it feeds benthically, moving over the sediment surface. Such feeding differences must affect meso-scale distributions of meiobenthos in the field, total benthic consumption and energy-flow estimates over a tidal-cycle, and the coupling of benthic and pelagic systems.

INTRODUCTION

Meiobenthic animals living at or near the intertidal limits of a salt marsh are exposed to a variety of physical conditions and potential food resources imposed by the fluctuating tidal cycle. During high-water conditions, the presence of water-cover over the sediment brings an influx of planktonic food resources (planktonic diatoms, detritus, heterotrophic bacteria) to the bottom and may permit meiofauna to enter the water column to better exploit these resources. Hydrodynamic effects on distribution (Eckman 1983, Jumars & Nowell 1984) and predation pressure by small fish (Bodiou & Villiers 1978) and invertebrates might be most intense on the meiofauna during this stage (Fleeger 1980). At high-water, micro-algal grazers can potentially feed on (1) suspended planktonic food, (2) benthic (sediment-associated) food, or (3) both.

During low-water conditions, however, mudflat exposure may potentially limit feeding of some species. Much of the planktonically-suspended material has been removed in the outflow, some may now rest on the sediment surface. While predation can still be significant (Bell 1980), hydrodynamic effects are virtually non-existent due to lack of water cover. Grazing by meiofauna, therefore, is limited to benthic (sediment) associated sources.

One might conclude that saltmarsh meiofauna, owing to their sediment-associated nature, feed at relatively constant rates over a tidal cycle. Recent studies, however, have shown that behavioral patterns affect both activity and movement (passive or active) of meiofauna over a tidal cycle, in a highly species-specific fashion (Fleeger et al. 1984, Palmer 1984). It therefore follows that these changes in activities or movements (with regard to tidal-level), may also influence both feeding rates and the type of food ingested (planktonic or benthic) at different parts of a tidal cycle.

The purpose of this study was to examine 2 aspects of meiobenthic harpacticoid copepods feeding on diatoms. First, to determine how the ingestion rate of diatoms by harpacticoids varies over simulated parts of a tidal cycle (presence or absence of water-cover; High-water vs Low-water conditions); second, to determine if these harpacticoids feed on (1) benthically-derived foods, or (2) planktonically-derived foods, or (3) both.
METHODS

Copepod collection. Harpacticoid copepod species used in feeding experiments were collected from an intertidal mudflat in a *Spartina alterniflora* marsh near Cocodrie, Louisiana (USA), and maintained in laboratory sediment culture chambers (Chandler 1986) until use. Three harpacticoids were used: *Scottolana canadensis*, *Cletocamptus deitersi*, *Microarthridion littorale*. These 3 harpacticoids are known diatom feeders (Decho unpubl.) traditionally considered infaunal or epibenthic. However, they differ considerably in their movements and apparent feeding activities during High-water (HW) and Low-water (LW) conditions (Chandler unpubl.). *S. canadensis* has been considered epibenthic, but is now known to be a semi-sessile burrow-dweller which may leave its burrow to swim; *C. deitersi* is a benthic harpacticoid which appears equally facile at infaunal and surface movement during HW and LW conditions; *M. littorale* is epibenthic (Palmer 1984).

Diatom culture. Two diatom species were used as food in feeding experiments. A planktonic species, *Thalassiosira weissflogii*, commonly found in salt marsh phytoplankton, was obtained from the Texas Algal Collection and grown in f-2 media (Guillard & Ryther 1962) in 15% Instant Ocean Artificial Seawater (ASW) using 15 h light 9 h dark cycle at 20°C. The benthic (sediment) diatom *Amphora tenerrima* (Aleem & Hustedt) was isolated from salt marsh sediments and grown on Erdschreibers media under similar conditions. Cultures were kept under near-anoxic conditions using Penicillin (10 000 U ml\(^{-1}\) final conc.) and Vancomycin (0.2 mg ml\(^{-1}\) final conc.). These 2 diatom species were chosen because they are of similar size, and are both ingested and assimilated by harpacticoids (*Scottolana canadensis*, *Cletocamptus deitersi*, *Microarthridion littorali*) (Decho unpubl.).

Labelling diatoms. Diatoms, in their log phase of growth, were labelled with NaH\(^{14}\)CO\(_3\) (New England Nuclear, Boston, Massachusetts, 10 µg µCi\(^{-1}\); 60 µCi l\(^{-1}\) final conc.) for 2 d. At this time unincorporated label and antibiotic were removed by multiple (6 to 7) centrifugation (6000 rpm for 10 min), resuspending in 40 ml sterile ASW. The final pellet was resuspended in 10 ml sterile ASW to yield a concentrated diatom suspension.

Linear uptake of label was examined by subsampling 2 sets of triplicate 100 µl aliquots over a time series (1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 12, 24, 48 h) during incubations. One set was placed directly in scintillation vials containing 1.5 ml Protosol (NE Nuclear), heated in darkness at ca 60°C for 4 h, cooled, and counted on a Beckman LS8000 Scintillation counter using 10 ml Econofluor (NE Nuclear) as the scintillation cocktail. Quenching was corrected for using the external-standards ratio method. A second set of triplicate samples were cleared of unincorporated label (by centrifugation) with the pellet placed in scintillation vials and counted. Dark incubated controls were used to account for non-photosynthetic incorporation of label.

To measure the specific activity of \(^{14}\)C-diatoms, triplicate subsamples (100 µl) of diatoms were solubilized and counted by liquid scintillation counting (LSC). Diatom densities (cells ml\(^{-1}\)) were measured in triplicate by haemocytometer. Therefore, the specific activity (dpm cell\(^{-1}\)) could be measured. Specific activities of centrifuge-washed diatoms were measured at both the start and conclusion of 1 h feeding experiments using parallel samples to account for decreases in specific activity due to \(^{14}\)C-exudate loss during 1 h feeding experiments. Differences were analysed by ANOVA (SAS Institute Inc. 1982). The mean specific activity (from start and conclusion samples) was used later to calculate copepod ingestion rates.

Sediment preparation. Four separate treatments (Fig. 1) were constructed: 2 High-water treatments (HW-Tmt) and 2 Low-water treatments (LW-Tmt) using circular sediment microcosms (3.4 cm dia). Sediment used in experiments was collected from the same site as the experimental animals and processed according to the methods of Chandler (1986). Each HW-Tmt contained 5 ml of ASW (final volume) overlying the sediment layer. LW-Tmts contained no overlying seawater cover in order to simulate an exposed mudflat at low-tide.

Feeding experiment. For feeding experiments, harpacticoids were prestarved no longer than 15 min (maximum handling time) in order to reduce ingestion rate artifacts due to starvation effects (Hassett 1983, Murtaugh 1984). Addition of food diatoms occurred in the following manner: for HW-Tmts, first, benthic diatoms (*Amphora tenerrima*) were added gently and close to the sediment, then allowed to settle and adhere to the sediment surface; second, 30 adult copepods of a given species were added; and third, immediately after, the planktonic diatoms (*Thalassiosira weissflogii*) were added. This set-up allowed minimal resuspension of sediment diatoms and also allowed planktonic diatoms to remain in suspension. To one HW-Tmt (Fig. 1a) \(^{14}\)C-labelled planktonic diatoms and unlabelled sediment diatoms were added. \(^{14}\)C-label levels in the overlying seawater were measured in this Tmt at the start and conclusion of the feeding experiment to examine if a significant loss of label (due to settling of \(^{14}\)C-planktonic diatoms) occurred during this time. To a second HW-Tmt (Fig. 1b), unlabelled planktonic diatoms and \(^{14}\)C-labelled benthic-diatoms were added. \(^{14}\)C-label levels of overlying water were also measured at the beginning and
Fig. 1. Arrangement of 14C-labelled diatoms in High-Water (HW) and Low-Water (LW) treatments used in feeding experiments (ASW = Instant Ocean Artificial Seawater).

The conclusion of the feeding experiment to ensure that benthic-diatoms were confined to the sediment and to examine if significant resuspension had occurred during the feeding experiments. To LW-Tmts (Fig. 1c & d) a similar format was followed in the additions of diatoms. For each feeding experiment, 3 pre-measured diatom food concentrations were used and are designated as Low, Medium, and High. Approximately equal concentrations of 14C-labelled and unlabelled diatoms were added to LW-Tmts. Proportionally higher concentrations of planktonic diatoms were added to HW-Tmts to account for the dilution of the overlying water.

Harpacticoid feeding time was always 1 h. This is less than the minimum gut-retention time as determined by azo-carmine appearance (Icely & Nott 1985) in the fecal pellets of the 3 species fed under similar conditions (i.e. temperature and food concentrations) (Decho unpubl.). Therefore, loss of ingested label via fecal pellets could be assumed to be zero. Also, as a second control, fecal pellets, collected from copepods used in feeding experiments were examined by LSC for the presence of 14C and compared to control fecal pellets (collected from copepods fed unlabelled diatoms). Ten fecal pellets were used for each sample. Samples were run in triplicate.

At the conclusion of the 1 h feeding period, individuals were removed from the sediment, washed in sterile ASW and transferred to scintillation vials using 200 μl ASW, prepared, and counted by LSC. Seven individuals were used in each replicate, with 4 replicates per treatment.

Controls. In order to control for harpacticid uptake of 14C-label not as a result of ingesting diatoms, adults of each species were added to sediment containing the 14C-labelled diatom filtrate (0.8 μm Gelman filter). This filtrate was collected from 14C-labelled diatoms incubated in sterile ASW for 1 h (same time-length as feeding experiments). The diatom concentrations were of similar densities as those used in feeding experiments. The control copepods were incubated for 1 h, then removed and washed, and counted by LSC. This will more precisely control for 14C-diatom exudates, and leaky diatom intracellular products which the copepods are exposed to during feeding experiments than the standard NaH14CO3 in sterile SW controls (own obs.). Controls (dpm) were subtracted from Tmt level readings.

Respiration of 14CO2 during 1 h feeding experiments was measured in triplicate using separate 25 ml flasks, according to a modification of Hobbie & Crawford (1969). Each flask contained 7 individuals and was set up similarly to 1 of the 4 Tmts used in feeding experiments.

Data analysis. Ingestion rate data were analysed by 3-way ANOVA using General Linear Models (SAS, 1982). If a significant difference was indicated, Bonferroni’s test was applied for comparison of means.

RESULTS

Food concentrations

Analysis of 14C-bicarbonate uptake by cells of both diatom species (Thalassiosira weissflogii, Amphora tenerrima) over a time series indicate linear uptake of label over the first 6 h of incubation. After 2 d incubation a near-homogeneous distribution of label among diatom cells was assumed. Dark incubated controls showed no significant uptake over a 48 h period. Specific activities of 14C-diatoms used in each of the feeding experiments are summarized in Table 1 and ranged from 0.4 to 3.4 dpm (diatom cell)−1. Food concentrations of labelled and unlabelled diatoms were similar at each HW and LW-Tmt food concentration.

Analysis of HW-14C planktonic diatom Tmts (to determine if planktonic diatoms remained suspended in the water column during 1 h feeding experiments) indicated no significant (P>0.01) reduction in water column 14C-diatoms after 1 h. Analysis of water aliquots from 14C-benthic diatom Tmts showed no significant label in the water column indicating no appreciable suspension of 14C-sediment diatoms during 1 h feeding experiments. Therefore it could be assumed that during 1 h feeding experiments, planktonic-diatoms remained suspended in the water column and benthic-diatoms remained associated with the sediment.
Table 1. Mean (± SE) food concentrations of diatoms (cells ml⁻¹) for each treatment (Tmt) used in feeding experiments for each food concentration. Specific activities (dpm cell⁻¹) of diatoms in parentheses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Harpacticoid</th>
<th>Food conc.</th>
<th>High-water Tmt</th>
<th>Low-water Tmt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Planktonic (T. weissflogii)</td>
<td>Benthic (A. tenerrima)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. canadensis</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>44350 ± 1198 (1.6)</td>
<td>33750 ± 1220 (0.44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>83950 ± 1919 (1.6)</td>
<td>79200 ± 1345 (1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>161450 ± 4032 (1.4)</td>
<td>148600 ± 4460 (1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. deitersi</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>17680 ± 645 (1.7)</td>
<td>10330 ± 520 (1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>48240 ± 1887 (2.55)</td>
<td>49440 ± 2070 (1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>127350 ± 6758 (2.2)</td>
<td>132300 ± 4230 (3.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. littorale</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>52580 ± 1800 (2.2)</td>
<td>29020 ± 1350 (3.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>108064 ± 2090 (1.4)</td>
<td>61600 ± 2226 (1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>155630 ± 3483 (1.05)</td>
<td>88440 ± 4685 (2.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Water contents of sediments from LW-Tmts ranged between 1.51 and 1.63 ml equivalents of dH₂O g⁻¹ dry sediment. These values are within the range of those observed at low-tide in the field habitat of the harpacticoids used in the experiments (own obs.).

Feeding experiments

Harpacticoid feeding experiments indicate that ingestion rates over the simulated parts of a tidal cycle vary significantly, depending on the harpacticoid species and the type of food (planktonic or benthic) ingested.

*Scottolana canadensis* showed greatest variation in diatom ingestion rates (Fig. 2) with regard to both ambient water level (HW vs LW) and diatom type (benthic vs planktonic). Highest total ingestion rates (P < 0.001 by Bonferroni test) occurred during HW conditions with very low ingestion rates during LW conditions, over all 3 food concentrations. Ingestion rate increased with increasing food concentration (Fig. 2). Also, during HW conditions, 60 to 88% of the diatoms consumed were planktonic (*Thalassiosira weissflogii*), indicating a 2X greater rate than the consumption rate of benthic-diatoms (*Amphora tenerrima*). During LW conditions however, ingestion of planktonic diatoms and benthic diatoms did not differ significantly (P > 0.05).

*Cletocamptus deitersi* exhibited relatively similar ingestion rates at both HW and LW conditions, except low-food concentrations (Fig. 2). Here ingestion rates were significantly (P < 0.05) greater during HW conditions.

*Microarthridion littorale* showed similar trends for total consumption of diatoms with slightly higher mean rates during LW conditions. However, during HW conditions, planktonic diatoms were consumed at much higher rates (P > 0.001) than benthic diatoms (Fig. 2). This pattern was present over all 3 food concentrations. Ingestion rates of both planktonic and benthic diatoms increased with increasing food concentration.

Respiration of ¹⁴C₀₂ varied in magnitude depending on the species and quantity of food consumed. Approximately 19 to 28% of the ingested ¹⁴C-diatom label was respired by the 3 harpacticoid species. Values at each Tmt food-concentration are given in Table 2.

Analysis of fecal pellets collected from copepods used in feeding experiments indicated no appreciable amounts of ¹⁴C above control levels could be detected for any of the 3 harpacticoid species. This indicates there was no appreciable loss or recycling of ¹⁴C-label via fecal pellets during the 1 h feeding experiments.

**DISCUSSION**

Significant variability in ingestion rates for meio-benthic copepods results from (1) the presence or absence of water-cover (HW vs LW), and/or (2) the type of diatom (planktonic-suspended or benthic) ingested.
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Two of the 3 harpacticoid species examined showed significant differences in ingestion rates of diatoms with regard to these parameters.

During High-water (HW), *Scottolana canadensis* ingested more diatoms than during low-water (LW) over all food concentrations. This increased feeding rate was primarily due to the increased consumption of planktonic diatoms (Fig. 2) at HW. As food concentration increased, a greater percentage of ingested food was planktonic. Ingestion of benthic (sediment) diatoms remained relatively constant (P>0.05) between HW and LW.

Laboratory and field observations of *Scottolana canadensis* at HW and LW indicate it to be a semi-sessile burrow-dweller. During HW conditions, *S. canadensis* constructs a semi-permanent burrow in which it resides (although individuals occasionally enter the water column). By use of its appendages, water and particulate matter from the overlying water column are drawn into the burrow (G. T. Chandler pers. comm.). The majority of this material consists of suspended plankton and detritus, with also some sediment particles (and benthic diatoms) in proximity to the burrow opening. The material is concentrated into a bolus (Chandler pers. comm.), with some of the bolus being ingested, and the remainder being discarded out of the opposite end of the burrow. The mechanics of particle selection are unknown. Both short-range (i.e. tactile) and long-range chemoreception may contribute as in some planktonic copepods (Paffenhofer & Van Sant 1985). During LW conditions, *S. canadensis* is occasionally seen moving atop the sediment surface, but most often resides within its burrow. Feeding at this time is reduced to that material already within its burrow or in immediate proximity to its burrow, and feeding rates are significantly reduced.

Predelection of *Scottolana canadensis* toward planktonic food sources is characteristic of both adults and nauplii. Adults grow best in culture on a variety of

Table 2. \[^{14}C\]-label respired (dpm copepod\(^{-1}\)h\(^{-1}\)) during 1 h feeding experiments. Values expressed as mean ± SE (percentage of ingested label respired in parentheses)

| Harpacticoid | Food conc. | High-water Tmt | | | Low-water Tmt | |
|-------------|------------|----------------|---|----------------|---|
|              |            | Planktonic diatom | Benthic diatom | | Planktonic diatom | Benthic diatom |
| *S. canadensis* | Low | 21.0 ± 3.4 (22) | 4.2 ± 2.4 (28) | | 1.2 ± 0.4 (19) | 1.1 ± 0.3 (18) |
| | Medium | 16.0 ± 2.1 (19) | 10.0 ± 2.4 (26) | | 3.5 ± 0.6 (20) | 6.3 ± 0.9 (28) |
| | High | 18.0 ± 4.0 (20) | 2.4 ± 1.1 (27) | | 6.0 ± 1.3 (28) | 4.5 ± 1.1 (26) |
| *C. deitersi* | Low | 6.0 ± 1.1 (22) | 9.0 ± 2.3 (24) | | 5.0 ± 0.7 (24) | 2.4 ± 0.4 (27) |
| | Medium | 7.5 ± 1.6 (21) | 15.0 ± 1.6 (26) | | 7.6 ± 0.3 (23) | 12.7 ± 1.7 (24) |
| | High | 7.9 ± 0.6 (19) | 10.0 ± 0.4 (25) | | 13.2 ± 0.7 (25) | 15.8 ± 2.7 (28) |
| *M. littorale* | Low | 11.3 ± 2.0 (20) | 10.8 ± 1.6 (23) | | 18.8 ± 1.1 (25) | 16.0 ± 2.6 (26) |
| | Medium | 17.3 ± 2.6 (25) | 7.4 ± 0.5 (27) | | 5.1 ± 0.7 (20) | 19.9 ± 2.7 (27) |
| | High | 13.0 ± 2.1 (21) | 22.1 ± 1.9 (24) | | 18.6 ± 2.5 (23) | 20.0 ± 3.3 (26) |
planktonic diatoms (Harris 1977, Lonsdale & Levinton 1985, Chandler 1986) and can often be collected at night from the water column in plankton tows (J. Howze pers. comm.). Nauplii are pelagic (Lonsdale & Levinton 1985) and obligate planktivores (Harris 1977).

Diatom ingestion rates of *Cletocamptus deitersi* were slightly higher during HW conditions (at low and medium food concentrations), but more benthic diatoms were ingested regardless of water level. *C. deitersi* continuously crawls over the sediment surface and/or burrows throughout the sediment with intermittent periods of swimming through the water column. Movement is quite vigorous on the sediment during LW conditions. Swimming at HW can increase its foraging ability, especially at low food concentrations. This harpacticoid grows best in culture on a mixture of both planktonic diatoms and sediment diatoms (Chandler 1986).

*Microarthridion littorale* ingests similar amounts of total food between HW and LW conditions. Apparently it does so by shifting its feeding mode. During HW, it tends to feed on planktonic diatoms by spending a large portion of its time in the water column, first swimming upward, then ‘dive-bombing’ to the sediment surface (Chandler pers. comm.). *M. littorale* is easily suspended during HW and does not readily return to the sediment once suspended (Palmer 1984). At LW it feeds on either benthic diatoms or planktonic diatoms. During this time it actively plows across the sediment surface, apparently foraging. Its total ingestion rate increases slightly during LW. The increased consumption of benthic diatoms (and decrease in planktonic diatoms) during LW conditions is most pronounced at medium food concentrations. The reason(s) for this pattern are unclear. This copepod can be cultured on either planktonic diatoms (Chandler 1986) or benthic diatoms (Palmer & Coull 1980).

Respiration values of $^{14}$CO$_2$ (Table 2) do not appear to reflect the relative activities (i.e. movements) of the harpacticoids during feeding but instead reflect only the amounts of $^{14}$C-labelled food ingested.

The ability of a meiobenthic animal to feed at HW and LW may potentially affect its distribution in the field. Mesoscale (meters) distributional variations of salt marsh meiofauna have been documented by Phillips & Fleeger (1985). Distributional changes in species composition have also been shown to occur over a vertical tidal gradient and are well established in some areas (Coull et al. 1979, Fleeger 1985).

*Scottolana canadensis* feeds at considerably higher rates during HW (under laboratory conditions). Field distributions of *S. canadensis* in Louisiana marshes reveal that it is associated with areas and times of the year when water cover predominates (HW conditions) for extended periods (Phillips & Fleeger 1985). Here, it is commonly found in intertidal marsh ponds (Chandler & Fleeger 1983, Phillips & Fleeger 1985) and subtidal areas (Fleeger et al. 1983). High abundances in intertidal ponds tend to occur during late summer and fall, when predominant south winds override lunar tidal fluctuations, and the marsh remains at HW conditions for many weeks at a time (Whipple et al. 1981). During spring, when north winds predominate and the marsh is kept at LW conditions for extended periods, *S. canadensis* is typically found at low abundances intertidally (Phillips & Fleeger 1985) with higher abundances subtidally (own obs.). *S. canadensis* has a wide geographical range (Coull 1972) and is most commonly found in shallow subtidal and low-intertidal brackish-water areas (Coull et al. 1979, Phillips & Fleeger 1985) where water cover and plankton prevail.

Field distribution of *Cletocamptus deitersi* in Louisiana extends from subtidal to high intertidal. Its ability to ingest food diatoms under laboratory conditions indicates that it can feed equally well under both subtidal (HW) and high-intertidal (mainly LW) conditions.

The distribution of *Microarthridion littorale* extends from subtidal to high intertidal in South Carolina marshes (Coull et al. 1979) comprising 2 definable subpopulations differing in size and life history patterns (Palmer 1980). Its range of distribution from subtidal to high marsh documents its ability to exist under prolonged HW and/or LW conditions. In Louisiana, however, the range of *M. littorale* is confined to the low intertidal and primarily subtidal regions of marshes (Fleeger 1985). Reasons for this somewhat restricted distribution have been postulated by Fleeger (1985) but are still unknown. *M. littorale* can consume a relatively constant amount of diatoms at HW vs LW conditions by apparently shifting its feeding mode from pelagic to benthic. However, field verification of such shifts (observed in laboratory experiments) would be especially important because other factors may play a role. Predation, time of day (day vs dusk vs night) (Bell et al. 1984), and hydrodynamics during HW (Eckman 1983, Jumars & Nowell 1984) and associated tidal resuspension (Palmer & Brandt 1981, Fleeger et al. 1984) can influence the pelagic or epibenthic behavior of some meiofauna (Palmer 1984) ultimately restricting them to the sediment. Variable food supply (Bulthuis et al. 1984, Kirchman et al. 1984, Webb & Marcotte 1984, Laanbroek & Verwulke 1986) may also influence the behavior of meiofaunal species (Chandler pers. comm.). In my studies, keeping these other factors constant, ambient water-cover alone could significantly influence the ability of some meiofauna to ingest food.

Meiofauna are not confined to the sediment (Bell
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& Sherman 1980, Hagerman & Rieger 1981, Bell et al. 1984, Fleeger et al. 1984, Jumars & Nowell 1984, Palmer 1984, and others). Consumption of, and persistence on, planktonic food in the lab indicates for some meio-benthos a potentially close coupling with the overlying plankton. More precise estimation of meio-benthic consumption effects on benthic and pelagic microbial communities in the field, and of the overall role of meio-benthos in energy flow requires species-specific grazing-rate measurements (in conjunction with species abundance and production estimates) where logistically possible. Energy flow through the meio-benthos may be underestimated (Kuipers et al. 1981, Strayer & Likens 1986); field studies measuring meio-benthic grazing rates have been few (Admiraal et al. 1983, Montagna 1984, Strayer & Likens 1986) and have been largely been confined to examining major taxa. The importance of these rate measurements in the field cannot be overstated with regard to quantifying the role of the meio-benthos as consumers and producers.

Finally, the ability of a meio-benthic species to feed during HW and LW can potentially affect energy-flow estimates of meio-benthos, depending on the portion of a tidal cycle measured. Also, the field distribution of a meio-benthos species, with respect to the total amount of time it is covered with water, should be in accordance with its ability to feed under those conditions if it is to maximize its energy intake. Field verification of these rate differences is required, as other factors—such as food availability, predation, and hydrodynamic effects—can either compound or reduce differences observed in the laboratory.
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