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Abstract

Objective: To develop and test a food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) for use in rural areas of Kerala, India.

Design: Based on food use and market surveys of the study area, a quantitative 81-item interviewer-administered FFQ was developed. A validation study was conducted consisting of 24-h diet recalls (24HR) administered on 8 days randomly selected over an entire year and two administrations of the FFQ, one at the beginning of the 1-year period and the other at the end. FFQ and 24HR-derived nutrient scores were compared using correlation and regression analyses and by examining differences in the nutrient scores.

Setting: Rural villages in Ernakulam district, Kerala, South India.

Subjects: In each of 30 households, the male head of household and female food preparer were enrolled.

Results: Pearson (parametric) correlation coefficients (r_p) averaged about 0.50 in comparing nutrient scores derived from the 24HR with those from the first FFQ and about 0.55 in comparing the second FFQ. On average, Spearman correlation coefficients (r_s) were slightly lower than the r_p in comparing the scores derived from the first FFQ, but virtually identical for the second FFQ. Regression analyses indicated better agreement in the comparison of the 24HR-derived scores with the first FFQ than the second FFQ. Difference scores, however, tended to be larger in comparing the first FFQ scores with the 24HR.

Conclusions: This FFQ produces results broadly comparable to those used in Europe and North America, indicating its suitability for comparing exposures within a study population in reference to health-related endpoints.

The food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) has become the method of choice for dietary assessment in most large-scale epidemiological studies¹. Despite limitations of structured questionnaires of this type²,³, a major advantage of the FFQ is its feasibility for establishing long-term habitual dietary intake¹. Thus it was the method of choice for examining the role of nutritional factors in oral precancer, in India.

Although various FFQs are used widely in epidemiological studies in the West¹,⁴,⁵, none have been developed and tested in India. Using an FFQ for collecting dietary data in India was especially attractive due to: relatively large inter-relative to intra- (mainly day-to-day) person variability⁴; a shorter questionnaire (under 100 food items) could make querying respondents easier⁷,⁸; and smaller chance of response bias due to prior knowledge of diet–disease relationships. Also, in a separate study it was established that rural Indians were more accurate in estimating weights and volumes of food items than were more highly educated individuals in the West⁹.

In observational epidemiological studies of diet or nutrition reported from India, the dietary assessment has consisted of check lists for different foods and food categories¹⁰–¹². Thus far, no epidemiological study employing a validated FFQ has been reported from India. Therefore, to achieve the goal of nutrient estimation, the first task was to develop and test an FFQ appropriate to the target population. As part of the on-going study of oral cancer and precancer¹³, this
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study was conducted in the same three areas of India: Ernakulam district in Kerala; Srikakulam district in Andhra Pradesh; and Bhavnagar district in Gujarat. There being major differences in foods eaten in different parts of India, it was necessary to develop a separate FFQ for each region. This article describes the development of the FFQ in Ernakulam district, Kerala state, for a variety of nutrients that plausibly could be related to oral cancer and precancer and presents results of a validation study to test the FFQ.

**Methods**

A considerable amount of relevant prior information was available for developing and testing an FFQ. This consisted of a database with 592 food items commonly consumed in India including almost all food items consumed in the target area. It provided values of 30 nutrients including macronutrients and some micronutrients. In addition, we had access to a report on a number of diet surveys.

As the first step in developing the FFQ, food lists were prepared by interviewing 201 individuals representing the major groups in the study area regarding the food items typically consumed, including ingredients of prepared items, condiments and spices. Cross-checking these food lists with the nutrient database, it was confirmed that the list of food items accounted for >95% of the intake of the target nutrients (β-carotene, ascorbic acid, thiamine, riboflavin, calcium, zinc, copper, iron and sodium). This was based on the concentrations of nutrients in the named food items and the rate at which they were eaten, based on our survey data.

A prototype FFQ developed from this food list was pilot-tested by visiting family units representative of the target population. The objective was to determine completeness of the list and to collect recipes for prepared items included in the FFQ. In reviewing the recipe data, relatively large differences in the concentration of ingredients and, concomitantly, nutrients in the recipe content of certain preparations were observed. For example, in 100 g of prepared pulse curries the concentration of zinc ranged from 0.30 to 1.15 mg. For iron, the range was 1.39–3.41 mg and for copper 0.13–0.60 mg. To some extent, these differences appeared to be associated with religion and economic status, as might be expected. For example, the β-carotene content per 100 g of prepared sambar, a spicy lentil soup dish typically consumed throughout southern India, was 157 μg in lower economic status Christians and 270 μg in upper economic status Christians. The zinc concentration per 100 g of sambar was 0.88 mg in Muslims and 1.49 mg in Christians. Therefore, for final construction of the recipe database for the FFQ, representative ingredients and method of preparation, and they represented similar dietary choices. Therefore, these items were further categorized according to the main ingredients and assigned subcodes. There were 119 subcodes for these 29 items. An individual was asked to respond only to these 29 items on the FFQ, and not to specific subcoded items. When nutrient scores were computed from the responses to these 29 items they were weighted by the population average proportional consumption of the subcoded foods.

For each food item on the FFQ, the average frequency of consumption over the past year and the normal portion size typically eaten by the respondent was ascertained. For items that were available only seasonally, such as fruits and certain vegetables, the frequency of consumption was recorded only for the season in which it was consumed. This obviated the need for the respondent or the interviewer to undertake the tedious and error-prone exercise of computing the yearly average intake for seasonal items.

During the year of the validation study, data were collected to establish seasonal availability of food items and to standardize weight equivalence for volume, diameter size and piece designations. For a seasonally available item, the proportion of the year that it was available became a multiplier in the algorithm for computing nutrient scores.

Portion size estimation was undertaken using volume measures, circular measures, numbers and linear measures. A set of 12 metal vessels (Fig. 1) ranging in size from 1547 to 32 cm³ was used as an aid to estimating volumes. In addition, two spoons were used to estimate very small quantities (13 and 8 cm³). Similar vessels were reported to have been used in...
earlier studies\textsuperscript{17}. For approximately circular items such as chappati or dosai, a set of ten circular models was developed ranging from 24.0 to 3.7 cm in diameter. Some items such as bread slices and salty snacks were recorded as integer multiples of standard portion sizes. Most fruits were recorded in three sizes: small, medium or large. Items such as fried fish were recorded in a single linear measure. Volumetric estimation was based on the three-dimensional measurements associated with the food’s length or surface area as ascertained in surveys of local food vendors and eating establishments.

In the study region, 30 households were selected to represent the nine specific religious-economic classes. In each household, the male head of household and female food preparer were enrolled. To be eligible, the male had to be a tobacco user (because that was the target group for the epidemiological study in which the FFQ was to be used) and both the male and female had to have no dietary restrictions, be\textit{ comus mentis}, be permanent residents of the area and be available over the entire next year for interviewing (no absences planned). The FFQ was administered twice, once at the beginning and again at the end of the 1-year validation study period.

\textbf{The 24-h diet recall interview}

Normally, nutrient intakes estimated from an FFQ are compared to those derived from multiple days of food diaries or 24-h diet recall interviews (24HR)\textsuperscript{18}. Because a part of the study population was illiterate, keeping food diaries was not an available option. Therefore, we chose the 24HR, which a number of studies also have found to produce the lowest overall variance\textsuperscript{19-21}, as the reference standard for validating this FFQ.

The 24HR was an open-ended, prompted interview conducted by a qualified research dietitian. The reference period for the 24HR was the day prior to the day of the interview. The 24HRs were administered on 8 randomly selected days, but avoiding days immediately following important religious and social festivals. To account for variations in diet, intake days were selected to represent the three main seasons of the year and weekdays as well as weekends. Interviews were conducted so as to take the respondent through the recall process in direct chronological order from the first food encounter of the day to the last.

When the respondent was a female and the food was a home-made item, then recipe information was collected from her. If the selected female respondent to the 24HR had not prepared the food, the recipe was obtained from the person in the same household who had prepared the food. Thus, recipe information was collected for each food item reportedly consumed in each of eight 24HR, even if the food item was prepared more than once. When a respondent was not able to identify a prepared food item by name, only the main ingredients and the type of preparation (e.g. curry or dry) were identified. An appropriate name was then assigned to the preparation by the interviewer. An attempt was made to alter the order of interviewing the male and female within a household during successive administrations of the 24HR.

In all instances, the interview was held only with the interviewee, with no one else present. In Kerala, houses typically are sited on moderate to large compounds separated from each other by man-made barriers or natural ones consisting of coconut palm and other types of trees. Perhaps owing to a high literacy rate and other unique attributes of the social structure\textsuperscript{22,23}, there tends to be an orderly environment for interviewing. Even in lower economic classes, where spacious compounds are not the rule, it is easier to control the interview than it might be in other parts of India. Once the dietitian explained the purpose of the study and the need to conduct the interview in private, there was complete cooperation from family members and neighbours.
**Computing nutrient scores**

Computation of nutrient scores on the basis of food items consumed required recipes with a listing of ingredients and the quantities used. As stated earlier, there could be large differences in relative quantities of ingredients for the same food item. Therefore, recipes were categorized according to each of three religious and socioeconomic groups. To obtain good representation, the target was to obtain three recipes from each of the nine religious and socioeconomic groups. Some food items were rarely consumed by certain groups, resulting in fewer than three recipes per group. There were on the average 23, and not 27, recipes for each food item. In total, there were 1508 recipes in the recipe database, each recipe containing on average seven ingredients.

For all items listed as raw ingredients in the recipe records, the edible portion of each was weighed separately on a balance whose minimum capacity was 5 g. To minimize errors cup measures in excess of 200 g were used. Thus, the weight equivalences of all ingredients estimated as volumes were established and, from this, the proportional weight of each raw ingredient in each preparation was derived. Estimates were made of the weight and volume of prepared foods to take into account changes in the water content, and therefore their nutrient concentrations, as a consequence of cooking; e.g. rice would tend to absorb a large quantity of water while succulent vegetables would tend to lose water. For items reported in circular sizes, three samples of the size (diameter) typically eaten were weighed and the average taken. For items reported in numbers, the weight of the item was averaged, again from three samples. For fruits, equivalent gram weights were computed from either cup measures or relative sizes based on the standard definitions of small, medium or large.

To derive nutrient data from the 24HR, the gram weight equivalent estimated from portion size information was multiplied directly by the nutrient values in the nutrient database. For computing nutrient scores from prepared items on the 24HR, the procedure was to use, in order of availability: (1) the recipe corresponding to the household; (2) the average recipe for the religion-economic class groups; or (3) the average recipe for the entire study population if the item was prepared outside the home. As for whole foods, food items comprising a recipe were multiplied directly by the corresponding nutrient value in the nutrient database.

Computing nutrient scores from the FFQ was more complex, since several recipes could be available for a single food item. Therefore the most appropriate recipe according to socioreligious classification was used. If a recipe for an appropriate socioeconomic category was not available, then the average recipe for the validation study population was used. For 36 items representing a single type of prepared dish, i.e. having no subcodes, the simple arithmetic average of the recipes was used. For items representing several types of dishes varying on their main ingredient, i.e. those having subcodes, recipes were weighted by the rate that foods comprising the subcode were consumed in the target population. The recipes formed the main database for linking the foods listed on the FFQ to the nutrients listed in the National Institute of Nutrition database. Appropriate adjustments were made for seasonally available food items and for specific ingredients in a recipe.

**Statistical methods**

Simple univariate statistics of nutrient scores derived from both the multiple 24HR and the FFQ were computed to identify out-of-range responses and to examine adherence in testing the statistical assumptions involved in testing concordance between the two sets of nutrient scores. Pearson (parametric) and Spearman (rank order) correlation coefficients were computed, as is the standard practice in studies of dietary assessment methods. De-attenuation of the correlation coefficients was done for correcting within-person variability by using standard methods. Due to the fact that women and men were sampled in pairs, the intraclass correlation coefficient was computed by household to determine to what extent their responses were correlated.

Because correlation may not be adequate for representing agreement across methods, the differences between individuals’ FFQ and 24HR-derived nutrient scores also were examined, as has been suggested previously. Linear regression was also used to assess the agreement between the FFQ-derived nutrient scores and arithmetic average of those obtained from the 8 days of 24HR. One specific hypothesis was to test whether the slope of the regression line was 1.0 (indicating a perfect agreement between the nutrient scores derived from the two methods).

**Results**

No data collected were deemed to represent obviously erroneous values. Therefore, all data shown and discussed here include every response from each individual enrolled in the study. Descriptive statistics of the study population are shown in Table 1. Nutrition data shown are based on the average of the 8 days of 24HR. In general, results of the descriptive analyses are what we would expect, with a few exceptions. Individuals in this group, especially women, were very well educated by Indian standards, though of average educational attainment for Kerala. Women consumed
Table 1 Descriptive data for the Food Frequency Questionnaire Validation Study, Ernakulam District, Kerala, India, 1993–94

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Males</th>
<th>Females</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24-29</td>
<td>52.6 (10.9)</td>
<td>43.2 (13.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Education**

- Illiterate: 10.0% (M), 23.3% (F)
- Primary: 33.3% (M), 16.7% (F)
- Middle: 20.0% (M), 10.0% (F)
- High: 26.7% (M), 40.0% (F)
- College: 10.0% (M), 10.0% (F)

**Occupation**

- Business/professional: 6.7 (M), – (F)
- Farming/merchandise: 36.7 (M), 3.3 (F)
- Skilled labour: 16.7 (M), – (F)
- Secretarial/clerical: – (M), 3.3 (F)
- Unskilled/self employed: 20.0 (M), 10.0 (F)
- Householder: 20.0 (M), 83.3 (F)

**Nutritional variables**

- Total energy (kcal day⁻¹): 2488 (613) (M), 1940 (552) (F)
- Total fat (g day⁻¹): 61.9 (16.8) (M), 42.6 (17.9) (F)
- Fibre (g day⁻¹): 8.6 (3.2) (M), 7.4 (3.3) (F)
- Iron (mg day⁻¹): 15.3 (4.4) (M), 11.8 (4.0) (F)
- Sodium (mg day⁻¹): 249 (106) (M), 136 (77) (F)
- Copper (mg day⁻¹): 1.22 (0.48) (M), 0.98 (0.39) (F)
- Zinc (mg day⁻¹): 6.28 (2.25) (M), 5.24 (1.96) (F)
- Calcium (mg day⁻¹): 843 (252) (M), 519 (183) (F)
- Ascorbic acid (mg day⁻¹): 58.3 (24.1) (M), 51.1 (28.2) (F)
- β-carotene (µg day⁻¹): 1094 (401) (M), 695 (413) (F)
- Thiamine (mg day⁻¹): 1.45 (0.49) (M), 1.12 (0.40) (F)
- Riboflavin (mg day⁻¹): 1.06 (0.35) (M), 0.67 (0.25) (F)

*Values are mean and standard deviation in parentheses. Values are percentage of sample with that attribute. Nutritional variables are based on the average of 8 days of 24HR diet recalls.

†The distribution of vitamin C and β-carotene are non-normal.

Table 2 Difference scores – Food Frequency Questionnaire Validation Study, Ernakulam District, Kerala, India, 1993–94

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nutritional variables</th>
<th>Baseline FFQ</th>
<th>1-year FFQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total energy (kcal day⁻¹)</strong></td>
<td>Δ 24HR</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24HR FFQ*</td>
<td>726</td>
<td>904</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat (% energy)</td>
<td>-8.9</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibre (g day⁻¹)</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron (mg day⁻¹)</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium (mg day⁻¹)</td>
<td>-2.7</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper (mg day⁻¹)</td>
<td>-51</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc (mg day⁻¹)</td>
<td>-0.36</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium (mg day⁻¹)</td>
<td>-2.29</td>
<td>3.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ascorbic acid (mg day⁻¹)</td>
<td>-96</td>
<td>299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>β-carotene (µg day⁻¹)</strong></td>
<td>-0.33</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Thiamine (mg day⁻¹)</strong></td>
<td>-0.80</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Riboflavin (mg day⁻¹)</strong></td>
<td>-0.49</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The average difference obtained by subtracting each individual's FFQ-derived score from the average of that person's 24HR.

†Based on log-transformed values of the nutrient.

P-value based on the paired-test, essentially testing if the difference is equal to 0 (zero).

Diets with lower overall energy content (about 78% that of men), as is the norm. Consumption of most nutrients was roughly proportional to the ratio of energy intake among men and women except for β-carotene, sodium and calcium, the reported intakes of which were much lower in women. Intake of iron was moderately high while that of fibre was low. It appears that both of these findings can be traced to the same sources, high intake of rice and rice products (accounting for 3.2 mg day⁻¹); meat (2.6 mg) and dairy products (1.0 mg). The total intake of foods in these categories represented exactly half of total average iron intake but contributed just about nothing to intake of dietary fibre.

Difference scores, obtained by subtracting the FFQ-derived scores from the 24HR-derived score are shown in Table 2. In general, these were much smaller when comparing nutrient scores derived from the 24HR with those from 1-year FFQ than with those derived from the baseline FFQ.

Table 3 shows the Pearson product moment (parametric) and Spearman rank order correlation coefficients. The coefficients shown are without de-attenuation. With 8 days of 24HR per subject, the de-attenuated coefficient was only slightly larger than the Pearson correlation coefficient shown (usually under 0.02 larger). The Spearman correlation coefficient was larger than the Pearson correlation coefficient about half of the time, indicating that the FFQ was about as good at ranking individuals relative to the 24HR as it was in providing simple linear agreement. There was no clear pattern evident regarding the temporal ordering of the FFQ (baseline versus 1-year), although Spearman correlation coefficients appeared more similar to Pearson product moment correlation coefficients in the 1-year FFQ comparisons. Analyses stratified by...
gender revealed no clear pattern by sex (results not shown in table form); about half the time the coefficient was closer to 1.0 for men. The intraclass correlation coefficient by household averaged 0.36 for the baseline FFQ, 0.26 for the 1-year FFQ and 0.58 for the average of the eight 24HR.

Table 3 also shows the results of the linear regression model obtained by regressing nutrient scores derived from the FFQ at baseline and the FFQ after 1 year with those derived from the eight 24HR. As for the correlation analyses, there was no clear pattern by gender.

**Discussion**

This article represents the first description of an FFQ developed and tested in India. The purpose of the FFQ is to provide quantitative assessment of nutrient exposures for use in an epidemiological study. In designing the dietary assessment methodology for this study of diet and oral precancerous lesions in India, it was hypothesized that the FFQ would be most appropriate for this purpose. Compared to our studies in the USA, relatively few foods constitute the vast majority of total dietary intake. The sequelae might be relatively little bias in recall due to cognitive or memory-related problems. Previously we found that subjects in this population appeared to be skilled or memory-related problem. Previously we found that subjects in this population appeared to be skilled

### Table 3 Results of correlation and regression analyses – Food Frequency Questionnaire Validation Study, Ernakulam District, Kerala, India, 1993–94*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nutritional variables</th>
<th>Correlation</th>
<th>Regression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pre</td>
<td>Post</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total energy (kcal day⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.44 (0.59)</td>
<td>0.62 (0.54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total fat (g day⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.43 (0.46)</td>
<td>0.24 (0.32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat (% energy)</td>
<td>0.35 (0.35)</td>
<td>0.23 (0.34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibre (g day⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.60 (0.62)</td>
<td>0.30 (0.40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron (mg day⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.55 (0.57)</td>
<td>0.49 (0.61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium (mg day⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.62 (0.67)</td>
<td>0.58 (0.70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper (mg day⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.50 (0.55)</td>
<td>0.53 (0.60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc (mg day⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.33 (0.44)</td>
<td>0.61 (0.54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium (mg day⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.50 (0.54)</td>
<td>0.42 (0.46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ascorbic acid (mg day⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.37)</td>
<td>0.53 (0.52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-carotene (µg day⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.45 (0.37)</td>
<td>0.53 (0.49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiamine (mg day⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.52 (0.55)</td>
<td>0.55 (0.51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riboflavin (mg day⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.61 (0.64)</td>
<td>0.61 (0.67)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values are shown for the comparison of the baseline (pre) and 1-year (post) FFQ in relation to the average of the eight 24HR recalls.†The regression coefficient is obtained in regressing the specified FFQ-derived nutrient score on the 24HR-derived nutrient score.§Standard error of the regression coefficient.||Values for this nutrient have been log-transformed (ln) to normalize the distribution. In all instances P < 0.05 if r > 0.25.

This is the Pearson product moment (parametric) correlation coefficient obtained in correlating the FFQ-derived nutrient score with the equivalent 24HR-derived nutrient score.

Interviewer-administered. The interviewer also obtained quantitative estimates of the weight or volume of the food item consumed.

Overall, the results from this study are broadly consistent with those reported on FFQs in Western populations. Pearson correlation coefficients averaged around 0.50 in the comparison with the FFQ at baseline and around 0.55 in the analysis based on the 1-year comparisons. Unlike a comparison of a pre- and post-7 day diet recalls (7DDR) which showed better agreement in the post-comparisons, there was no such pattern in this study. In that study, the 7DDRs were administered only 3 weeks apart, with 7 days of 24HR administered in the interval. Therefore, the 7 days represented one-third of the total and the 'training' occurred over a much shorter period. Also, the 7DDR was self-administered.

In analyses of these data, the Spearman rank correlation coefficients were slightly higher than the Pearson product moment correlations, indicating that this FFQ was superior at rank ordering individuals, the normal use of such data in most epidemiological studies. The fact that the nutrient scores derived from the FFQ administered at the beginning of the interval agreed with the 24HR-derived scores about as well as did the post-FFQ scores is encouraging because it is only the first FFQ to which the typical epidemiological study normally would have access.

Regression coefficients were closer to 1.0 in the analyses comparing the 24HR-derived scores with those from the baseline FFQ. Six coefficients were significantly different from 1.0. However, in comparing...
data derived from the 1-year FFQ with the 24HR data, only two regression coefficients were consistent with $H_0: \beta = 1.0$. In some instances, difference scores were very large, especially in comparison to the first FFQ. So, even in instances where linear and rank order agreement were good there were some large differences in the point estimates of the nutrient scores.

The first and second FFQ administrations differed from each other in a number of important ways. The first administration, because it preceded any of the 24HR, did not focus on a time frame that corresponded to exposure as estimated by the eight 24HR. Most epidemiological studies (including the one for which this FFQ was developed) would have access to only one FFQ for any aetiological relevant period. An implicit assumption is made that diet is stable enough over long periods of time so that FFQs administered from the training provided by all the assessments conducted in the interim, including the first FFQ.

In most epidemiological studies the intent is to estimate relative risk between levels of exposure within the study group and health-related endpoints. Therefore, good linear agreement and, more importantly, rank order agreement (because the data usually are expressed as quantiles) is required. The fact that the differences between the FFQ and 24HR data were larger for the first FFQ would be of greater concern in circumstances where the intent is to make specific recommendations regarding actual (and not relative) nutrient exposures in individuals. Since there was good linear and rank order agreement between nutrient scores derived from this FFQ and those derived from the 24HR, it is concluded that it is as well suited for the purposes of estimating risk in epidemiological studies as those FFQs commonly used in the West.
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