

12-9-2010

# A Sequel to “A Space Topologized by Functions from Omega to Omega”

Tetsuya Ishiu

*Miami University - Oxford*, [ishiut@muohio.edu](mailto:ishiut@muohio.edu)

Akira Iwasa

*University of South Carolina - Beaufort*, [iwasa@uscb.edu](mailto:iwasa@uscb.edu)

Follow this and additional works at: [https://scholarcommons.sc.edu/beaufort\\_math\\_compscience\\_facpub](https://scholarcommons.sc.edu/beaufort_math_compscience_facpub)

 Part of the [Applied Mathematics Commons](#)

## Publication Info

Preprint version *Topology Proceedings*, Volume 38, 2010, pages 309-312.

© Topology Proceedings 2011, Auburn University

This Article is brought to you by the Department of Mathematics and Computational Science at Scholar Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of Scholar Commons. For more information, please contact [dillarda@mailbox.sc.edu](mailto:dillarda@mailbox.sc.edu).

# A SEQUEL TO “A SPACE TOPOLOGIZED BY FUNCTIONS FROM $\omega$ TO $\omega$ ”

TETSUYA ISHIU AND AKIRA IWASA

ABSTRACT. We consider a topological space  $\langle X, \tau(\mathcal{F}) \rangle$ , where  $X = \{p^*\} \cup [\omega \times \omega]$  and  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq {}^\omega\omega$ . Each point in  $\omega \times \omega$  is isolated and a neighborhood of  $p^*$  has the form  $\{p^*\} \cup \{(i, j) : i \geq n, j \geq f(i)\}$  for some  $n \in \omega$  and  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ . We show that there are subsets  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  of  ${}^\omega\omega$  such that  $\mathcal{F}$  is not bounded,  $\mathcal{G}$  is bounded, yet  $\langle X, \tau(\mathcal{F}) \rangle$  and  $\langle X, \tau(\mathcal{G}) \rangle$  are homeomorphic. This answers a question of the second author [?].

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let us define a topological space  $\langle X, \tau(\mathcal{F}) \rangle$ .  ${}^\omega\omega$  denotes the set of all functions from  $\omega$  to  $\omega$ , and for  $f \in {}^\omega\omega$  and  $g \in {}^\omega\omega$ , we write  $f \leq^* g$  if  $f(n) \leq g(n)$  for all but finitely many  $n \in \omega$ . Let  $X = \{p^*\} \cup [\omega \times \omega]$ , where  $p^* \notin \omega \times \omega$ , and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a subset of  ${}^\omega\omega$  such that for any  $f_1 \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $f_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ , there exists  $f_3 \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $f_1 \leq^* f_3$  and  $f_2 \leq^* f_3$ . Each point in  $\omega \times \omega$  is isolated and a neighborhood of  $p^*$  has the form  $\{p^*\} \cup f_{\geq n}^\uparrow$  for some  $n \in \omega$  and  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ , where

$$f_{\geq n}^\uparrow = \{(i, j) : i \geq n, j \geq f(i)\}.$$

Recall that  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq {}^\omega\omega$  is said to be a *dominating* family if for every  $g \in {}^\omega\omega$  there exists an  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $g \leq^* f$ , and that  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq {}^\omega\omega$  is said to be a *bounded* family if there exists a  $g \in {}^\omega\omega$  such that for every  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $f \leq^* g$ .

In [?], the second author gave a topological characterization of the space  $\langle X, \tau(\mathcal{F}) \rangle$  when  $\mathcal{F}$  is a dominating family, and asked if there is a topological characterization of  $\langle X, \tau(\mathcal{F}) \rangle$  when  $\mathcal{F}$  is a bounded family. The purpose of this note is to answer this question negatively by constructing two families  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  such that

- (1)  $\mathcal{F}$  is not bounded in  ${}^\omega\omega$ ,
- (2)  $\mathcal{G}$  is bounded in  ${}^\omega\omega$ , and
- (3)  $\langle X, \tau(\mathcal{F}) \rangle$  and  $\langle X, \tau(\mathcal{G}) \rangle$  are homeomorphic.

---

*Date:* November 5, 2016.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 54A10.

*Key words and phrases.*  ${}^\omega\omega$ , dominating, bounded, homeomorphic.

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. 0700983.

## 2. THEOREM

In this section, we construct two spaces described in Introduction and prove that they have the required properties.

**Theorem 2.1.** *There exist subsets  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  of  ${}^\omega\omega$  such that  $\mathcal{F}$  is not bounded,  $\mathcal{G}$  is bounded, and  $\langle X, \tau(\mathcal{F}) \rangle$  and  $\langle X, \tau(\mathcal{G}) \rangle$  are homeomorphic.*

*Proof.* Let  $E = \{2n : n < \omega\}$  and  $O = \{2n + 1 : n < \omega\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the set of all  $f \in {}^\omega\omega$  such that  $f(2n) = 0$  for every  $n < \omega$ . Clearly,  $\mathcal{F}$  is a non-dominating but unbounded family.

*Claim 1.*  $Y \subseteq E \times \omega$  is  $\tau(\mathcal{F})$ -closed if and only if there exists an  $\bar{n} < \omega$  such that  $Y \subseteq \bar{n} \times \omega$ .

⊢ Clearly if  $Y \subseteq \bar{n} \times \omega$  for some  $\bar{n} < \omega$ , then  $Y$  is  $\tau(\mathcal{F})$ -closed.

Suppose  $Y \subseteq E \times \omega$  and there is no  $\bar{n} < \omega$  such that  $Y \subseteq \bar{n} \times \omega$ . Let  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $n < \omega$ . By assumption, there exists an  $\langle n', m \rangle \in Y$  such that  $n' \geq n$ . Since  $Y \subseteq E \times \omega$ , we have  $n' \in E$ . By the definition of  $\mathcal{F}$ ,  $f(n') = 0$ . So,  $\langle n', m \rangle \in f_{\geq n}^\uparrow$ . Thus,  $p^* \in \text{cl}_{\tau(\mathcal{F})}(Y)$ . Therefore,  $Y$  is not  $\tau(\mathcal{F})$ -closed.  $\dashv$  (Claim 1)

Let  $\pi : (O \times \omega) \rightarrow \omega$  be a bijection. Define  $A$  to be the set of all  $a \subseteq \omega$  such that  $\pi^{\leftarrow} a$  is  $\tau(\mathcal{F})$ -closed. For each  $a \subseteq \omega$ , define  $g_a \in {}^\omega\omega$  by

$$g_a(n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \notin a \\ 1 & \text{if } n \in a \end{cases}$$

Let  $\mathcal{G} = \{g_a : a \in A\}$ . Note that  $\mathcal{G}$  is bounded. To show that  $\mathcal{G}$  is directed, pick  $g_a$  and  $g_b$  from  $\mathcal{G}$ .  $\pi^{\leftarrow} a$  and  $\pi^{\leftarrow} b$  are  $\tau(\mathcal{F})$ -closed so  $\pi^{\leftarrow} a \cup \pi^{\leftarrow} b$  is  $\tau(\mathcal{F})$ -closed as well. Since  $\pi^{\leftarrow} a \cup \pi^{\leftarrow} b = \pi^{\leftarrow}(a \cup b)$ ,  $a \cup b \in A$ . Clearly,  $g_a \leq^* g_{a \cup b}$  and  $g_b \leq^* g_{a \cup b}$ .

We shall show that  $\langle X, \tau(\mathcal{F}) \rangle$  and  $\langle X, \tau(\mathcal{G}) \rangle$  are homeomorphic. Define a function  $\nu : X \rightarrow X$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(\langle 2n, m \rangle) &= \langle n, m + 1 \rangle \\ \nu(\langle 2n + 1, m \rangle) &= \langle \pi(2n + 1, m), 0 \rangle \\ \nu(p^*) &= p^* \end{aligned}$$

*Claim 2.*  $\nu : \langle X, \tau(\mathcal{F}) \rangle \rightarrow \langle X, \tau(\mathcal{G}) \rangle$  is a homeomorphism.

⊢

*Subclaim 2.1.* For every  $Y \subseteq \omega \times \omega$ , if  $Y$  is  $\tau(\mathcal{F})$ -closed, then  $\nu^{\rightarrow} Y$  is  $\tau(\mathcal{G})$ -closed.

⊢ Suppose that  $Y$  is  $\tau(\mathcal{F})$ -closed. Since  $\omega \times \omega$  is  $\tau(\mathcal{F})$ -discrete and  $Y \subseteq \omega \times \omega$ ,  $Y \cap (E \times \omega)$  is  $\tau(\mathcal{F})$ -closed. By Claim ??, there exists an  $\bar{n} < \omega$  such that  $Y \cap (E \times \omega) \subseteq (2\bar{n}) \times \omega$ . Then, by the definition of  $\nu$ ,  $\nu^{\rightarrow}(Y \cap (E \times \omega)) \subseteq \bar{n} \times [1, \omega)$ . Since  $\bar{n} \times [1, \omega)$  is clearly  $\tau(\mathcal{G})$ -closed and  $\omega \times \omega$  is  $\tau(\mathcal{G})$ -discrete,  $\nu^{\rightarrow}(Y \cap (E \times \omega))$  is  $\tau(\mathcal{G})$ -closed.

We shall show that  $\nu^{\rightarrow}(Y \cap (O \times \omega))$  is also  $\tau(\mathcal{G})$ -closed. Let  $a = \pi^{\rightarrow}(Y \cap (O \times \omega))$ . Then, since  $\pi^{\leftarrow}a = Y \cap (O \times \omega)$  is  $\tau(\mathcal{F})$ -closed, we have  $a \in A$ . Let  $\langle 2n+1, m \rangle \in Y \cap (O \times \omega)$ . Then,  $\nu(\langle 2n+1, m \rangle) = \langle \pi(2n+1, m), 0 \rangle$ . Note that  $\pi(2n+1, m) \in a$ . So,  $g_a(\pi(2n+1, m)) = 1 > 0$ . Hence,  $\nu^{\rightarrow}(Y \cap (O \times \omega)) \cap (g_a)_{\geq 0}^{\uparrow} = \emptyset$ . Therefore,  $\nu^{\rightarrow}(Y \cap (O \times \omega))$  is  $\tau(\mathcal{G})$ -closed.  $\dashv$  (Subclaim 2.1)

*Subclaim 2.2.* For every  $Y \subseteq \omega \times \omega$ , if  $\nu^{\rightarrow}Y$  is  $\tau(\mathcal{G})$ -closed, then  $Y$  is  $\tau(\mathcal{F})$ -closed.

$\vdash$  Suppose that  $\nu^{\rightarrow}Y$  is  $\tau(\mathcal{G})$ -closed. Since  $\nu^{\rightarrow}Y \subseteq \omega \times \omega$  and  $\omega \times \omega$  is  $\tau(\mathcal{G})$ -discrete, both  $(\nu^{\rightarrow}Y) \cap (\omega \times [1, \omega))$  and  $(\nu^{\rightarrow}Y) \cap (\omega \times \{0\})$  are  $\tau(\mathcal{G})$ -closed.

Since  $(\nu^{\rightarrow}Y) \cap (\omega \times [1, \omega))$  is  $\tau(\mathcal{G})$ -closed and for every  $g \in \mathcal{G}$  and  $n < \omega$ ,  $g(n) \leq 1$ , by a similar argument as Claim ??, there exists an  $\bar{n} < \omega$  such that  $(\nu^{\rightarrow}Y) \cap (\omega \times [1, \omega)) \subseteq \bar{n} \times [1, \omega)$ . By the definition of  $\nu$ , it follows that  $Y \cap (E \times \omega) \subseteq (2\bar{n}) \times \omega$ . Thus,  $Y \cap (E \times \omega)$  is  $\tau(\mathcal{F})$ -closed.

Since  $(\nu^{\rightarrow}Y) \cap (\omega \times \{0\})$  is  $\tau(\mathcal{G})$ -closed, there exist  $a \in A$  and  $\bar{n} < \omega$  such that  $(\nu^{\rightarrow}Y) \cap (\omega \times \{0\}) \cap (g_a)_{\geq \bar{n}}^{\uparrow} = \emptyset$ . Note that  $(\nu^{\rightarrow}Y) \cap (\omega \times \{0\}) = \nu^{\rightarrow}(Y \cap (O \times \omega))$ . Let  $Y'$  be the set of all  $\langle 2n+1, m \rangle \in Y \cap (O \times \omega)$  such that  $\pi(2n+1, m) \geq \bar{n}$ . Since  $\pi$  is a bijection, there are only finitely many elements  $\langle 2n+1, m \rangle \in Y \cap (O \times \omega)$  such that  $\pi(2n+1, m) < \bar{n}$ . Hence,  $(Y \cap (O \times \omega)) \setminus Y'$  is finite. So, in order to show that  $(Y \cap (O \times \omega))$  is  $\tau(\mathcal{F})$ -closed, it suffices to show that  $Y'$  is  $\tau(\mathcal{F})$ -closed. To this end, it suffices to show that  $Y' \subseteq \pi^{\leftarrow}a$  since  $\pi^{\leftarrow}a$  is  $\tau(\mathcal{F})$ -closed and  $\omega \times \omega$  is  $\tau(\mathcal{F})$ -discrete. Let  $\langle 2n+1, m \rangle \in Y'$ . Then,  $\nu(\langle 2n+1, m \rangle) = \langle \pi(2n+1, m), 0 \rangle$ . Since  $\langle \pi(2n+1, m), 0 \rangle \notin (g_a)_{\geq \bar{n}}^{\uparrow}$  and  $\pi(2n+1, m) \geq \bar{n}$ , we have  $g_a(\pi(2n+1, m)) \geq 1$ . It follows that  $\pi(2n+1, m) \in a$ . So,  $\langle 2n+1, m \rangle \in \pi^{\leftarrow}a$ .  $\dashv$  (Subclaim 2.2)

By these two subclaims, for every  $Y \subseteq \omega \times \omega$ ,  $Y$  is  $\tau(\mathcal{F})$ -closed if and only if  $\nu^{\rightarrow}Y$  is  $\tau(\mathcal{G})$ -closed. Therefore, by taking complements, for every  $Z \subseteq X$  with  $p^* \in Z$ ,  $Z$  is  $\tau(\mathcal{F})$ -open if and only if  $\nu^{\rightarrow}Z$  is  $\tau(\mathcal{G})$ -open. If  $Z \subseteq \omega \times \omega$ , then  $Z$  is  $\tau(\mathcal{F})$ -open and  $\nu^{\rightarrow}Z$  is  $\tau(\mathcal{G})$ -open because each point in  $\omega \times \omega$  is isolated in both topologies. This shows that  $\nu$  is a homeomorphism.

$\dashv$  (Claim 2)

$\square$ (Theorem 2.1)

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Iwasa, *A space topologized by functions from  $\omega$  to  $\omega$* , Top. Proc. **34** (2009), pp. 161–166.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MIAMI UNIVERSITY, OXFORD, OH 45056, USA  
*E-mail address:* [ishiut@muohio.edu](mailto:ishiut@muohio.edu)

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA BEAUFORT, ONE UNIVERSITY BOULEVARD, BLUFFTON,  
SC 29909, USA  
*E-mail address:* [iwasa@uscb.edu](mailto:iwasa@uscb.edu)