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Dynamics of spin relaxation in finite-size two-dimensional systems: An exact solution
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We find an exact solution for the problem of electron spin relaxation in a two-dimensional (2D) circle with Rashba spin–orbit interaction. Our analysis shows that the spin relaxation in finite-size regions involves three stages and is described by multiple spin relaxation times. It is important that the longest spin relaxation time increases with the decrease in system radius but always remains finite. Therefore, at long times, the spin polarization in small 2D systems decays exponentially with a size-dependent rate. This prediction is supported by results of Monte Carlo simulations.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The D'yakonov-Perel' spin relaxation mechanism in two-dimensional (2D) systems has attracted wide attention because of its fundamental importance for the field of spintronics. However, the spin relaxation in systems with boundaries is even more important because boundaries are naturally present in all electronic devices. There are only several examples in the literature where the influence of boundary conditions on D’yakonov-Perel’ spin relaxation have been explored theoretically and/or experimentally. These examples include investigations of spin relaxation in 2D channels, 2D half-space, 2D systems with antidots, large quantum dots, and one-dimensional (1D) finite-length wires. Both available experimental and theoretical results indicate that, typically in the diffusive spin transport regime, the electron spin life time is longer in systems with boundaries.

In this paper we find an exact solution for the problem of electron spin relaxation in finite-size 2D systems. Specifically, we consider the dynamics of electron spin relaxation in a 2D circle made of a semiconductor structure with Rashba-type spin–orbit interaction. One may think that in small systems the spin relaxation is incomplete as the spin precession angle across the system is small. However, in such a situation, the different effect plays a role: the noncommutativity of spin rotations. Because of this effect, the electron spin precession angle can largely exceed the maximum rotation angle allowed by naive geometrical considerations. The best of our knowledge, the spin relaxation in small systems was investigated previously only in Ref. 25. This previous study provides only an asymptotic value of the spin relaxation time without giving details on how the whole process of spin relaxation occurs. In the present paper, we show that the spin relaxation process in finite-size systems is intrinsically complex. The exact solution of this fundamental problem involves an infinite number of spin relaxation constants. At long times, however, only the slowest decaying component survives and the spin polarization exhibits a slow size-dependent exponential decay. Our exact analytical solution is obtained using the Laplace transform and is confirmed by Monte Carlo simulations of spin dynamics. This work thus provides an important missing part of spin relaxation theory.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we introduce a set of diffusive equations describing evolution of spin polarization supplemented by appropriate boundary conditions. The solution of these equations for the case of spin relaxation in a circle is found analytically using the Laplace transform method. The main result of our work is given by Eqs. (22) and (23) describing the time and space dependence of spin polarization components. Section III presents numerical Monte Carlo simulations of spin dynamics that are in an excellent agreement with our analytical results. Section IV summarizes the results and conclusions of the paper.

II. ANALYTICAL APPROACH

A. Spin drift-diffusion equations

Let us consider the dynamics of electron spin polarization in a finite-size 2D electron system such as the circle shown in Fig. 1. The standard electron Hamiltonian with the Rashba spin–orbit interaction term is given by

$$\hat{H} = \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m} + \alpha (\hat{\sigma} \times \hat{p}) \cdot \mathbf{z},$$

(1)

where $\hat{p} = (\hat{p}_x, \hat{p}_y)$ is the 2D electron momentum operator, $m$ is the effective electron’s mass, $\hat{\sigma}$ is the Pauli-matrix vector, $\alpha$ is the spin-orbit coupling constant, and $\mathbf{z}$ is a unit vector perpendicular to the confinement plane. The set of diffusion equations for spin polarization can be written as

$$\frac{1}{D} \frac{\partial S_m}{\partial t} = \Delta S_m + 2\eta \nabla S_z - \eta^2 S_m,$$

(2)

$$\frac{1}{D} \frac{\partial S_z}{\partial t} = \Delta S_z - 2\eta \nabla S_m - 2\eta^2 S_z,$$

(3)

where $S_m$ and $S_z$ are the in-plane and z components of spin polarization, respectively, $D = l^2/(2\tau)$ is the diffusion coefficient, $l$ is the mean free path, $\tau$ is the momentum relaxation time, and $\eta = 2\alpha \hbar m^{-1}$ is the spin rotation angle per unit length (spin rotations are induced by the Rashba spin–orbit interaction). The applicability limits of Eqs. (2) and (3) are $\lambda \ll l \ll a$ and $l \ll \eta^{-1}$, where $\lambda$ is the electron’s de Broglie wavelength, and $a$ is the characteristic system size (in the context of this work, $a$ is the radius of the circle). We also note that Eqs. (2) and (3) are valid for any value of $a\eta$. 
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in-plane and tangential vectors to the boundary, correspondingly.

Equations (2) and (3) are supplemented by the standard boundary conditions for spin polarization components

$$\left( \frac{\partial S_n}{\partial n} + \eta S_z \right)_{\Gamma} = 0, \quad \left( \frac{\partial S_z}{\partial n} - \eta S_n \right)_{\Gamma} = 0, \quad \left( \frac{\partial S_\gamma}{\partial n} \right)_{\Gamma} = 0,$$  

(4)

where $n$ and $\gamma$ are the normal in-plane and tangential vectors to the boundary $\Gamma$, respectively. For clarity sake, Fig. 1 illustrates the directions of these vectors at an arbitrary point of the boundary. Physically, the boundary conditions given by Eqs. (4) describe a spin-conserving scattering of electrons from the system boundary. We note that the first two equations of Eqs. (4) are different from the standard zero-flux boundary conditions (e.g., for the heat equation). This is related to the Rashba spin-orbit interaction causing spin rotations. It is clearly shown that the form of the usual von Neumann boundary conditions is restored in the limit of $\eta = 0$. We refer to Ref. 30 for more details about boundary conditions in systems with spin-orbit interaction.

Next, we would like to reduce the set of Eqs. (2) and (3) to a single equation for $S_z$. Introducing $u = \nabla \cdot S_n$ and $v = (\nabla \times S_n)_x$, Eqs. (2) and (3) can be rewritten as

$$u_r = \Delta u + 2\Delta S_z - u,$$  

(5)

$$\left( S_z \right)_r = \Delta S_z - 2S_z - 2u,$$  

(6)

$$v_r = \Delta v - v.$$  

(7)

Here, $\Delta$ is the 2D Laplace operator, the time is measured in the units of $t_i = (D\eta^2)^{-1}$, and the coordinates are measured in the units of $\eta^{-1}$. Such a convention is used below if not stated otherwise. Combining Eqs. (5) and (6) we readily get

$$\left( S_z \right)_{tt} - 2\Delta \left( S_z \right)_t + 3\left( S_z \right)_t + \Delta^2 S_z + \Delta S_z + 2S_z = 0.$$  

(8)

### B. Analytical solution

The Laplace transform of Eq. (8) is given by

$$\Delta^2 \tilde{S}_z + (1 - 2p)\Delta \tilde{S}_z + (p^2 + 3p + 2)\tilde{S}_z = (p + 1)\tilde{S}_z |_{r = 0} - \Delta \tilde{S}_z |_{r = 0} - 2u |_{r = 0},$$  

(9)

where $\tilde{S}_z$ is the Laplace transform of $S_z$ to the complex $p$ domain. In the above equation, the time derivative of $S_z$ at $t = 0$ was substituted from Eq. (6).

In what follows we consider the relaxation of homogeneous spin polarization pointing in the $z$ direction perpendicular to the plane of the 2D circle (see Fig. 1). Taking into account the axial symmetry of the problem, the initial and boundary conditions read

$$u(r, t = 0) = \frac{\partial [r S_z(r, t = 0)]}{\partial r} = 0, \quad S_z(r, t = 0) = S_0,$$  

(10)

$$\left( \frac{\partial S_z}{\partial r} + S_z \right) |_{r = a} = 0, \quad \left( \frac{\partial S_z}{\partial r} - S_z \right) |_{r = a} = 0.$$  

(11)

We note that the function $v = \partial [r S_z]/(\partial r)$ is safely taken out of the consideration since the solution $v(r, t) = 0$ satisfies Eq. (7) with the boundary condition $\partial S_z/\partial r |_{r = a} = 0$ and the initial condition $v(r, 0) = 0$. Applying the initial conditions (10), Eq. (9) simplifies to

$$\Delta^2 \tilde{S}_z + (1 - 2p)\Delta \tilde{S}_z + (p^2 + 3p + 2)\tilde{S}_z = (p + 1)\tilde{S}_0.$$  

(12)

The general solution of Eq. (12) can be found by the factorization of its left-hand side and be presented as

$$\tilde{S}_z(r) = A_1 J_0(k_1r) + A_2 J_0(k_2r) + B_1 N_0(k_1r)$$

$$+ B_2 N_0(k_2r) + \frac{S_0}{p + 2},$$  

(13)

where $A_{1,2}, B_{1,2}$ are arbitrary constants, $J_0(x)$ and $N_0(x)$ are the zeroth order Bessel and Neumann functions, respectively, and

$$k_{1,2}^2 = -p + \frac{1}{2} \pm 2i \sqrt{p - \frac{7}{16}}.$$  

(14)

In the case of the circle, $B_{1,2} = 0$ since $N_0(x)$ diverges as $x \to 0$. Moreover, the actual choice of two branches corresponding to $\pm$ in Eq. (14) is not essential. We may make a branch cut along the line $p < -7/16$, $\text{Im}(p) = 0$ in the plane of complex $p$ and define two branches by the conditions $k_{1,2}^2(p) = (1 \pm i\sqrt{7})/2$.

Although the radial component of spin polarization equals zero at $t = 0$, it becomes different than zero at $t > 0$ similarly to the case of spin relaxation in rings. 33 With the help of the Laplace transform of Eq. (6), we express $\tilde{S}_z(r)$ through $\tilde{S}_z(r)$ as

$$\tilde{S}_z(r) = \frac{1}{r} \int_0^r d\xi \tilde{S}_z(\xi) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \tilde{S}_z(r)}{\partial r} - \frac{p + 2}{2r} \int_0^r d\xi \tilde{S}_z(\xi)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2r} \int_0^r d\xi \frac{\partial \tilde{S}_z(\xi)}{\partial t} |_{t = 0}.$$  

(15)

The boundary conditions (11) are used to find the values of $A_{1,2}$ in Eq. (13). For this purpose, we Laplace transform Eqs. (11) and employ Eq. (15) to find

$$\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{S}_z(a)}{\partial r^2} + \frac{p + 2}{a^2} \int_0^a drr \tilde{S}_z(r) - p \tilde{S}_z(a)$$

$$= \frac{1}{a^2} \int_0^a drr S_z(r, t = 0) - S_z(a, t = 0),$$  

(16)
It is important to note that the right-hand sides of Eqs. (18) and (19) over small values of $a\eta$ are analytically scattered from system boundaries. Generally, all obtained Monte Carlo simulation results are in perfect quantitative agreement with our analytical predictions thus confirming DYNAMICS OF SPIN RELAXATION IN FINITE-SIZE...
our analytical theory of spin relaxation in finite-size systems presented in the above section. A comparison of selected analytical and numerical curves is given in Fig. 4.

IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

Basically, the whole process of homogeneous spin relaxation in 2D circles described by Eqs. (22) and (23) can be separated into three main stages. This separation is appropriate for the spin relaxation in the central part of the circle at \( a_\eta \lesssim 3 \), when, as it follows from Fig. 2, the \( p_0 \) pole is well separated from all other poles. The first (initial) stage of spin relaxation takes place at \( t \lesssim a^2/(16D) \), where \( a^2/(4D) \) is the time it takes for an electron to diffusively propagate over a distance \( a \). During this stage, most of the electrons in the circle’s center still do not “know about” the presence of the boundary and, therefore, the spin relaxation occurs essentially as in the bulk (according to the standard 2D D’yakonov-Perel’ spin relaxation theory). The existence of the first stage of spin relaxation is intuitively clear and well seen in Fig. 4. However, it is difficult to show analytically that Eqs. (22) and (23) reduce to a single exponential at short times since many terms in the sums of Eqs. (22) and (23) play a role during this stage.

In the second stage of spin relaxation, when \( a^2/(16D) \lesssim t \lesssim 4/(|p_1|D\eta^2) \), several exponentially decaying terms play the main role in Eqs. (22) and (23). During this stage, a slow-decaying spin polarization profile is established. In such a profile, \( |S_r| \) increases with \( r \) as we demonstrate in Fig. 3. Figure 4 shows that at \( a_\eta = 1 \) the second stage of spin relaxation is relatively short. For this combination of parameters, the initial fast bulk-type decay of spin polarization (first stage) monotonically transforms into the long-time slow spin polarization decay (third stage). When \( a_\eta = 2 \), the second stage of spin relaxation is longer and exhibits a local maxima of \( S_r \). It is known that the electron spin polarization relaxes slower near sample boundaries than in the bulk.\(^{23} \) Therefore, the local maxima of spin polarization can be associated with diffusion of stronger spin polarization from the boundary regions to the circle’s center.

The third stage of spin relaxation is a slow single-exponential decay of the spin polarization profile established during the second stage. This process occurs at long times, namely, when \( 4/(|p_1|D\eta^2) \lesssim t \). The analytical expressions describing the shape of the slow-decaying spin polarization profile can be easily derived from Eqs. (22) and (23) in the long-time limit when only \( p_0 \) exponential term is important. Explicitly, in the third stage of spin relaxation process, Eqs. (22) and (23) can be reduced to

\[
S_r(t) = A(r)e^{-|p_1|D\eta^2t},
\]

\[
S_r(t) = B(r)e^{-|p_0|D\eta^2t}.
\]

Here, the functions \( A(r) \) and \( B(r) \) describe the radial dependence of spin polarization components; their forms can be straightforwardly inferred from Eqs. (22) and (23). The value of \( p_0 \) is determined from Eq. (19). Asymptotically, \( p_0 \) is given by Eq. (21). All three stages of spin relaxation can be easily distinguished in Fig. 4.

In summary, we have found an analytical solution for the problem of electron spin relaxation in the circle in the diffusive electron transport regime. It is shown that a small but nonvanishing spin relaxation exists even in small systems at long times. Consequently, it is not possible to completely eliminate the electron spin relaxation by reducing the system size (remaining in the diffusive transport regime), although the relaxation rate is dramatically suppressed in small-size systems. Basically, the spin relaxation process can be separated into three stages including an initial region of fast bulk-type relaxation, a transition region where the relaxation is described by a combination of several exponentially decaying functions and a region of slow exponential decay at long times. Our results can be easily verified experimentally.